



THE CITY OF SAN FERNANDO

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

OCTOBER 12, 2020 – 6:30 P.M.

VIA ZOOM ACCESSIBLE ON YOUTUBE
117 MACNEIL STREET

SPECIAL NOTICE REGARDING COVID-19

On March 4, 2020, Governor Newsom proclaimed a State of Emergency in California as a result of the threat of COVID-19. On March 17, 2020, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-29-20 (superseding the Brown Act-related provisions of Executive Order N-25-20 issued on March 12, 2020), which allows a local legislative body to hold public meetings via teleconferencing and to make public meetings accessible telephonically or otherwise electronically to all members of the public seeking to observe and to address the local legislative body. Pursuant to Executive Order N-29-20, please be advised that the San Fernando Planning and Preservation Commission will participate in meetings telephonically.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: Pursuant to Executive N-29-20 and given the current health concerns, members of the public can access meetings live on-line, with audio and video, via YouTube, at

<https://www.youtube.com/c/CityOfSanFernando>

Members of the public can submit comments electronically for Commission's consideration by sending them to **Mdesantiago@sfcity.org**. To ensure distribution to the Commission prior to consideration of the agenda and agenda items, please submit comments by email to: Mdesantiago@sfcity.org or by commenting on Youtube (Youtube account required) before the end of the Public Comments section of the Public Hearing Meeting. There will be a limit to three minutes per person/comment which will be read into the record and this will be made part of the official public record of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

Chair Alvin Durham, Jr.

Vice-Chair Hector Pacheco

Commissioner Ivan Gonzalez

Commissioner Aida Montes

Commissioner Marvin R. Perez

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

October 12, 2020

PUBLIC STATEMENTS – WRITTEN/ORAL

There will be a three (3) minute limitation per each member of the audience who wishes to make comments relating to City Business. Anyone wishing to speak, please fill out a form located at the Council Chambers entrance and submit it to the Commission Chair. When

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Notice and Agenda –October 12, 2020

addressing the Planning and Preservation Commission please speak into the microphone and voluntarily state your name and address.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Items on the Consent Calendar are considered routine and may be disposed of by a single motion to adopt staff recommendation. If the Planning and Preservation Commission wishes to discuss any item, it should first be removed from the Consent Calendar.

- 1) Approval of the Minutes of the July 13, 2020 Planning and Preservation Commission meeting.**

CONTINUED BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

- 1) SUBJECT: Update Regarding the Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Draft Allocation**
RECOMMENDATION: Receive a presentation and provide staff with direction as appropriate

If, in the future, you wish to challenge the items listed above in Court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the Public Hearing described in this notice or in written correspondence delivered to the City Planning and Preservation Commission at, or prior to, the Public Hearing. Decisions of Planning and Preservation Commission may be appealed to the City Council within 10 days following the final action.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

None

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

November 9, 2020

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing agenda was posted on the City Hall bulletin board not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Signed and Posted: Michelle De Santiago, October 9, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Agendas and complete Agenda Packets (including staff reports and exhibits related to each item) are posted on the City's Internet Web site (www.sfcity.org). These are also available for public reviewing prior to a meeting at the Community Development Department Public Counter. Any public writings distributed by the Planning and Preservation Commission to at least a majority of the Commissioners regarding any item on this regular meeting agenda will also be made available at the Community Development Department Public Counter located at 117 Macneil Street, San Fernando, CA, 91340 during normal business hours. In addition, the City may also post such documents on the City's Web Site at www.sfcity.org. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability-related modification/accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services please call the Community Development Department at (818) 898-1227 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.



**CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION**

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE
JULY 13, 2020 MEETING
CITY HALL VIA ZOOM AND BROADCASTED ON YOUTUBE**

THE FOLLOWING MINUTES ARE A SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. VIDEO AND AUDIO OF THE ACTUAL MEETING ARE AVAILABLE AT: <http://ci.san-fernando.ca.us/commissions-boards/#planning-preservation>

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Alvin Durham at 6:39 p.m.

ROLL CALL

The following persons were recorded as present:

PRESENT:

Chairperson Alvin Durham, Commissioners Ivan Gonzalez, Aida Montes and Marvin Perez

ABSENT:

Vice-chair Hector Pacheco and Commissioner Marvin Perez

ALSO PRESENT

City Attorney Richard Padilla, Community Development Director Timothy Hou, Associate Planner Gerardo Marquez, and Community Development Secretary Michelle De Santiago

PLEDGE OF ALLIGANCE

Led by Chairperson A. Durham

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Commissioner I. Gonzalez moved to approve the agenda of July 13, 2020 meeting. Seconded by Commissioner A. Montes, the motion carried with the following vote:

AYES:	I. Gonzalez, A. Montes, and A. Durham
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	H. Pacheco and M. Perez
ABSTAIN:	None

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2020

Page 2 of 4

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

None

CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioner I. Gonzalez moved to approve the minutes of the June 8, 2020 Planning and Preservation Commission Meeting. Seconded by Commissioner A. Montez, the motion carried with the following vote:

AYES:	I. Gonzalez, A. Montes, A. Durham
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	H. Pacheco and M. Perez
ABSTAIN:	None

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

San Fernando Citywide Parking Management Master Plan

STAFF PRESENTATION

T. Hou introduced the project and gave a brief description on the history of the Parking Master Plan. He informed the Commission on the funding source which is through a grant that was awarded to the City from SCAG. He introduced Brian Marchetti from KOA, Kathy Padilla and Thelma Herrera from Kathy Padilla Associates, and Janis Rhodes from JR Consultants.

Brian Marchetti provided a power point presentation introducing the team members, providing a scope of work, study areas, and community and stakeholder outreach.

**** Commissioner Marvin Perez joined the meeting at 6:50 p.m.****

Katherine Padilla provided an overview of her component of the Master Plan. She indicated that she and her team are responsible for the collection of input with community engagement, stakeholder interviews as well as the briefings to the various commissions and neighborhood watch groups. They will begin exploring the potential solutions that the community may have with surveys or on-line questionnaires. She introduced Thelma who is the Senior Project Manager and developed the branding for the presentation.

COMMISSION DISCUSSION

M. Perez asked if he could get a copy of the presentation, he asked about the community involvement and the survey will that be mailed out to every address in the city? He wants to make sure that no community member is excluded. He asked about overnight parking and those counts.

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2020

Page 3 of 4

K. Padilla indicated that it would be as user friendly as possible, with an on-line survey with a simple click without having to download an app. Comments can also be accepted through a phone call as well.

T. Hou explained that the consultant team had a lot of success with the online survey. This information will be provided through direct mail or hard copy.

B. Marchetti indicated that this information was targeted on two weekday periods and then the late evening period to get a snapshot of what the overnight demand is like.

M. Perez states that since he lives near the border there is a lot more demand in the evening hours because of the multifamily building in the vicinity. Is the City considering the permit parking.

B. Marchetti indicated that there are a lot more costs associated with permit parking and a possible frame work will be established by the study.

K. Padilla stated that if the commission has anything that comes to mind after the meeting she provided her email address.

A. Durham asked if enough issues of concern were provided from the Metro Walk.

K. Padilla confirmed that there are concerns from the First Last Mile Metro walk that they can use as references.

M. Perez ask if there was a flier that the Commission can have to promote the survey.

K. Padilla fliers will be developed and we will provide you with some handouts but we don't have dates yet.

T. Hou asked if the team could touch on what is being seen on the regional trends and national trends as it relates to parking requirements that Planning Commission can help determine for the local cities.

B. Marchettli indicated that some of the trends goes towards pricing with a pay station instead of meters, paying with mobile phones, automated parking structures. He strongly believes that San Fernando will be able to manage the supply with management of on street and off street parking areas, way finding, mobile apps. Cities are implementing reduced parking requirements for land uses.

J. Rhodes stated that we are seeing more technology usage to manage curbface and manage the flow of traffic. Technology to advise when a space becomes available and even reserve a space, hard hangtags or bumper stickers and electric passes which reduce costs.

B. Marchetti stated these are just some ideas and potential tools to consider as part of the study.

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2020

Page 4 of 4

M. Perez asked will the workshop have any suggestions or can we see it before the general public.

K. Padilla responded that we would like to have on-line presentation right before or right after to allow for comments as well as live meeting with the ability to ask questions as we are presenting them.

G. Marquez asked if given the fact that a large population of our community is working from home do you see this trend continuing in the residential areas.

B. Marchetti stated that working from home could be a long term trend and the residential areas are in demand, we just don't know how it may play out.

M. De Santiago asked if Tim shared an example of how the City of Inglewood deals with their trash day and street sweeping are handled.

T. Hou stated that the item has been discussed internally but nothing has been resolved.

With no further discussion the Consultants excused themselves and staff continued with the meeting.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

T. Hou informed the Commission of the COVID-19 numbers and the status of the business closing operations as ordered by the Governor of California. In addition he informed the Commission that City Hall has closed to the general public.

COMMISSION COMMENTS

None

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

None

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner I. Gonzalez moved to adjourn to August 13, 2020. Seconded by Commissioner M. Perez, the motion carried with the following vote:

AYES:	I. Gonzalez, M. Perez, A. Durham, and A. Montes
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	H. Pacheco
ABSTAIN:	None

7:40 P.M.

Timothy T. Hou

Planning Commission Secretary

To: Planning and Preservation Commission Chairperson Durham and Commissioners

From: Timothy T. Hou, AICP, Director of Community Development

Date: October 12, 2020

Subject: **Update Regarding the Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment Draft Allocation**

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Planning and Preservation Commission (“Commission”) receive a presentation regarding the Sixth Cycle (2021-2029) draft Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation, and provide staff with direction, as appropriate.

BACKGROUND:

1. On September 13, 2019, in accordance with City Council direction, staff submitted a letter to Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) regarding the proposed Sixth Cycle RHNA allocation methodology (Attachment “A”).
2. On November 7, 2019, the SCAG Regional Council approved an alternative RHNA allocation methodology proposed by Mayor Rusty Bailey from the City of Riverside that shifted a greater proportion of the allocation of housing units away from inland counties.
3. On March 5, 2020, the SCAG Regional Council adopted a final RHNA allocation methodology that aligned with the regional housing need determination of the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) of 1,341,827 total homes for SCAG to distribute among its local governments.
4. On May 7, 2020, the SCAG Regional Council voted to delay adopting the Connect SoCal plan in its entirety by up to 120 days, effectively postponing the distribution of draft RHNA allocation, appeals process, and the eventual adoption of the final RHNA allocation. In addition, the Regional Council approved the Sixth Cycle RHNA appeals procedures.
5. On September 3, 2020, the SCAG Regional Council adopted the Connect SoCal plan, its 2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy, which contains factors affecting RHNA allocations.

Update Regarding the Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment Draft Allocation

October 12, 2020

Page 2 of 2

6. On September 4, 2020, SCAG formally issued notification of the Sixth Cycle (2021-2029) draft RHNA allocation that included 1,791 housing units (Attachment “B”) for the City of San Fernando. The notification also included the Sixth RHNA Cycle Appeals Procedures (Attachment “C”).
7. On October 5, 2020, staff presented an update to City Council regarding the Sixth Cycle draft RHNA allocation and received direction.

ANALYSIS:

SCAG issued the following Sixth Cycle (2021-2029) draft RHNA allocation to San Fernando:

Very-low income units:	460
Low income units:	273
Moderate income units:	283
<u>Above-moderate income units:</u>	<u>775</u>
Total units:	1,791

The Sixth Cycle allocation of 1,791 units represents an 825 percent increase over the Fifth Cycle (2013-2021) allocation of 217 units. HCD increased the regional housing need in the SCAG region from 438,030 units in the Fifth Cycle to 1,341,827 units for the Sixth Cycle. The draft allocations to local governments across the SCAG region are included as Attachment “D”. As part of the RHNA appeals procedure, staff will prepare to submit an appeal regarding San Fernando’s draft RHNA allocation by the final deadline of October 26, 2020.

CONCLUSION:

It is recommended that the Commission receive and file the presentation regarding the City’s Sixth Cycle RHNA draft allocation.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. Letter to SCAG
- B. San Fernando RHNA Allocation
- C. RHNA Appeals Procedure
- D. SCAG’s Draft RHNA Allocations By Local Jurisdiction

THE CITY OF
SAN FERNANDO

CITY COUNCIL

September 13, 2019

MAYOR
JOEL FAJARDO

The Honorable Peggy Huang

VICE MAYOR
SYLVIA BALLIN

Community, Economic and Human Development Policy Committee
Regional Housing Needs Assessment Subcommittee

COUNCILMEMBER
ROBERT C. GONZALES

Southern California Association of Governments
900 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700

COUNCILMEMBER
ANTONIO LOPEZ

Los Angeles, CA 90017

COUNCILMEMBER
HECTOR A. PACHECO

SUBJECT: Comments on Proposed Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA)
Methodology

Dear Honorable Peggy Huang:

The purpose of this letter is to transmit the City of San Fernando's comments on the proposed RHNA methodologies for the upcoming 6th Cycle. First, I would like to reiterate San Fernando's track record as a responsible local jurisdiction committed to adhering to the objectives of Housing Element law. **Per the approved 2018 Annual Progress Report for the 5th Cycle, San Fernando has achieved more than half of its very low-income housing unit allocation, has already exceeded its low-income allocation by over 325%, is on pace to surpass its moderate-income allocation during this calendar year, and has achieved more than 42% of its above moderate allocation. Combined, San Fernando has already achieved 93.5% of the total housing units allocated for the 5th Cycle.**

Second, while San Fernando finds Option 3 to be the most acceptable methodology for determining local housing need, several modifications are recommended to the overall determination of the proposed options. These recommended modifications are shared in detail below.

Address existing and projected housing need over multiple RHNA cycles

At minimum, this allocation should be apportioned over multiple RHNA cycles. It is senseless for the state to require that a deficit in existing housed generated over multiple decades be corrected within 8.25 years. Compounding the existing need allocation with projected housing need makes the 6th Cycle allocations even more unrealistic. Further, basing punitive measures, which exist under SB 35 and other recent legislation, upon unattainable targets is bad public policy.

ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT

117 MACNEIL STREET
SAN FERNANDO
CALIFORNIA
91340

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER
(818) 898-1202

PERSONNEL DIVISION
(818) 898-1220

WWW.SFCITY.ORG

Establish a Baseline RHNA allocation for all jurisdictions

Given its track record as a responsible local jurisdiction, San Fernando is troubled by the relative inaction by some other local jurisdictions on affordable housing.

Establishing a baseline RHNA allocation helps address some of the inequities of the current 5th Cycle, and ensures that every jurisdiction within the SCAG region participates meaningfully in providing housing units in their community.

As a corollary, **the methodology should factor and provide credit for any surplus of affordable housing units produced during the current cycle.** This would prevent local jurisdictions from a quandary whereby they might wish that housing stock construction be delayed simply to have it count towards the 6th Cycle allocation.

Utilize the Social Equity Formula to determine both existing and projected housing need

This would better address the disproportionate shares of affordable housing provided in lower income, predominately minority jurisdictions in comparison to higher income, less diverse jurisdictions. Consider a greater than 150% social equity adjustment and apply it to both existing and projected need.

Rethink the High Quality Transit Area (HQTAs) factor

HQTAs often exist in primarily urbanized, less affluent parts of the SCAG region. It can be reasonably concluded that within Options 1 and 2, the 20% allocation of the determination based on a jurisdiction's share of regional population within an HQTAs ignores certain realities in many jurisdictions containing HQTAs. **Such areas have already confronted two hardships, the first being the challenges that disadvantaged communities face to attract development of every type, and the second being making available public transit within their communities.** The HQTAs factor seems to let other communities that haven't already addressed these two challenges off the hook.

Lastly, the City of San Fernando reserves its right to appeal not only its ultimate 6th Cycle allocation, but also the allocations for any other local jurisdictions. Last month, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) provided SCAG with its regional housing need determination of 1,344,740 total units to distribute among its local jurisdictions. This number far exceeds the number adopted by SCAG, which was determined based upon local input of approximately 430,000 total units.

The City strongly suggests that SCAG appeal the regional housing need determination from HCD. This is critical as SB 35, and other recent legislation,


THE HONORABLE PEGGY HUANG

Comments on Proposed Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Methodology

Page 3 of 3

have now armed the RHNA allocations with real regulatory repercussions. Ultimately, SCAG must certainly recognize that HCD's determination equates to a **RHNA allocation for most local jurisdictions that does not mesh with either basic economic theory or fiscal reality.** The market cannot support this many housing units nor can existing or planned public infrastructure. **Building sufficient infrastructure to even support this extent of housing would put many jurisdictions at risk of insolvency.** Further, requiring local jurisdictions which have worked diligently to achieve current RHNA allocations to now designate significant amounts of multi-family, commercial and industrial land to higher-intensity residential may understandably result in a rebuttal at the community level.

San Fernando appreciates SCAG's time and effort on this challenging planning issue and we thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Nick Kimball
City Manager

cc: San Fernando City Council
Timothy Hou, Deputy City Manager/Director of Community Development
Rick Olivarez, City Attorney
Kome Ajise, SCAG
Ma'Ayn Johnson, SCAG



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
900 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
T: (213) 236-1800
www.scag.ca.gov

REGIONAL COUNCIL OFFICERS

President
Rex Richardson, Long Beach

First Vice President
Clint Lorimore, Eastvale

Second Vice President
**Jan Harnik, Riverside County
Transportation Commission**

Immediate Past President
Bill Jahn, Big Bear Lake

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Executive/Administration
Rex Richardson, Long Beach

Community, Economic &
Human Development
Jorge Marquez, Covina

Energy & Environment
David Pollock, Moorpark

Transportation
Cheryl Viegas-Walker, El Centro

September 4, 2020

Nick Kimball
City of San Fernando
117 Macneil Street
San Fernando, CA 91340-2993

Subject: 6th Cycle Draft Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Allocation for City of San Fernando and appeals timeline notice.

Dear Mr. Nick Kimball:

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584 et seq., the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) is required to allocate the region's housing need as determined by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to all local jurisdictions in the SCAG region. For the 6th Cycle of RHNA, which covers the planning period from 2021 to 2029, HCD has determined our regional housing need to be 1,341,827 units.

After extensive public input and review by HCD, on March 5, 2020, SCAG's Regional Council adopted the final RHNA Methodology which, in coordination with final Connect SoCal adopted on September 3, 2020, results in Draft RHNA Allocations for all local jurisdictions. **Please note that receipt of this letter containing the Draft RHNA Allocations begins the appeals timeline. The Draft RHNA allocation shall be deemed received on September 11, 2020.**

The Draft 6th Cycle RHNA Allocation for the City of San Fernando is 1791 total units, consisting of:

- Very-low income units: 460
- Low income units: 273
- Moderate income units: 283
- Above-moderate income units: 775

The deadline to electronically file an appeal of your 6th cycle Draft RHNA Allocation is 5:00pm on October 26, 2020 (details attached).

The hearing on the RHNA allocation appeals is scheduled to begin on or about December 10, 2020. Following the conclusion of the appeals process, the Final RHNA Allocations are anticipated to be issued in

February 2021. Local jurisdictions' housing element updates are due to HCD by October 2021.

We appreciate your collaboration and input during this process. Additional information including underlying data can be found on SCAG's RHNA website at www.scag.ca.gov/rhna. If you have additional questions or concerns, please direct them to housing@scag.ca.gov so they can receive priority attention.

Sincerely,



Kome Ajise
Executive Director

Attachments:

SCAG Region 6th Cycle Draft RHNA Allocations
SCAG 6th Cycle RHNA Appeals Procedures

6th RHNA Cycle Appeals Procedures

Pursuant to Government Code section 65584.05, any local jurisdiction within the SCAG region may file an appeal to modify its allocated share or another jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need included as part of SCAG's Draft Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Allocation Plan, hereinafter referred to as the "Draft RHNA Plan." The California Department of Housing and Community Development, hereinafter referred to as "HCD", may also file an appeal to one or more jurisdiction's draft RHNA allocation. No appeal shall be allowed relating to post-appeal reallocation adjustments made by SCAG, as further described in Section II, below.

I. APPEALS PROCESS

A. DEADLINE TO FILE

The period to file appeals shall commence on September 11, 2020¹, which shall be deemed as the date of receipt by jurisdictions and HCD of the draft RHNA Plan. In order to comply with Government Code § 65584.05(b), a jurisdiction or HCD seeking to appeal a draft allocation of the regional housing need must file an appeal by 5:00 p.m. October 26, 2020. Late appeals shall not be accepted by SCAG.

B. FORM OF APPEAL

The local jurisdiction shall state the basis and specific reasons for its appeal on the RHNA Appeal Request Form prepared by SCAG, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Additional documents may be submitted by the local jurisdiction as attachments, and all such attachments should be properly labeled and numbered.

C. BASES FOR APPEAL

Local jurisdictions shall only file an appeal based upon the criteria listed below. In order to provide guidance to potential appellants, SCAG's Final RHNA Methodology for the 6th Housing Element Cycle (2021-2029) (Final RHNA Methodology) approved by SCAG's Regional Council on March 5, 2020, is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Appeals based on "change of circumstance" can only be filed by the jurisdiction or jurisdictions where the change in circumstance occurred.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.05, filed appeals must include a statement as to why the revision is necessary to further the intent of the objectives listed in Section 65584. Additionally, Government Code Section 65584.05(b) requires that all

¹ The period to file appeals shall commence on the eighth day after the Regional Council adopts the Final Connect SoCal in its entirety, and all the subsequent dates in this Appeals Procedures shall be adjusted accordingly.

filed appeals must be consistent with, and not to the detriment of, the development pattern in the sustainable communities strategy, or SCAG's Connect SoCal Plan, pursuant to Government Code Section 65080(b)(2).

1. Methodology – That SCAG failed to determine the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need in accordance with the information described in the Final RHNA Methodology established and approved by SCAG, and in a manner that furthers, and does not undermine the five objectives listed in Government Code Section 65584(d).

2. Local Planning Factors and Information Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) – That SCAG failed to consider information submitted by the local jurisdiction relating to certain local factors outlined in Govt. Code § 65584.04(e) and information submitted by the local jurisdiction relating to affirmatively furthering fair housing pursuant to Government Code § 65584.04(b)(2) and 65584(d)(5) including the following:
 - a. Each jurisdiction's existing and projected jobs and housing relationship.
 - b. The opportunities and constraints to development of additional housing in each jurisdiction, including the following:
 - (1) lack of capacity for sewer or water service due to federal or state laws, regulations or regulatory actions, or supply and distribution decisions made by a sewer or water service provider other than the local jurisdiction that preclude the jurisdiction from providing necessary infrastructure for additional development during the planning period;
 - (2) the availability of land suitable for urban development or for conversion to residential use, the availability of underutilized land, and opportunities for infill development and increased residential densities;
 - (3) Lands preserved or protected from urban development under existing federal or state programs, or both, designed to protect open space, farmland, environmental habitats, and natural resources on a long-term basis, including land zoned or designated for agricultural protection or

preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to non-agricultural uses.

- (4) County policies to preserve prime agricultural land, as defined pursuant to Government Code § 56064, within an unincorporated area, and land within an unincorporated area zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts its conversion to non-agricultural uses.
- c. The distribution of household growth assumed for purposes of a comparable period of regional transportation plans and opportunities to maximize the use of public transportation and existing transportation infrastructure.
- d. Agreements between a county and cities in a county to direct growth toward incorporated areas of the county or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of the jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to nonagricultural uses.
- e. The loss of units contained in assisted housing developments, as defined in Government Code § 65583(a)(9), that changed to non-low-income use through mortgage prepayment, subsidy contract expirations, or termination of use restrictions.
- f. The percentage of existing households at each of the income levels listed in subdivision (e) of Section 65584 that are paying more than 30 percent and more than 50 percent of their income in rent.
- g. The rate of overcrowding.
- h. The housing needs of farmworkers.
- i. The housing needs generated by the presence of a private university or a campus of the California State University or the University of California within any member jurisdiction.
- j. The loss of units during a state of emergency that was declared by the Governor pursuant to the California

Emergency Services Act (Chapter 7(commencing with Section 8550) of Division 1 of Title 2), during the planning period immediately preceding the relevant revision pursuant to Section 65588 that have yet to be rebuilt or replaced at the time of the analysis. For purposes of these guidelines, this applies to loss of units during a state of emergency occurring since October 2013 and have not yet been rebuilt or replaced by the time of the development of the draft RHNA methodology, or November 7, 2019.

- k. The region’s greenhouse gas emissions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080, to be met by SCAG’s Connect SoCal Plan.
 - l. Information based upon the issues, strategies, and actions that are included, as available in an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice or an Assessment of Fair Housing completed by any city or county or the California Department of Housing and Community Development, and in housing elements
3. Changed Circumstances – That a significant and unforeseen change in circumstance has occurred in the jurisdiction after April 30, 2019 and merits a revision of the information previously submitted by the local jurisdiction. Appeals on this basis shall only be made by the jurisdiction or jurisdictions where the change in circumstances has occurred.

D. LIMITS ON SCOPE OF APPEAL

Existing law explicitly limits SCAG’s scope of review of appeals. Specifically, SCAG shall not grant any appeal based upon the following:

1. Any other criteria other than the criteria in Section I.C above.
2. A local jurisdiction's existing zoning ordinance and land use restrictions, including but not limited to, the contents of the local jurisdiction's current general plan. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(e)(2)(B), SCAG may not limit its consideration of suitable housing sites or land suitable for urban development to existing zoning ordinances and land use restrictions of a locality, but shall consider the potential for increased residential development under alternative zoning ordinances and land use restrictions.
3. Any local ordinance, policy, voter-approved measure or standard limiting residential development. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(g)(1), any ordinance, policy, voter-approved measure, or standard of a city or county that directly or indirectly limits the number of residential building permits shall not be a justification for a determination or a reduction in a city's or county's share of regional housing need.
4. Prior underproduction of housing in a jurisdiction from the previous regional housing need allocation. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(g)(2), prior underproduction of housing in a jurisdiction from the previous housing need allocation, as determined by each jurisdiction's annual production report submitted to Government Code Section 65400(a)(2)(H) cannot be used as a justification for a determination or reduction in a jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need.
5. Stable population numbers in a jurisdiction. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(g)(3), stable population growth from the previous regional housing needs cycle cannot be used as a justification for a determination or reduction in a jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need.

E. COMMENTS ON APPEALS

At the close of the appeals period as set forth in I.A., SCAG shall notify all jurisdictions within the region and HCD of all appeals and shall make all materials submitted in support of each appeal available on its website after the close of the appeals filing period. Local jurisdictions and HCD may comment on one or more appeals within the 45 days following the end of the appeals filing period. All comments must be filed by 5:00 p.m. December 10, 2020. No late comments shall be accepted by SCAG.

F. HEARING BODY

SCAG's Regional Council has delegated the responsibility of considering appeals regarding draft allocations to the RHNA Subcommittee, also referred to as the RHNA Appeals Board. All provisions of the RHNA Subcommittee's charter shall apply with respect to the membership and conduct of the appeal hearings. Per the RHNA Subcommittee charter, which was adopted on February 7, 2019 by the Regional Council, ex-officio members may participate as non-voting members of the RHNA Subcommittee and by extension the RHNA Appeals Board, and are not counted for purposes of a quorum. Also per the RHNA Subcommittee charter, all decisions made by the RHNA Appeals Board are considered final and will not be reviewed by the SCAG CEHD Committee or Regional Council.

G. APPEAL HEARING

SCAG shall conduct one public hearing to consider all appeals filed and comments received on the appeals no later than January 10, 2021. This public hearing may be continued (over several days if necessary) until all appeals are heard. Notice shall be provided to the appealing jurisdictions, commenting jurisdictions, and HCD at least 21 days in advance of the hearing. Per Government Code Section 65584.05(i), SCAG may extend the deadline to conduct the appeals hearing by up to thirty (30) days.

The appeal hearing may take place provided that each county is represented either by a member or alternate of the RHNA Appeals Board. Alternates are permitted to participate in the appeal hearing, provided however, that each county shall only be entitled to one vote when deciding on the appeal. Ex-officio members may participate as non-voting members of the RHNA Appeals Board and are not counted for purposes of a quorum. In alignment with the adopted RHNA Subcommittee charter, in the event the hearing involves the member's or alternate's respective jurisdiction, the member or alternate may elect not to participate in the discussion and vote by the RHNA Subcommittee regarding such appeal.

Due to the public health situation that began in late Winter 2020, RHNA appeals hearings may be conducted via teleconference per State-adopted emergency amendments to the Brown Act. SCAG staff will continue to apprise the public on any updates to meeting procedures and will include all information in the public noticing of the appeal hearings.

Appeal Hearing Procedures

The hearing(s) shall be conducted to provide applicants and jurisdictions that did not file appeals but are the subject of an appeal, with the opportunity to make their case regarding a change in their draft regional housing need allocation or another

jurisdiction's allocation, with the burden on the applicants to prove their case. The appeals hearings will be organized by the specific jurisdiction subject to an appeal or appeals and will adhere to the following procedures:

1. Initial Arguments

Applicants who have filed an appeal for a particular jurisdiction will have an opportunity to present their request and reasons to grant the appeal. In the event of multiple appeals filed for a single jurisdiction, the subject jurisdiction will present their argument first if it has filed an appeal on its own draft RHNA allocation. Applicants may present their case either on their own, or in coordination with other applicants, but each applicant shall be allotted five (5) minutes each. If the subject jurisdiction did not file an appeal on its own draft RHNA allocation, it will be given an opportunity to present after all applicants have provided initial arguments on their filed appeals. Any presentation from the jurisdiction who did not appeal but is the subject of the appeal is limited to five (5) minutes unless it is responding to more than one appeal, in which case the jurisdiction is limited to eight (8) minutes.

2. Staff Response

After initial arguments are presented, SCAG staff will present their recommendation to approve or deny the appeals filed for the subject jurisdiction. The staff response is limited to five (5) minutes .

3. Rebuttal

Applicants and the jurisdiction who did not file an appeal but is the subject of the appeal may elect to provide a rebuttal but are limited to the arguments and evidence presented in the staff response. Each applicant and the subject jurisdiction that did not file an appeal on its own draft RHNA allocation will be allotted three (3) minutes each for a rebuttal.

4. Extension of Time Allotment

The Chair of the Appeals Board may elect to grant additional time for any presentation, staff response, or rebuttal in the interest of due process and equity.

5. Appeal Board Discussion and Determination

After arguments and rebuttals are presented, the RHNA Appeals Board may ask questions of applicants, the subject jurisdiction (if present), and SCAG staff. The Chair of the Appeals Board may request that questions

from the Appeals Board be asked prior to a discussion among Appeals Board members. Any voting Board member may make a motion regarding the appeal(s) for the subject jurisdiction. The Appeals Board is encouraged to make a single determination on the subject jurisdiction after hearing all arguments and presentations on each subject jurisdiction.

The RHNA Appeals Board need not adhere to formal evidentiary rules and procedures in conducting the hearing. An appealing jurisdiction may choose to have technical staff present its case at the hearing. At a minimum, technical staff should be available at the hearing to answer any questions of the RHNA Appeals Board.

H. DETERMINATION OF APPEAL

The RHNA Appeals Board shall issue a written final determination on all filed appeals after the conclusion of the public hearing(s). The written final determination shall consider all arguments and comments presented on revising the draft RHNA allocation of the subject jurisdiction and make a determination for each subject jurisdiction. The final determinations shall be based upon the information and methodology set forth in Government Code section 65584.04 and whether the revision is necessary to further the objectives listed in Government Code section 65584(d). The final determination shall include written findings as to how the determination is consistent with Government Code section 65584.05. The decision of the RHNA Appeals Board shall be final, and local jurisdictions shall have no further right to appeal.

In accordance with existing law, the final determination on an appeal by the RHNA Subcommittee may require the adjustment of allocation of a local jurisdiction that is not the subject of an appeal. Specific adjustments to jurisdictions not the subject of an appeal as a result of an appeal will be included as part of the Appeal Board's determination. These specific adjustments may be excluded from the cumulative total adjustments required to be reallocated as described in Section II of these Appeals Guidelines if it is included as part of the appeals determination of the subject jurisdiction.

I. ALTERNATIVE DATA REQUIREMENTS

To the extent a local jurisdiction submits admissible alternative data or evidentiary documentation to SCAG in support of its appeal, such alternative data shall meet the following requirements:

1. The alternative data shall be readily available for SCAG's review and verification. Alternative data should not be constrained for use by proprietary conditions or other conditions rendering them difficult to obtain or process.
2. The alternative data shall be accurate, current, and reasonably free from defect.
3. The alternative data shall be relevant and germane to the local jurisdiction's basis of appeal.
4. The alternative data shall be used to support a logical analysis relating to the local jurisdiction's request for a change to its draft regional housing need allocation.

II. POST-APPEAL REALLOCATION OF REGIONAL HOUSING NEED

In accordance with existing law (*see*, Government Code Section 65584.05(g)), after the conclusion of the appeals process, SCAG shall total the successfully appealed housing need allocations, except for adjustments made to jurisdictions not the subject of an appeal as determined by the Appeals Board in Section I.H. If the adjustments total seven percent (7%) or less of the regional housing need, SCAG shall distribute the adjustments proportionally, to all local jurisdictions. For purposes of these procedures, proportional distribution shall be based on the share of regional need after the appeals are determined and prior to the required redistribution.

If the adjustments total more than seven percent (7%) of the regional housing need, existing law requires that SCAG to develop a methodology to distribute the amount greater than seven percent to local governments. In this situation, SCAG will redistribute the amount greater than the seven percent based on the "residual" existing need calculation included in the adopted final RHNA methodology. To be consistent with the "residual" existing need calculation, successfully appealed units above the seven percent threshold will be redistributed to each county based on their proportion of total successful appeals. Fifty percent (50%) of each county's amount above the regional seven percent will be redistributed within the county based on population within a High Quality Transit Area (HQTA) and fifty percent (50%) of the amount will be redistributed within the county based on share of regional jobs accessible. Communities designated as disadvantaged, defined in the Final RHNA Methodology as having more than fifty percent (50%) of their population in lower resource areas, will be exempt from redistribution of the amount greater than seven percent. For more information regarding the existing need distribution in the Final RHNA Methodology, please refer to Exhibit B SCAG's adopted Final RHNA Methodology.

III. FINAL RHNA PLAN

After SCAG reallocates units to all local jurisdictions resulting from successful appeals, SCAG's Regional Council shall review and consider adoption of the Final RHNA Plan for SCAG's 6th cycle RHNA. This is scheduled to occur on February 4, 2021.

List of Exhibits

Exhibit A: RHNA Appeal Request Form

Exhibit B: Final RHNA Methodology

Exhibit C:

) Government Code Section 65580

) Government Code Section 65584

) Government Code Section 65584.04

) Government Code Section 65584.05

Exhibit D: RHNA Subcommittee Charter

Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Appeal Request Form
All appeal requests and supporting documentation must be received by SCAG October 26, 2020, 5 p.m.
Appeals and supporting documentation should be submitted to housing@scaq.ca.gov.
Late submissions will not be accepted.

Date: _____ Jurisdiction Subject to This Appeal Filing:
(to file another appeal, please use another form)

Filing Party (Jurisdiction or HCD)

Filing Party Contact Name _____ Filing Party Email: _____

APPEAL AUTHORIZED BY:

Name: _____

PLEASE SELECT BELOW:

- Mayor
- Chief Administrative Office
- City Manager
- Chair of County Board of Supervisors
- Planning Director
- Other: _____

BASES FOR APPEAL

- Application of the adopted Final RHNA Methodology for the 6th Cycle RHNA (2021-2029)
- Local Planning Factors and/or Information Related to Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (See Government Code Section 65584.04 (b)(2) and (e))
 - Existing or projected jobs-housing balance
 - Sewer or water infrastructure constraints for additional development
 - Availability of land suitable for urban development or for conversion to residential use
 - Lands protected from urban development under existing federal or state programs
 - County policies to preserve prime agricultural land
 - Distribution of household growth assumed for purposes of comparable Regional Transportation Plans
 - County-city agreements to direct growth toward incorporated areas of County
 - Loss of units contained in assisted housing developments
 - High housing cost burdens
 - The rate of overcrowding
 - Housing needs of farmworkers
 - Housing needs generated by the presence of a university campus within a jurisdiction
 - Loss of units during a state of emergency
 - The region's greenhouse gas emissions targets
 - Affirmatively furthering fair housing
- Changed Circumstances (Per Government Code Section 65584.05(b), appeals based on change of circumstance can only be made by the jurisdiction or jurisdictions where the change in circumstance occurred)

FOR STAFF USE ONLY:

Date _____ Hearing Date: _____ Planner: _____

Sixth Cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) Appeal Request Form
All appeal requests and supporting documentation must be received by SCAG October 26, 2020, 5 p.m.
Appeals and supporting documentation should be submitted to housing@scaq.ca.gov.
Late submissions will not be accepted.

Brief statement on why this revision is necessary to further the intent of the objectives listed in Government Code Section 65584 (please refer to Exhibit C of the Appeals Guidelines):

Please include supporting documentation for evidence as needed, and attach additional pages if you need more room.

Brief Description of Appeal Request and Desired Outcome:

Number of units requested to be reduced or added to the jurisdiction's draft RHNA allocation (circle one):

Reduced _____ Added _____

List of Supporting Documentation, by Title and Number of Pages
(Numbers may be continued to accommodate additional supporting documentation):

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

FOR STAFF USE ONLY:

Date _____

Hearing Date: _____

Planner: _____

Final RHNA Methodology

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SCAG is required to develop a final RHNA methodology to distribute existing and projected housing need for the 6th cycle RHNA for each jurisdiction, which will cover the planning period October 2021 through October 2029. Following extensive feedback from stakeholders during the proposed methodology comment period and an extensive policy discussion, SCAG’s Regional Council voted to approve the Draft RHNA Methodology on November 7, 2019, as described below, and provide it to the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for their statutory review. On January 13, 2020, HCD completed its review of the draft methodology and found that it furthers the five statutory objectives of RHNA and on March 4, 2020, SCAG’s Regional Council voted to approve the Final RHNA Methodology. The overall framework for this methodology is included in the table below and further described in the rest of this document.

Projected need	Existing need	Income categories
Household growth 2020-2030	Transit accessibility (HQTA population 2045)	150% social equity adjustment minimum
Future vacancy need	Job accessibility	0-30% additional adjustment for areas with lowest or highest resource concentration
Replacement need	Residual distribution within the county	

HOUSING CRISIS

There is no question that there is an ongoing housing crisis throughout the State of California. A variety of measures indicate the extent of the crisis including overcrowding and cost-burdened households, but the underlying cause is due to insufficient housing supply despite continuing population growth over recent decades.

As part of the RHNA process SCAG must develop a final RHNA methodology, which will determine each jurisdiction’s draft RHNA allocation as a share of the regional determination of existing and projected housing need provided by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). There are several requirements outlined by Government Code Section 65584.04, which will be covered in different sections of this packet:

-) Allocation methodology, per Government Code 65584.04(a)
-) How the allocation methodology furthers the objectives State housing law, per GC 65584.04(f)

-) How local planning factors are incorporated into the RHNA methodology, per GC 65584.04(f)
-) Furthering the objectives of affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH), per GC 65584.04(d)
-) Public engagement, per GC 65584.04(d)

Additionally, SCAG has developed a dynamic estimator tool and data appendix that contains a full set of various underlying data and assumptions to support the methodology. Due to the size of the appendix, a limited number of printed copies are available. SCAG has posted the dynamic estimator tool and full methodology appendix, on its RHNA webpage: www.scag.ca.gov/rhna.

Per State housing law, the RHNA methodology must distribute existing and projected housing need to all jurisdictions. The following section provides the final methodology for distributing projected and existing need to jurisdictions from the RHNA regional determination provided by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.01.

Guiding Principles for RHNA Methodology

In addition to furthering the five objectives pursuant to Government Code 65585(d), there are several guiding principles that SCAG staff has developed to use as the basis for developing the distribution mechanism for the RHNA methodology. These principles are based on the input and guidance provided by the RHNA Subcommittee during their discussions on RHNA methodology between February 2019 and June 2019.

1. The housing crisis is a result of housing building not keeping up with growth over the last several decades. The RHNA allocation for all jurisdictions is expected to be higher than the 5th RHNA cycle.
2. Each jurisdiction must receive a fair share of their regional housing need. This includes a fair share of planning for enough housing for all income levels, and consideration of factors that indicate areas that have high and low concentration of access to opportunity.
3. It is important to emphasize the linkage to other regional planning principles to develop more efficient land use patterns, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and improve overall quality of life.

The jurisdictional boundaries used in the recommended RHNA methodology will be based on those as of August 31, 2016. Spheres of influence in unincorporated county areas are considered within unincorporated county boundaries for purposes of RHNA.

Proposed RHNA Allocation Methodology

The proposed RHNA methodology, which was released for public review on August 1, contained three (3) options to distribute HCD's regional determination for existing and projected need for the

SCAG region. HCD provided SCAG a final regional determination of 1,341,827 units for the 6th cycle RHNA on October 15, 2019.¹

The three options were developed based on RHNA Subcommittee feedback on various factors at their meetings between February and June 2019 and feedback from stakeholders. SCAG solicited formal public comment on the three options and any other factors, modifications, or alternative options during the public comment period, which commenced on August 1 and concluded on September 13, 2019.

Four public hearings were conducted to formally receive verbal and written comments on the proposed RHNA methodology, in addition to one public information session with a total participation of approximately 250 people. Almost 250 written comments were submitted to SCAG specifically on the proposed methodology and over 35 verbal comments were shared at four (4) public hearings held in August 2019.

Draft and Final RHNA Allocation Methodology

Based on comments received during the public comment period, staff recommended a combination of the three options in the proposed methodology further enhanced by factors specifically suggested by stakeholders.

On November 7, 2019, SCAG's Regional Council voted to approve the Draft RHNA Methodology. The approved draft methodology included modifications to the staff-recommended draft methodology for calculating existing housing need to more closely align the methodology with job and transit accessibility factors.

On January 13, 2020, HCD completed their statutory review and found that SCAG's Draft RHNA Methodology furthers the five statutory objectives of RHNA, which allows SCAG to finalize the RHNA methodology and issue draft RHNA allocations to each individual jurisdiction. HCD's comment letter, which can be found at www.scag.ca.gov/rhna, notes:

"HCD has completed its review of the methodology and finds that the draft SCAG RHNA methodology furthers the five statutory objectives of RHNA. HCD acknowledges the complex task of developing a methodology to allocate RHNA to 197 diverse jurisdictions while furthering the five statutory objectives of RHNA. This methodology generally distributes more RHNA, particularly lower income RHNA, near jobs, transit, and resources linked to long term improvements of life outcomes. In particular, HCD applauds the use of objective factors specifically linked the statutory objectives in the existing need methodology."

Following this finding, staff recommended the draft RHNA methodology as the final RHNA methodology. On March 5, 2020, SCAG's Regional Council approved Resolution No. 20-619-2

¹ On September 5, 2019, the SCAG Regional Council voted to object to HCD the regional determination of 1,344,740, per Government Code Section 65584.01, that was provided on August 15, 2019. After review of SCAG's objection letter, HCD provided a final regional determination of 1,341,827 units on October 15, 2019.

adopting the Final RHNA Methodology for the Sixth Housing Element Cycle. Following the formal distribution of draft RHNA allocations based on the Final RHNA methodology and a separate appeals phase described in Government Code 65584.05 et seq., RHNA allocations will be finalized in approximately October 2020.

The next section describes the final RHNA methodology mechanism to distribute the 1,341,827 housing units determined by HCD to all SCAG jurisdictions.

Determining Existing Need and Projected Need

SCAG's final RHNA methodology starts with the total regional determination provided by HCD and separates existing need from projected need.

Projected need is considered as household growth for jurisdictions between the RHNA projection period between July 1, 2021 and October 1, 2029, in addition to a calculated future vacancy need and replacement need. For projected household growth, SCAG's Connect SoCal growth forecast for the years 2020-2030 is used as the basis for calculating projected housing unit need for the region. The anticipated growth in households over this period is multiplied by 0.825 to approximate growth during the 8.25-year RHNA projection period of July 1, 2021 to October 1, 2029.

For several jurisdictions, SCAG's growth forecast includes projected household growth on tribal land. For these jurisdictions, SCAG's estimate of household growth on tribal land from July 1, 2021 to October 1, 2029 is subtracted from the jurisdictional projected household growth (see note in the accompanying dynamic estimator tool). A vacancy adjustment of 1.5% for owner-occupied units and 5% for renter-occupied units representing healthy-market vacancy will be applied to projected household growth to determine future vacancy need. Next a replacement need is added, which is an estimate of expected replacement need over the RHNA period. **Based on these components, the regional projected need is 504,970 units.**

Existing need is considered the remainder of the regional determination after projected need is subtracted. **Based on this consideration, the regional existing need is 836,857 units.**

Determining a Jurisdiction's RHNA Allocation (Existing and Projected Need)

In determining the existing need and projected need for the region, the methodology applies a three-step process to determine a jurisdiction's RHNA allocation by income category:

1. Determine a jurisdiction's projected housing need
 - a. Assign household growth to jurisdictions based on SCAG's Connect SoCal Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy Growth Forecast between 2020 and 2030
 - b. Calculate a jurisdiction's future vacancy need by applying a healthy market vacancy rate separately to the jurisdiction's owner and renter households
 - c. Assign a replacement need to jurisdictions based on each jurisdiction's share of regional net replacement need based on information collected from the replacement need survey submitted by local jurisdictions

2. Determine a jurisdiction’s existing housing need
 - a. Assign 50 percent of regional existing need based on a jurisdiction’s share of region’s population within the high quality transit areas (HQTAs) based on future 2045 HQTAs
 - b. Assign 50 percent of regional existing need based on a jurisdiction’s share of the region’s jobs that can be accessed within a 30-minute driving commute
 - c. For extremely disadvantaged communities (hereafter “DACs,” see definition below), identify residual existing need, which is defined herein as total housing need in excess of household growth between 2020 and 2045². DACs are jurisdictions with more than half of the population living in high segregation and poverty or low resource areas as defined by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC)/HCD Opportunity Index Scores further described in the document.
 - d. Reallocate residual existing need by county to non-DAC jurisdictions within the same county based on the formula in (a) and (b) above, i.e. 50% transit accessibility and 50% job accessibility.

3. Determine a jurisdiction’s total housing need
 - a. Add a jurisdiction’s projected housing need from (1) above to its existing housing need from (2) above to determine its total housing need.

4. Determine four RHNA income categories (very low, low, moderate, and above moderate)
 - a. Use a minimum 150% social equity adjustment
 - b. Add an additional percentage of social equity adjustment to jurisdictions that have a high concentration of very low or very high resource areas using the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC)’s index scoring
 - i. Add a 10% social equity adjustment to areas that are designated as 70-80% very high or very low resource area
 - ii. Add a 20% social equity adjustment to areas that are designated as 81-90% very high or very low resource area
 - iii. Add a 30% social equity adjustment to areas that are designated as 91-100% very high or very low resource area


Methodology Component	Assigned units
Projected need: Household growth	466,958
Projected need: Future vacancy need	14,467
Projected need: Replacement need	23,545
Projected need subtotal	504,970

² Since HCD’s regional determination of 1,341,827 exceeds SCAG’s 2020-2045 household growth forecast of 1,297,000 by 3.46 percent, for the purposes of existing need allocation, exceeding “local input” or more accurately, Connect SoCal Growth Forecast, household growth shall mean exceeding 1.0368 times household growth.

	Percentage of Existing Need	Assigned units
Existing need: Transit accessibility	50%	418,429
Existing need: Job accessibility	50%	418,428
Existing need subtotal		836,857
Total regional need		1,341,827

Step 1: Determine Projected Housing Need

The first step of the RHNA methodology is to determine a jurisdiction’s projected need. From the regional determination, projected need is considered to be regional household growth, regional future vacancy need, and regional replacement need.



To determine a jurisdiction’s projected need, the methodology uses a three-step process:

- a. Determine the jurisdiction’s regional projected household growth based on local input
- b. Determine future vacancy need based on a jurisdiction’s existing composition of owner and renter households and apply a vacancy rate on projected household growth based on the following:
 - a. Apply a 1.5% vacancy need for owner households
 - b. Apply a 5.0% vacancy need for renter households
- c. Determine a jurisdiction’s net replacement need based on replacement need survey results

Step 1a: Projected Household Growth

SCAG’s Connect SoCal regional growth forecast reflects recent and past trends, key demographic and economic assumptions, and local, regional, state, and national policy. SCAG’s regional growth forecasting process also emphasizes the participation of local jurisdictions and other stakeholders. The growth forecast process kicked off on May 30, 2017 with a panel of experts meeting wherein fifteen academic scholars and leading practitioners in demographics and economics were invited to review key input assumptions for the growth forecast including expected job growth, labor force

participation, birth rates, immigration and household formation rates. SCAG staff then incorporated the recommendations of the panel of experts into a preliminary range of population, household, and employment growth figures for 2016, 2020, 2030, 2035, and 2045 for the region and six counties individually.

SCAG further projects jurisdiction-level and sub-jurisdiction-level employment, population, and households using several major data sources, including:

- California Department of Finance (DOF) population and household estimates;
- California Employment Development Department (EDD) jobs report by industry;
- 2015 existing land use and General Plans from local jurisdictions;
- 2010 Census and the latest ACS data (2013-2017 5-year samples);
- County assessor parcel databases;
- 2011 and 2015 Business Installment data from InfoGroup; and
- SCAG's 2016 RTP/SCS growth forecast.

On October 31, 2017, the preliminary small area (i.e. jurisdiction and sub-jurisdiction) growth forecasts were released to local jurisdictions for their comments and input. This kicked off SCAG's *Bottom-Up Local Input and Envisioning Process* which provided each local jurisdiction with their preliminary growth forecast information as well as several other data elements both produced by SCAG and other agencies which are related to the development of Connect SoCal. Data map books were generated and provided electronically and in hard copy format and included detailed parcel-level land use data, information on resource areas, farmland, transportation, geographical boundaries and the draft growth forecast. Complete information on the Data map books and the Bottom-Up Local Input and Envisioning Process can be found at <http://scagrtpscs.net/Pages/DataMapBooks.aspx>. Over the next eight months, SCAG staff conducted one-on-one meetings with all 197 local jurisdictions to explain methods and assumptions behind the jurisdiction and sub-jurisdiction growth forecast as well as to provide an opportunity to review, edit, and approve SCAG's preliminary forecast for population, employment, and households for 2016, 2020, 2030, 2035, and 2045.

Between October 2018 and February 2019, SCAG reviewed local input on the growth forecast and other data map book elements. The local input growth forecast was evaluated at the county and regional level for the base year of 2016 and the horizon year of 2045 and was found to be technically sound. Specifically, as it relates to SCAG's local input household forecast:

- The forecast generates a 2045 regional unemployment rate of 4.7 percent which is reasonable based on past trends and ensured that the forecast is balanced, i.e. there are not too many jobs for the number of anticipated workers
- The forecast generates a 2045 population-to-household ratio of 2.9 which is consistent with the preliminary forecast and reflects expert-anticipated decreases in this ratio, ensuring that there are not too many people for the anticipated number of households region-wide
- From 2020-2045, the forecast anticipates household growth of 21 percent and population growth of 15 percent, indicating an alleviation of the region's current housing shortage over this future period.

SCAG's growth forecast for the years 2020-2030 is used as the basis for calculating projected housing unit need. Because the 6th cycle RHNA projection period covers July 1, 2021 through October 15, 2029, it is necessary to adjust reported household growth between 2020 and 2030 and adjust it to an 8.25 year projection period. The anticipated growth in households over this period is multiplied by 0.825 to approximate growth during the 8.25-year RHNA projection period (July 1, 2021 to October 15, 2029).

Step 1b: Future Vacancy Need

The purpose of a future vacancy need is to ensure that there are enough vacant units to support a healthy housing market that can genuinely accommodate projected household growth. An undersupply of vacant units can prevent new households from forming or moving into a jurisdiction. Formulaically, future vacancy need is a percentage applied to the jurisdiction's household growth by tenure type (owner and renter households). While individual jurisdictions may experience different vacancy rates at different points in time, future vacancy need is independent of existing conditions and instead is a minimum need to support household growth.

To calculate a jurisdiction's future vacancy need, its proportion of owner-occupied units and renter-occupied units are determined using American Community Survey (ACS) 2013-2017 data—the most recent available at the time of the draft methodology's development. The percentages are applied to the jurisdiction's projected household growth from the previous step, which results in the number of projected households that are predicted to be owners and those that are predicted to be renters.

Next, two different vacancy rates are applied based on the regional determination provided by HCD. The recommended methodology uses 1.5 percent for owner-occupied units and a rate of 5 percent for renter-occupied units. The difference is due to the higher rates of turnover generally reported by renter units in comparison to owner-occupied units. The vacancy rates are applied to their respective tenure category to determine how many future vacant units are needed by tenure and then added together to get the total future vacancy need.

Step 1c: Replacement Need

Residential units are demolished for a variety of reasons including natural disasters, fire, or desire to construct entirely new residences. Each time a unit is demolished, a household is displaced and disrupts the jurisdiction's pattern of projected household growth. The household may choose to live in a vacant unit or leave the jurisdiction, of which both scenarios result in negative household growth through the loss of a vacant unit for a new household or subtracting from the jurisdictions number of households.

For these reasons, replacement need is a required component of the regional determination provided by HCD. The methodology's replacement need will be calculated using a jurisdiction's net replacement need based on data submitted for the replacement need survey, which was conducted between March and April 2019.

Each jurisdiction's data on historical demolitions between reporting years 2008 and 2018, which was collected from the California Department of Finance (DOF), was tabulated and provided to

jurisdictions in the replacement need survey. Jurisdictions were asked to provide data on units that replaced the reported demolished units. A net replacement need was determined based on this information for each jurisdiction.


After determining each of the projected housing need components, they are combined to determine a jurisdiction’s projected housing need.

Step 2: Determine Existing Housing Need

After determining a jurisdiction’s projected need, the next step is to determine a jurisdiction’s existing need. Following the above discussion and based on HCD’s determination of total regional housing need, existing need is defined as the total need minus the projected need—approximately 62 percent of the entire regional determination. SCAG’s Regional Council determined that the regional existing need be split into two parts:

-) Fifty (50) percent on population near transit (HQTAs), or 31 percent of total need
-) Fifty (50) percent on job accessibility, or 31 percent of total need

Regional Existing Need



Step 2a: Share of Regional HQTAs Population

The next step involves the consideration of proximity to transit to distribute fifty (50) percent of the region’s existing housing need, in an effort to better align transportation and housing planning.

For several years, SCAG has developed a measure called High Quality Transit Areas (HQTAs) which are areas within a half-mile of transit stations and corridors with at least a fifteen (15) minute headway during peak hours for bus service. HQTAs are based on state statutory definitions of high-quality transit corridors (HQTcs) and major transit stops. For the development of Connect SoCal, freeway-running HQTcs have been excluded from HQTAs to better reflect the level of service they provide to nearby areas.

Planned HQTcs and major transit stops for future years are improvements that are expected to be implemented by transit agencies by the Connect SoCal horizon year of 2045. SCAG updates its inventory with the quadrennial adoption of each RTP/SCS; however, planning and environmental

impact studies may be completed by transit agencies more frequently. Therefore, HQTAs in future years reflect the best information currently available to SCAG regarding the location of future high-quality transit service accessibility. More detailed information on HQTAs-related definitions is available in the data appendix.

50 percent of the regional existing housing need will be distributed based on a jurisdiction's share of regional residential population within an HQTAs, based on the HQTAs boundaries used in the final Connect SoCal Plan anticipated to be adopted by SCAG in April 2020. Not all jurisdictions have an HQTAs within their jurisdictional boundaries and thus may not receive existing need based on this factor.

Step 2b: Job Accessibility

The concept behind job accessibility is to further the statewide housing objective and SCAG's Connect SoCal objective of improving the relationship between jobs and housing. While none of the three options presented in the proposed RHNA methodology included a factor directly based on job accessibility, an overwhelming number of public comments expressed support for the methodology to include this specific component.

The methodology assigns fifty (50) percent of regional existing need based on job accessibility. Job accessibility is based on the share of the region's jobs accessible by a thirty (30) minute commute by car in 2045. Importantly, the RHNA methodology's job access factor is *not* based on the number of jobs within a jurisdiction from SCAG's Connect SoCal Plan or any other data source. Rather, it is a measure based on of how many jobs can be *accessed* from that jurisdiction within a 30-minute commute, which includes jobs in other jurisdictions. Since over 80 percent of SCAG region workers live and work in different jurisdictions, genuinely improving the relationship between jobs and housing necessitates an approach based on job access rather than the number of jobs in a jurisdiction.

These job accessibility data are derived at the transportation analysis zone (TAZ) level from travel demand modelling output from SCAG's final Connect SoCal Plan. SCAG realizes that in many jurisdictions, especially larger ones, job access many not be uniform in all parts of the city or county. However, since the RHNA process requires allocating housing need at the jurisdictional-level, staff reviewed several ways to measure the typical commuter's experience in each jurisdiction. Ultimately, the share of the region's jobs that could be accessed by a jurisdiction's *median TAZ* was found to be the best available measure of job accessibility for that jurisdiction. Based on this measure, in central parts of the region, residents of some jurisdictions can access as much as 23 percent of the region's jobs in a 30 minute car commute, while the average across all the region's jurisdictions was 10.5 percent.


This measure is multiplied by a jurisdiction's share of total population in order to allocate housing unit need to jurisdictions. This important step ensures that the potential beneficiaries of greater accessibility (i.e., the population in a jurisdiction with good job access) are captured in the methodology. Based on this approach, jurisdictions with limited accessibility to jobs will receive a smaller RHNA allocation based on this component.

Step 2c: "Residual" Adjustment Factor for Existing Need


In many jurisdictions defined as “disadvantaged communities (DACs)”, the calculated projected and existing need is higher than its household growth between 2020 and 2045, as determined by the SCAG Growth Forecast used in the final Connect SoCal regional plan. Those DAC jurisdictions that have a need as determined by the RHNA methodology as higher than its 2020 to 2045 household growth³ will be considered as generating “residual” existing need. Residual need will be subtracted from jurisdictional need in these cases so that the maximum a DAC jurisdiction will receive for existing need is equivalent to its 2020 to 2045 household growth. Not all DAC jurisdictions will have a residual existing need.

Extremely Disadvantaged Communities:

City A calculated projected +existing need



County “residual” existing need



A county total of residual existing need will be calculated and then redistributed with the same county to non-DAC jurisdictions. The redistribution will be assigned to jurisdictions based on transit accessibility (50%) and job accessibility (50%), and will exclude DAC jurisdictions which have over 50% of their populations in very low resource areas using California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC)/HCD Opportunity Indices.

Very low resource areas are areas that have least access to opportunity as measured by indicators such as poverty levels, low wage job proximity, math and reading proficiency, and pollution levels. This mechanism will help to further AFFH objectives since residual existing RHNA need, which includes additional affordable units, will be assigned to areas that are not identified as those with the

³ Since HCD’s regional determination of 1,341,827 exceeds SCAG’s 2020-2045 household growth forecast of 1,297,000 by 3.68 percent, for the purposes of existing need allocation, exceeding “local input” or “Connect SoCal” household growth shall mean exceeding 1.0368 times household growth.

lowest resources, which will increase access to opportunity. A full discussion on the TCAC opportunity indicators is provided in the following section on social equity adjustment. Data relating to the TCAC opportunity indicator categories for each jurisdiction can be found in the RHNA methodology data appendix and in the accompanying RHNA allocation estimator tool on the RHNA webpage: www.scag.ca.gov/rhna.

Step 3: Determining Total Housing Need

After determining a jurisdiction's projected housing need from step 1 and its existing housing need from step 2, the sum of the projected and existing need becomes a jurisdiction's total housing need.



Step 4: Determining Four Income Categories through Social Equity Adjustment


After determining a jurisdiction's total RHNA allocation, the next step is to assign the total into four RHNA income categories. The four RHNA income categories are:

-) Very low (50 percent or less of the county median income);
-) Low (50-80 percent);
-) Moderate (80 to 120 percent); and
-) Above moderate (120 percent and above)

The fourth RHNA objective specifically requires that the RHNA methodology allocate a lower proportion of housing need in jurisdictions that already have a disproportionately high concentration of those households in comparison to the county distribution. Additionally, the fifth objective, affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH), requires that the RHNA methodology further the objectives of addressing significant disparities in housing needs and access to opportunity in order to overcome patterns of segregation.

To further these two objectives, the RHNA methodology includes a minimum 150 percent social equity adjustment and an additional 10 to 30 percent added in areas with significant populations that are defined as very low or very high resource areas, referred to as an AFFH adjustment. This determines the distribution of four income categories for each jurisdiction.

Social equity adjustment



A social equity adjustment ensures that jurisdictions accommodate their fair share of each income category. First, the percentage of each jurisdiction’s distribution of four income categories is determined using the county median income as a benchmark. For example, in Los Angeles County, a household earning less than \$30,552 annually, or 50 percent of the county median income, would be considered a very low income household. A household in Los Angeles County earning more than \$73,218 annually, or 120 percent of the county median income, would be counted in the above moderate category. The number of households in each category is summed and then a percentage of each category is then calculated.

For reference, below is the median household income by county.

-) Imperial County: \$44,779
-) Los Angeles County: \$61,015
-) Orange County: \$81,851
-) Riverside County: \$60,807
-) San Bernardino County: \$57,156
-) Ventura County: \$81,972
-) SCAG region: \$64,114

Source: American Community Survey (ACS) 2013-2017 5-year estimates

Once a jurisdiction’s household income distribution by category is determined, the percentage is compared to the county’s percentage of existing household income distribution. For example, if a jurisdiction has an existing distribution of 30 percent of very low income households while the county is 25 percent, the jurisdiction is considered as having an overconcentration of very low income households compared to the county. A social equity adjustment ensures that the jurisdiction will be assigned a smaller percentage of very low income households for its RHNA allocation than both what it and the county currently experience.

If the jurisdiction is assigned a social equity adjustment of 150 percent, the formula to calculate its very low income percentage is:

Household Income Level	Formula to Calculate City A Social Equity Adjustment of 150%
Very Low Income	$30\% - [(30\% - 25\%) \times 1.5] = 22.5\%$

In this example, 22.5 percent of the jurisdiction’s total RHNA allocation would be assigned to the very low income category. This adjustment is lower than both its existing household income distribution (30 percent) and the existing county distribution (25 percent).

The inverse occurs in higher income categories. Assuming 20 percent of a jurisdiction’s households are above moderate income while 25 percent of the county’s households are above moderate income, the jurisdiction will be assigned a distribution of 27.5 percent for above moderate income need.

Household Income Level	Formula to Calculate City A Social Equity Adjustment of 150%
Above moderate income	$20\% - [(20\% - 25\%) \times 1.5] = 27.5\%$

If the adjustment was 100 percent a jurisdiction’s distribution would be exactly the same as the County’s distribution. Conceptually a 150 percent adjustment means that the City meets the County distribution and goes beyond that threshold by 50 percent, resulting in a higher or lower distribution than the County depending on what existing conditions are in the City. The higher the adjustment, the more noticeable the difference between the jurisdiction’s existing household income distribution and its revised distribution.

The RHNA methodology recommends a minimum of 150 percent social equity adjustment with an additional 10, 20, or 30 percent added depending on whether the jurisdiction is considered a very low or very high resource area based on its Opportunity Index score.

In 2015 the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) developed a set of “Opportunity Indices” to help states and localities identify factors that contribute to fair housing issues in their region and comply with the federal Fair Housing Act. In late 2017, a Task Force convened by HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) released an “Opportunity mapping” tool based on these HUD indices to identify areas in California that can “offer low-income children and adults the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health.”⁴

The TCAC and HCD Opportunity mapping tool includes a total of eleven (11) census-tract level indices to measure exposure to opportunity in local communities. The indices are based on measures of economic, environmental, and educational opportunities within communities. Regional patterns of segregation are also identified based on this tool. Below is a summary table of the 11 indices sorted by type:

Economic	Environment	Education
Poverty	CalEnviroScreen 3.0 indicators	Math proficiency
Adult education) Ozone	Reading proficiency
Employment) PM2.5	High school graduation rates
Low-wage job proximity) Diesel PM	Student poverty rate
Median home value) Drinking water contaminates	
) Pesticides	
) Toxic releases from facilities	
) Traffic density	
) Cleanup sites	
) Groundwater threats	
) Hazardous waste	
) Impaired water bodies	
) Solid waste sites	

⁴ California Fair Housing Taskforce Revised opportunity Mapping Technology, Updated November 27, 2018: <https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/opportunity/final-opportunity-mapping-methodology.pdf>

Based on its respective access to opportunity, each census tract is given a score that designates it under one of the following categories:

-) High segregation & poverty
-) Low resource
-) Moderate resource
-) High resource
-) Highest resource

Tract-level indices were summed to the jurisdictional-level by SCAG using area-weighted interpolation. Using 2013-2017 American Community Survey population data, SCAG determined the share of each jurisdiction’s population in each of these five categories. For example:

	Lowest Resource				Very High Resource
Opportunity Indicator Category	High segregation & poverty	Low resource	Moderate resource	High resource	Highest resource
City A Percentage of population	10%	10%	30%	30%	20%
City B Percentage of population	90%	5%	5%	0%	0%
City C Percentage of population	0%	0%	10%	15%	75%

The recommended methodology determines high resource concentration using the “very high” resource area score. The recommended methodology determines “lowest” resource areas by combining the two lowest measures. In the above table, City B would be considered to have a much higher concentration of lower resource areas than City A. City C would be considered to have a much higher concentration of highest resource areas.⁵

-) High segregation & Poverty + Low Resource = Lowest Resource
-) Highest Resource

Jurisdictions that are identified as having between 70 and 100 percent of the population within a lowest or very high resource area are assigned an additional 10 and 30 percent social equity adjustment:


⁵ As a cross-reference, if City B has both a high job and transit accessibility it would be exempt from the redistribution of residual existing need from the RHNA methodology’s Step 2d because more than 50 percent of its population is within a very low resource area. On the other hand City A and City C, if they have a high job and transit access, would not be exempt from receiving regional residual need because they have only 20 percent and 0 percent of their respective population within a very low resource area.

Concentration of population within very low or very high resource area	Additional social equity adjustment
70-80%	+10%
80-90%	+20%
90-100%	+30%

In the example table, City B would receive an additional social equity adjustment of 30% because 95% of its population is within a lowest resource area (sum of high segregation & poverty and low resource measures). City C would receive an additional social equity adjustment of 10% because 75% of its population is within a very high resource area. City A would not receive a further adjustment because it does not have a high enough concentration of population within either the lowest or very high resource categories.

Assigning a higher social equity adjustment based on Opportunity Indices will result in a higher percentage of affordable housing units to areas that have higher resources. Concurrently, it will assign a lower percentage of affordable housing in areas where they is already an overconcentration. Because Opportunity Indices consider factors such as access to lower wage jobs, poverty rates, and school proficiency, the social equity adjustment in the RHNA methodology will result in factors beyond simply household income distribution. This additional adjustment will help to adjust the disparity in access to fair housing across the region, furthering the AFFH objective required in State housing law.

Once the social equity adjustment is determined, it is used to assign need to the four income categories.



Final Adjustments

On a regional level the final RHNA allocation plan must be the same as the regional determination, by income category, provided by HCD. The final RHNA methodology will result in slight differences, among income categories, since income categories are required to use county distributions as benchmarks and the HCD determination does not include county-level benchmarks. For this reason, after the initial income categories are determined for jurisdictions, SCAG will apply a normalization adjustment to the draft fsRHNA allocation to ensure that the regional total by income category is maintained.

Additionally, in the event that a jurisdiction receives an allocation of zero (0) units under the RHNA methodology a minimum RHNA allocation of eight (8) units would be assigned. Government Code Section 65584.04(m)(2) requires that the final RHNA allocation plan ensure that each jurisdiction receive an allocation of units for low- and very low income households. Under these circumstances, SCAG will assign those jurisdictions a minimum of four (4) units in the very low income category and four (4) units in the low income category for a draft RHNA allocation of eight (8) units.

Meeting the Objectives of RHNA

Government Code Section 65584.04(a) requires that the RHNA methodology furthers the five objectives of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment:

- (1) Increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability in all cities and counties within the region in an equitable manner, which shall result in each jurisdiction receiving an allocation of units for low- and very low income households.
- (2) Promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region's greenhouse gas reductions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.
- (3) Promoting an improved intraregional relationship between jobs and housing, including an improved balance between the number of low-wage jobs and the number of housing units affordable to low-wage workers in each jurisdiction.
- (4) Allocating a lower proportion of housing need to an income category when a jurisdiction already has a disproportionately high share of households in that income category, as compared to the countywide distribution of households in that category from the most recent American Community Survey.
- (5) Affirmatively furthering fair housing.
 - (e) For purposes of this section, "affirmatively furthering fair housing" means taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws.

On January 13, 2020, HCD completed its review of SCAG's draft RHNA methodology and found that it furthers the five statutory objectives of RHNA.

Local Planning Factors

As part of the development of the proposed RHNA methodology, SCAG must conduct a survey of planning factors that identify local conditions and explain how each of the listed factors are incorporated into the RHNA methodology. This survey, also known as the “Local Planning Factor” survey, is a specific requirement for the RHNA methodology process and is separate from the local review process of the Growth Forecast used as the basis for determining future growth in the Connect SoCal plan.

The survey was distributed to all SCAG jurisdictions in mid-March 2019 with a posted due date of May 30, 2019. One-hundred and nine (109) jurisdictions, or approximately 55%, submitted a response to the local planning factor survey. To facilitate the conversation about local planning factors, between October 2017 and October 2018 SCAG included these factors as part of the local input survey and surveyed a binary yes/no as to whether these factors impacted jurisdictions. The formal local planning factor survey was pre-populated with the pre-survey answers to help facilitate survey response. The full packet of local planning factor surveys can be downloaded at www.scag.ca.gov/rhna.

SCAG staff reviewed each of the submitted surveys to analyze planning factors opportunities and constraints across the region. The collected information was used to ensure that the methodology will equitably distribute housing need and that underlying challenges as a region are collectively addressed.

- (1) *Each member jurisdiction’s existing and projected jobs and housing relationship. This shall include an estimate, based on readily available data, of the number of low-wage jobs within the jurisdiction and how many housing units within the jurisdiction are affordable to low-wage workers as well as an estimate, based on readily available data, of projected job growth and projected household growth by income level within each member jurisdiction during the planning period.*

The RHNA methodology directly considers job accessibility and determines a portion of housing need for each jurisdiction based on this factor. Using transportation analysis zones as a basis, the percentage of jobs accessible within a 30 minute drive for a jurisdiction’s population is determined and then weighted based on the jurisdiction’s population size to determine individual shares of regional jobs accessible. Based on a review of other potential mechanisms to factor in jobs into the RHNA methodology, SCAG staff has determined that this mechanism most closely aligns with the goals of State housing law.

A supplemental analysis of the impact of the draft RHNA methodology’s impact on jobs-housing relationships and low-wage jobs-housing relationships was provided to the Regional Council on February 5, 2020.

- (2) *The opportunities and constraints to development of additional housing in each member jurisdiction, including all of the following:*
- (A) *Lack of capacity for sewer or water service due to federal or state laws, regulations or regulatory actions, or supply and distribution decisions made by a sewer or water service provider other than the local jurisdiction that preclude the jurisdiction from providing necessary infrastructure for additional development during the planning period.*
 - (B) *The availability of land suitable for urban development or for conversion to residential use, the availability of underutilized land, and opportunities for infill development and increased residential densities. The council of governments may not limit its consideration of suitable housing sites or land suitable for urban development to existing zoning ordinances and land use restrictions of a locality, but shall consider the potential for increased residential development under alternative zoning ordinances and land use restrictions. The determination of available land suitable for urban development may exclude lands where the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or the Department of Water Resources has determined that the flood management infrastructure designed to protect that land is not adequate to avoid the risk of flooding.*
 - (C) *Lands preserved or protected from urban development under existing federal or state programs, or both, designed to protect open space, farmland, environmental habitats, and natural resources on a long-term basis, including land zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to non-agricultural uses.*
 - (D) *County policies to preserve prime agricultural land, as defined pursuant to Section 56064, within an unincorporated area and land within an unincorporated area zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts its conversion to non-agricultural uses.*

Consideration of the above planning factors have been incorporated into the Growth Forecast process and results by way of analysis of aerial land use data, general plan, parcel level property data, open space, agricultural land and resource areas, and forecast surveys distributed to local jurisdictions. The bottom-up Local Input and Envisioning Process, which is used as the basis for both RHNA and SCAG's Connect SoCal (Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy) started with an extensive outreach effort involving all local jurisdictions regarding their land use and development constraints. All local jurisdictions were invited to provide SCAG their respective growth perspective and input. The RHNA methodology directly incorporates local input on projected household growth, which should be a direct reflection of local planning factors such as lack of water or sewer capacity, FEMA-designated flood sites, and open space and agricultural land protection.

Prior RHNA cycles did not promote direct linkage to transit proximity and the methodology encourages more efficient land use patterns by utilizing existing as well as future planned transportation infrastructure and preserves areas designated as open space and agricultural

lands. In particular the inclusion of transit proximity places an increased emphasis on infill opportunities and areas that are more likely to support higher residential densities.

- (3) *The distribution of household growth assumed for purposes of a comparable period of regional transportation plans and opportunities to maximize the use of public transportation and existing transportation infrastructure.*

As indicated above, the Growth Forecast used as the basis for the Connect SoCal Plan is also used as the basis for projected household growth in the RHNA methodology. The weighting of a jurisdiction's population share within an HQTAs directly maximizes the use of public transportation and existing transportation infrastructure.

- (4) *Agreements between a county and cities in a county to direct growth toward incorporated areas of the county, and land within an unincorporated area zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of the jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to nonagricultural uses.*

This planning factor has been identified through the local input process and local planning factor survey collection as affecting growth within Ventura County. The urban growth boundary, known as Save Our Agricultural Resources (SOAR), is an agreement between the County of Ventura and its incorporated cities to direct growth toward incorporated areas, and was recently extended to 2050. Based on the input collected, SCAG staff has concluded that this factor is already reflected in the RHNA methodology since it was considered and incorporated into the local input submitted by jurisdictions.

- (5) *The loss of units contained in assisted housing developments, as defined in paragraph (9) of subdivision (a) of Section 65583 that changed to non-low-income use through mortgage prepayment, subsidy contract expirations, or termination of use restrictions.*

The conversion of low income units into non-low income units is not explicitly addressed through the distribution of existing and projected housing need. Staff has provided statistics in the RHNA methodology appendix on the potential loss of units in assisted housing developments. The loss of such units affects the proportion of affordable housing needed within a community and the region as a whole.

Local planning factor survey responses indicate that the impact of this factor is not regionally uniform. Many jurisdictions that replied some units are at-risk for losing their affordability status in the near future have indicated that they are currently reviewing and developing local resources to address the potential loss. Based on this, SCAG staff has determined that at-risk units are best addressed through providing data on these units as part of the RHNA methodology and giving local jurisdictions the discretion to address this factor and adequately plan for any at-risk unit loss in preparing their housing elements.

(6) *The percentage of existing households at each of the income levels listed in subdivision (e) of Section 65584 that are paying more than 30 percent and more than 50 percent of their income in rent.*

An evaluation of survey responses reveals that cost-burdened households, or those who pay at least 30 percent of their household income on housing costs, is a prevalent problem throughout the region. The RHNA methodology also includes in its appendix data from the ACS 2013-2017 on cost-burdened statistics for households who pay more than 30 percent of their income on housing by owner and renter, and for renter households who pay 50 percent or more of their income on housing. The general trend is seen in both high and low income communities, suggesting that in most of the SCAG region high housing costs are a problem for all income levels.

Nonetheless a large number of jurisdictions indicated in the survey that overpaying for housing costs disproportionately impacts lower income households in comparison to higher income households. This issue is exacerbated in areas where there is not enough affordable housing available, particularly in higher income areas. For this reason, the RHNA methodology incorporates not only a 150 percent social equity adjustment, but also uses the TCAC Opportunity Indices to distribute the RHNA allocation into the four income categories in areas identified as being the highest resource areas of the region. The Opportunity Indices include a proximity to jobs indicator, particularly for low-wage jobs, which identifies areas with a high geographical mismatch between low wage jobs and affordable housing. Increasing affordable housing supply in these areas can help alleviate cost-burden experienced by local lower income households because more affordable options will be available.

The reason for using social equity adjustment and opportunity indices to address cost-burdened households rather than assigning total need is because it is impossible to determine through the methodology how and why the cost-burden is occurring in a particular jurisdiction. Cost-burden is a symptom of housing need and not its cause. A jurisdiction might permit a high number of units but still experiences cost-burden because other jurisdictions restrict residential permitting. Or, a jurisdiction might have a large number of owner-occupied housing units that command premium pricing, causing cost-burden for high income households and especially on lower income households due to high rents from high land costs. An analysis of existing need indicators by jurisdiction, which is part of the RHNA methodology data appendix, does not reveal a single strong trend to base a distribution methodology for cost-burden and thus the RHNA methodology distributes this existing need indicator regionally using social equity adjustment and Opportunity Indices rather than to where the indicators exist.

(7) *The rate of overcrowding.*

An evaluation of survey responses indicates that there is a variety of trends in overcrowding throughout the region. Overcrowding is defined as more than 1.01 persons per room (not bedroom) in a housing unit. Some jurisdictions have responded that overcrowding is a severe issue, particularly for lower income and/or renter households, while others have

responded that overcrowding is not an issue at all. At the regional determination level HCD applied an overcrowding component, which is a new requirement for the 6th RHNA cycle. Because

Similar to cost-burden, overcrowding is caused by an accumulated housing supply deficit and is considered an indicator of existing housing need. The reason for not assigning need directly based on this indicator is because it is impossible to determine through the methodology how and why the overcrowding is occurring in a particular jurisdiction. A jurisdiction that has an overcrowding rate higher than the regional average might be issuing more residential permits than the regional average while the surrounding jurisdictions might not have overcrowding issues but issue fewer permits than the regional average. An analysis of existing need indicators by jurisdiction, which is part of the RHNA methodology data appendix, does not reveal a single strong trend to base a distribution methodology for overcrowding and thus the methodology distributes this existing need indicator regionally rather than to where the indicators exist.

While not specifically surveyed, several jurisdictions have indicated that density has affected their jurisdictions and have requested that the methodology should consider this as a factor. While density is not directly addressed as a factor, the social equity adjustment indirectly addresses density particularly for lower income jurisdictions. In housing elements, jurisdictions most demonstrate that a site is affordable for lower income households by applying a “default density”, defined in State housing law as either 20 or 30 dwelling units per acre depending on geography and population. In other words, a site that is zoned at 30 dwelling units per acre is automatically considered as meeting the zoning need for a low income household.

However there is not a corresponding default density for above moderate income zoning. Assigning a lower percentage of lower income households than existing conditions indirectly reduces future density since the jurisdiction can zone at lower densities if it so chooses. While this result does not apply to higher income jurisdictions, directing growth toward less dense areas for the explicit purpose of reducing density is in direct contradiction to the objectives of state housing law, especially for promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development pattern.

(8) *The housing needs of farmworkers.*

The RHNA methodology appendix provides data on agricultural jobs by jurisdiction as well as workers by place of residence. The survey responses indicate that most jurisdictions do not have agricultural land or only have small agricultural operations that do not necessarily require designated farmworker housing. For the geographically concentrated areas that do have farmworker housing, responses indicate that many jurisdictions already permit or are working to allow farmworker housing by-right in the same manner as other agricultural uses are allowed. Jurisdictions that are affected by the housing needs of farmworkers can be assumed to have considered this local factor when submitting feedback on SCAG’s Growth

Forecast. A number of jurisdictions reiterated their approach in the local planning factor survey response.

Similar to at-risk units, the RHNA methodology does not include a distribution mechanism to distribute farmworker housing. However, SCAG has provided data in its RHNA methodology appendix related to this factor and encourages local jurisdictions to adequately plan for this need in their housing elements.

(9) The housing needs generated by the presence of a private university or a campus of the California State University or the University of California within any member jurisdiction.

SCAG staff has prepared a map outlining the location of four-year private and public universities in the SCAG region along with enrollment numbers from the California School Campus Database (2018). Based on an evaluation of survey responses that indicated a presence of a university within their boundaries, SCAG staff concludes that most housing needs related to university enrollment are addressed and met by dormitories provided by the institution both on- and off-campus. No jurisdiction expressed concern in the surveys about student housing needs due to the presence of a university within their jurisdiction.

However, some jurisdictions have indicated outside of the survey that off-campus student housing is an important issue within their jurisdictions and are in dialogue with HCD to determine how this type of housing can be integrated into their local housing elements. Because this circumstance applies to only a handful of jurisdictions, it is recommended that housing needs generated by a public or private university be addressed in the jurisdiction's housing element if it is applicable.

(10) The loss of units during a state of emergency that was declared by the Governor pursuant to the California Emergency Services Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 8550) of Division 1 of Title 2), during the planning period immediately preceding the relevant revision pursuant to Section 65588 that have yet to be rebuilt or replaced at the time of the analysis.

Replacement need, defined as units that have been demolished but not yet replaced, are included as a component of projected housing need in the RHNA methodology. To determine this number, HCD reviewed historical demolition permit data between 2008 and 2017 (reporting years 2009 and 2018) as reported by the California Department of Finance (DOF), and assigned SCAG a regional replacement need of 0.5% of projected and existing need, or 34,010 units.

There have been several states of emergency declared for fires in the SCAG region that have destroyed residential units, as indicated by several jurisdictions in their local planning factor survey responses. Survey responses indicate that a total of 1,785 units have been lost regionally from fires occurring after January 1, 2018. Units lost from fires that occurred prior to January 1, 2018, have already been counted in the replacement need for the 6th RHNA cycle.

In spring 2019, SCAG conducted a replacement need survey with jurisdictions to determine units that have been replaced on the site of demolished units reported. Region wide 23,545 of the region's demolished units still needed to be replaced based on survey results. The sum of the number of units needing to be replaced based on the replacement need survey and the number of units reported as lost due to recent states of emergency, or 25,330, is lower than HCD's regional determination of replacement need of 34,010. One can reasonably conclude that units lost based on this planning factor are already included in the regional total and distributed, and thus an extra mechanism to distribute RHNA based on this factor is not necessary to meet the loss of units.

(11) *The region's greenhouse gas emissions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.*

An assessment of survey responses indicate that a number of jurisdictions in the SCAG region are developing efforts for more efficient land use patterns and zoning that would result in greenhouse gas emissions. These include a mix of high-density housing types, neighborhood based mixed-use zoning, climate action plans, and other local efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions at the regional level.

The RHNA methodology includes a distribution of 50 percent of regional existing need based on a jurisdiction's share of regional population within an HQT. The linkage between housing planning and transportation planning will allow for a better alignment between the RHNA allocation plan and the Connect SoCal RTP/SCS. It will promote more efficient development land use patterns, encourage transit use, and importantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This will in turn support local efforts already underway to support the reduction of regional greenhouse gas emissions.

Moreover the RHNA methodology includes the Growth Forecast reviewed with local input as a distribution component, particularly for projected housing need. Local input is a basis for SCAG's Connect SoCal Plan, which addresses greenhouse gas emissions at the regional level since it is used to reach the State Air Resources Board regional targets. An analysis of the consistency between the RHNA and Connect SoCal Plan is included as an attachment to this document.

(12) *Any other factors adopted by the council of governments that further the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584, provided that the council of governments specifies which of the objectives each additional factor is necessary to further. The council of governments may include additional factors unrelated to furthering the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 so long as the additional factors do not undermine the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 and are applied equally across all household income levels as described in subdivision (f) of Section 65584 and the council of governments makes a finding that the factor is necessary to address significant health and safety conditions.*

No other planning factors were adopted by SCAG to review as a specific local planning factor.

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)

Among a number of changes due to recent RHNA legislation is the inclusion of affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH) as both an addition to the listed State housing objectives of Government Section 65588 and to the requirements of RHNA methodology as listed in Government Code Section 65584.04(b) and (c), which includes surveying jurisdictions on AFFH issues and strategies and developing a regional analysis of findings from the survey.

AFFH Survey

The AFFH survey accompanied the required local planning factor survey and was sent to all SCAG jurisdictions in mid-March 2019 with a posted due date of May 30, 2019. Ninety (90) of SCAG's 197 jurisdictions completed the AFFH survey, though some jurisdictions indicated that they would not be submitting the AFFH survey due to various reasons. The full packet of surveys submitted prior to the development of the proposed methodology packet can be downloaded at www.scag.ca.gov/rhna.

Jurisdictions were asked various questions regarding fair housing issues, strategies and actions. These questions included:

-) Describe demographic trends and patterns in your jurisdiction over the past ten years. Do any groups experience disproportionate housing needs?
-) To what extent do the following factors impact your jurisdiction by contributing to segregated housing patterns or racially or ethnically-concentrated areas of poverty?
-) To what extent do the following acts as determinants for fair housing and compliance issues in your jurisdiction?
-) What are your public outreach strategies to reach disadvantaged communities?
-) What steps has your jurisdiction undertaken to overcome historical patterns of segregation or remove barriers to equal housing opportunity?

The survey questions were based on the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice survey that each jurisdiction, or their designated local Housing Authority, must submit to HUD to receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. For the AFFH survey, jurisdictions were encouraged to review their HUD-submitted surveys to obtain data and information that would be useful for submitting the AFFH survey.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584.04(c), the following is an analysis of the survey results.

Themes

Several demographic themes emerged throughout the SCAG region based on submitted AFFH surveys. A high number of jurisdictions indicated that their senior populations are increasing and many indicated that the fixed income typically associated with senior populations might have an effect on housing affordability. Other jurisdictions have experienced an increase in minority populations, especially among Latino and Asian groups. There is also a trend of the loss of young adults (typically younger than 30) and a decrease in the number of families with children in more suburban locations due to the rise in housing costs.

Barriers

There was a wide variety of barriers reported in the AFFH survey, though a number of jurisdictions indicated they did not have any reportable barriers to fair access to housing. Throughout the SCAG region, communities of all types reported that community opposition to all types of housing was an impediment to housing development. Sometimes the opposition occurred in existing low income and minority areas. Some jurisdictions indicated that high opportunity resource areas currently do not have a lot of affordable housing or Section 8 voucher units while at the same time, these areas have a fundamental misunderstanding of who affordable housing serves and what affordable housing buildings actually look like. Based on these responses, it appears that community opposition to housing, especially affordable housing and the associated stigma with affordable housing, is a prevalent barrier throughout the SCAG region.

Other barriers to access to fair housing are caused by high land and development costs since they contribute to very few affordable housing projects being proposed in higher opportunity areas. The high cost of housing also limits access to fair housing and is a significant contributing factor to disparities in access to opportunity. Increasing property values were reported across the region and some jurisdictions indicated that they are occurring in existing affordable neighborhoods and can contribute to gentrification and displacement. Additionally, during the economic downturn a large number of Black and Latino homeowners were disproportionately impacted by predatory lending practices and therefore entered foreclosure in higher numbers than other populations.

Other barriers reported in the AFFH survey include the lack of funding available to develop housing after the dissolution of redevelopment agencies in 2012. Moreover, some jurisdictions indicated that the lack of regional cooperation contributes to segregation.

Strategies to Overcome Barriers

All submitted AFFH surveys indicated that their respective jurisdictions employed at least a few strategies to overcome barriers to access fair housing. These strategies ranged from local planning and zoning tools to funding assistance to innovative outreach strategies.

In regard to planning and zoning tools, a number of jurisdictions indicated they have adopted inclusionary zoning ordinances or an in-lieu fee to increase the number of affordable units within their jurisdictions. Others have adopted an accessory dwelling unit (ADU) ordinance with accommodating standards to allow for higher densities in existing single-family zone neighborhoods. A few jurisdictions indicated that they have adopted an unpermitted dwelling unit (UDU) ordinance, which legalizes unpermitted units instead of removing them provided that the units meet health and safety codes. In addition to ADU and UDU ordinances, some jurisdictions have also adopted density bonuses, which allow a project to exceed existing density standards if it meets certain affordability requirements. Some responses in the survey indicate that the establishment of some of these tools and standards have reduced community opposition to projects. In addition, some jurisdictions responded that they have reduced review times for residential permit approvals and reduced or waived fees associated with affordable housing development.

To combat gentrification and displacement, some jurisdictions have established rent-stabilization ordinances while others have established a rent registry so that the jurisdiction can monitor rents

and landlord practices. Some jurisdictions have adopted relocation plans and others are actively seeking to extend affordability covenants for those that are expiring.

In regard to funding, SCAG jurisdictions provide a wide variety of support to increase the supply of affordable housing and increase access to fair housing. A number of jurisdictions provide citywide rental assistance programs for low income households and some indicated that their programs include favorable home purchasing options. Some of these programs also encourage developers to utilize the local first-time homebuyer assistance program to specifically qualify lower income applicants.

Other jurisdictions indicate that they manage housing improvement programs to ensure that their existing affordable housing stock is well maintained. Some AFFH surveys describe local multiple rental assistance programs, including Section 8 Housing Choice vouchers and financial support of tenant/landlord arbitration or mediation services.

Some jurisdictions indicated that they have focused on mobile homes as a way to increase access to fair housing. There are programs described that assist households that live in dilapidated and unsafe mobile homes in unpermitted mobile home parks by allowing the household to trade in their mobile home in exchange for a new one in a permitted mobile park. Other programs include rental assistance specifically for households who live in mobile homes.

In regard to community outreach, a large number of jurisdictions in the SCAG region have established or are seeking to establish innovative partnerships to increase access to fair housing and reduce existing barriers. Many jurisdictions work with fair housing advocacy groups such as the Housing Rights Center, which provide community workshops, counseling, and tenant-landlord mediation services. Other jurisdictions have established landlord-tenant commissions to resolve housing disputes and provide services to individuals with limited resources. Some jurisdictions have partnered with advocacy groups, such as the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), to hold community-based workshops featuring simultaneous multi-lingual translations. Other innovative partnerships created by jurisdictions include those with local schools and school districts and public health institutions to engage disadvantaged groups and provide services to areas with limited resources.

A large number of jurisdictions have also indicated that they have increased their social media presence to reach more communities. Others have also increased their multi-lingual outreach efforts to ensure that limited-English proficiency populations have the opportunity to engage in local fair housing efforts.

Based on the AFFH surveys submitted by jurisdictions, while there is a wide range of barriers to fair housing opportunities in the SCAG region there is also a wide range of strategies to help overcome these barriers at the local level.

Meeting AFFH Objectives on a Regional Basis

To work towards the objective of AFFH, several benchmarks were reviewed as potential indicators of increasing access to fair housing and removing barriers that led to historical segregation patterns.

Opportunity Indices

The objectives of affirmatively furthering fair housing are to not only overcome patterns of segregation, but to also increase access to opportunity for historically marginalized groups, particularly in racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty. In 2015 the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) developed a set of indices, known as “Opportunity Indices” to help states and jurisdictions identify factors that contribute to fair housing issues in their region and comply with the federal Fair Housing Act.

In 2015 the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) developed a set of indices, known as “Opportunity Indices” to help states and jurisdictions identify factors that contribute to fair housing issues in their region and comply with the federal Fair Housing Act. In late 2017, a Task Force convened by HCD and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) released an “Opportunity mapping” tool based on these HUD indices to identify areas in California that can “offer low-income children and adults the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health.”

The TCAC and HCD Opportunity mapping tool includes a total of eleven (11) census-tract level indices to measure exposure to opportunity in local communities. Regional patterns of segregation can be identified based on this tool. The indices are based on indicators such as poverty levels, low wage job proximity, pollution, math and reading proficiency. Below is a summary table of the 11 indices sorted by type:

Economic	Environment	Education
Poverty	CalEnviroScreen 3.0 indicators	Math proficiency
Adult education) Ozone	Reading proficiency
Employment) PM2.5	High school graduation rates
Low-wage job proximity) Diesel PM	Student poverty rate
Median home value) Drinking water contaminates	
) Pesticides	
) Toxic releases from facilities	
) Traffic density	
) Cleanup sites	
) Groundwater threats	
) Hazardous waste	
) Impaired water bodies	
) Solid waste sites	

To further the objectives of AFFH, SCAG utilizes the Opportunity indices tool at multiple points in the RHNA methodology. Jurisdictions that have the highest concentration of population in low resource areas are exempted from receiving regional residual existing need, which will result in fewer units

assigned to areas identified as having high rates of poverty and racial segregation. Additionally, jurisdictions with the highest concentration of population within highest resource areas will receive a higher social equity adjustment, which will result in more access to opportunity for lower income households.

Public Engagement

The development of a comprehensive RHNA methodology requires comprehensive public engagement. Government Code Section 65584.04(d) requires at least one public hearing to receive oral and written comments on the proposed methodology, and also requires SCAG to distribute the proposed methodology to all jurisdictions and requesting stakeholders, along with publishing the proposed methodology on the SCAG website. The official public comment period on the proposed RHNA methodology began on August 1, 2019 after Regional Council action and concluded on September 13, 2019.

To maximize public engagement opportunities, SCAG staff hosted four public workshops to receive verbal and written comment on the proposed RHNA methodology and an additional public information session in August 2019:

-) August 15, 6-8 p.m. Public Workshop, Los Angeles (View-only webcasting available)
-) August 20, 1-3 p.m. Public Workshop, Los Angeles (Videoconference at SCAG regional offices and View-only webcasting available)
-) August 22, 1-3 p.m., Public Workshop, Irvine
-) August 27, 6-8 p.m., Public Workshop, San Bernardino (View-only webcasting available)
-) August 29, 1-3pm Public Information Session, Santa Clarita

Approximately 250 people attended the workshops in-person, at videoconference locations, or via webcast. Over 35 individual verbal comments were shared over the four workshops.

To increase participation from individuals and stakeholders that are unable to participate during regular working hours, two of the public workshops were held in the evening hours. One of the workshops was held in the Inland Empire. SCAG worked with its Environmental Justice Working Group (EJWG) and local stakeholder groups to reach out to their respective contacts in order to maximize outreach to groups representing low income, minority, and other traditionally disadvantaged populations.

Almost 250 written comments were submitted by the comment deadline and included a wide range of stakeholders. Approximately 50 percent were from local jurisdictions and subregions, and the other 50 percent were submitted by advocacy organizations, industry groups, residents and resident groups, and the general public. All of the comments received, both verbal and written, were reviewed by SCAG staff, and were used as the basis for developing the RHNA methodology.

The increased involvement by the number of jurisdictions and stakeholders beyond the municipal level compared to prior RHNA cycles indicate an increased level of interest by the public in the housing crisis and its solutions, and the efforts of SCAG to meet these interests. As part of its housing

program initiatives, SCAG will continue to reach out to not only jurisdictions, but to advocacy groups and traditionally disadvantaged communities that have not historically participated in the RHNA process and regional housing planning. These efforts will be expanded beyond the RHNA program and will be encompassed into addressing the housing crisis at the regional level and ensuring that those at the local and community level can be part of solutions to the housing crisis.

Additional RHNA Methodology Supporting Materials

Please note that additional supporting materials for the RHNA Methodology have been posted on SCAG's RHNA website at www.scag.ca.gov/rhna including Data Appendix, Local Planning Factor Survey Responses and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Survey Responses.

State of California

GOVERNMENT CODE

Section 65080

65080. (a) Each transportation planning agency designated under Section 29532 or 29532.1 shall prepare and adopt a regional transportation plan directed at achieving a coordinated and balanced regional transportation system, including, but not limited to, mass transportation, highway, railroad, maritime, bicycle, pedestrian, goods movement, and aviation facilities and services. The plan shall be action-oriented and pragmatic, considering both the short-term and long-term future, and shall present clear, concise policy guidance to local and state officials. The regional transportation plan shall consider factors specified in Section 134 of Title 23 of the United States Code. Each transportation planning agency shall consider and incorporate, as appropriate, the transportation plans of cities, counties, districts, private organizations, and state and federal agencies.

(b) The regional transportation plan shall be an internally consistent document and shall include all of the following:

(1) A policy element that describes the transportation issues in the region, identifies and quantifies regional needs, and describes the desired short-range and long-range transportation goals, and pragmatic objective and policy statements. The objective and policy statements shall be consistent with the funding estimates of the financial element. The policy element of transportation planning agencies with populations that exceed 200,000 persons may quantify a set of indicators including, but not limited to, all of the following:

(A) Measures of mobility and traffic congestion, including, but not limited to, daily vehicle hours of delay per capita and vehicle miles traveled per capita.

(B) Measures of road and bridge maintenance and rehabilitation needs, including, but not limited to, roadway pavement and bridge conditions.

(C) Measures of means of travel, including, but not limited to, percentage share of all trips (work and nonwork) made by all of the following:

(i) Single occupant vehicle.

(ii) Multiple occupant vehicle or carpool.

(iii) Public transit including commuter rail and intercity rail.

(iv) Walking.

(v) Bicycling.

(D) Measures of safety and security, including, but not limited to, total injuries and fatalities assigned to each of the modes set forth in subparagraph (C).

(E) Measures of equity and accessibility, including, but not limited to, percentage of the population served by frequent and reliable public transit, with a breakdown by

income bracket, and percentage of all jobs accessible by frequent and reliable public transit service, with a breakdown by income bracket.

(F) The requirements of this section may be met using existing sources of information. No additional traffic counts, household surveys, or other sources of data shall be required.

(2) A sustainable communities strategy prepared by each metropolitan planning organization as follows:

(A) No later than September 30, 2010, the State Air Resources Board shall provide each affected region with greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for the automobile and light truck sector for 2020 and 2035, respectively.

(i) No later than January 31, 2009, the state board shall appoint a Regional Targets Advisory Committee to recommend factors to be considered and methodologies to be used for setting greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for the affected regions. The committee shall be composed of representatives of the metropolitan planning organizations, affected air districts, the League of California Cities, the California State Association of Counties, local transportation agencies, and members of the public, including homebuilders, environmental organizations, planning organizations, environmental justice organizations, affordable housing organizations, and others. The advisory committee shall transmit a report with its recommendations to the state board no later than September 30, 2009. In recommending factors to be considered and methodologies to be used, the advisory committee may consider any relevant issues, including, but not limited to, data needs, modeling techniques, growth forecasts, the impacts of regional jobs-housing balance on interregional travel and greenhouse gas emissions, economic and demographic trends, the magnitude of greenhouse gas reduction benefits from a variety of land use and transportation strategies, and appropriate methods to describe regional targets and to monitor performance in attaining those targets. The state board shall consider the report before setting the targets.

(ii) Before setting the targets for a region, the state board shall exchange technical information with the metropolitan planning organization and the affected air district. The metropolitan planning organization may recommend a target for the region. The metropolitan planning organization shall hold at least one public workshop within the region after receipt of the report from the advisory committee. The state board shall release draft targets for each region no later than June 30, 2010.

(iii) In establishing these targets, the state board shall take into account greenhouse gas emission reductions that will be achieved by improved vehicle emission standards, changes in fuel composition, and other measures it has approved that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the affected regions, and prospective measures the state board plans to adopt to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from other greenhouse gas emission sources as that term is defined in subdivision (i) of Section 38505 of the Health and Safety Code and consistent with the regulations promulgated pursuant to the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code), including Section 38566 of the Health and Safety Code.

(iv) The state board shall update the regional greenhouse gas emission reduction targets every eight years consistent with each metropolitan planning organization's timeframe for updating its regional transportation plan under federal law until 2050. The state board may revise the targets every four years based on changes in the factors considered under clause (iii). The state board shall exchange technical information with the Department of Transportation, metropolitan planning organizations, local governments, and affected air districts and engage in a consultative process with public and private stakeholders, before updating these targets.

(v) The greenhouse gas emission reduction targets may be expressed in gross tons, tons per capita, tons per household, or in any other metric deemed appropriate by the state board.

(B) Each metropolitan planning organization shall prepare a sustainable communities strategy, subject to the requirements of Part 450 of Title 23 of, and Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of Federal Regulations, including the requirement to use the most recent planning assumptions considering local general plans and other factors. The sustainable communities strategy shall (i) identify the general location of uses, residential densities, and building intensities within the region, (ii) identify areas within the region sufficient to house all the population of the region, including all economic segments of the population, over the course of the planning period of the regional transportation plan taking into account net migration into the region, population growth, household formation and employment growth, (iii) identify areas within the region sufficient to house an eight-year projection of the regional housing need for the region pursuant to Section 65584, (iv) identify a transportation network to service the transportation needs of the region, (v) gather and consider the best practically available scientific information regarding resource areas and farmland in the region as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 65080.01, (vi) consider the state housing goals specified in Sections 65580 and 65581, (vii) set forth a forecasted development pattern for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network, and other transportation measures and policies, will reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board, and (viii) allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506).

(C) (i) Within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, as defined by Section 66502, the Association of Bay Area Governments shall be responsible for clauses (i), (ii), (iii), (v), and (vi) of subparagraph (B); the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall be responsible for clauses (iv) and (viii) of subparagraph (B); and the Association of Bay Area Governments and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall jointly be responsible for clause (vii) of subparagraph (B).

(ii) Within the jurisdiction of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, as defined in Sections 66800 and 66801, the Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization shall use the Regional Plan for the Lake Tahoe Region as the sustainable community strategy, provided that it complies with clauses (vii) and (viii) of subparagraph (B).

(D) In the region served by the Southern California Association of Governments, a subregional council of governments and the county transportation commission may work together to propose the sustainable communities strategy and an alternative planning strategy, if one is prepared pursuant to subparagraph (I), for that subregional area. The metropolitan planning organization may adopt a framework for a subregional sustainable communities strategy or a subregional alternative planning strategy to address the intraregional land use, transportation, economic, air quality, and climate policy relationships. The metropolitan planning organization shall include the subregional sustainable communities strategy for that subregion in the regional sustainable communities strategy to the extent consistent with this section and federal law and approve the subregional alternative planning strategy, if one is prepared pursuant to subparagraph (I), for that subregional area to the extent consistent with this section. The metropolitan planning organization shall develop overall guidelines, create public participation plans pursuant to subparagraph (F), ensure coordination, resolve conflicts, make sure that the overall plan complies with applicable legal requirements, and adopt the plan for the region.

(E) The metropolitan planning organization shall conduct at least two informational meetings in each county within the region for members of the board of supervisors and city councils on the sustainable communities strategy and alternative planning strategy, if any. The metropolitan planning organization may conduct only one informational meeting if it is attended by representatives of the county board of supervisors and city council members representing a majority of the cities representing a majority of the population in the incorporated areas of that county. Notice of the meeting or meetings shall be sent to the clerk of the board of supervisors and to each city clerk. The purpose of the meeting or meetings shall be to discuss the sustainable communities strategy and the alternative planning strategy, if any, including the key land use and planning assumptions to the members of the board of supervisors and the city council members in that county and to solicit and consider their input and recommendations.

(F) Each metropolitan planning organization shall adopt a public participation plan, for development of the sustainable communities strategy and an alternative planning strategy, if any, that includes all of the following:

(i) Outreach efforts to encourage the active participation of a broad range of stakeholder groups in the planning process, consistent with the agency's adopted Federal Public Participation Plan, including, but not limited to, affordable housing advocates, transportation advocates, neighborhood and community groups, environmental advocates, home builder representatives, broad-based business organizations, landowners, commercial property interests, and homeowner associations.

(ii) Consultation with congestion management agencies, transportation agencies, and transportation commissions.

(iii) Workshops throughout the region to provide the public with the information and tools necessary to provide a clear understanding of the issues and policy choices. At least one workshop shall be held in each county in the region. For counties with a population greater than 500,000, at least three workshops shall be held. Each

workshop, to the extent practicable, shall include urban simulation computer modeling to create visual representations of the sustainable communities strategy and the alternative planning strategy.

(iv) Preparation and circulation of a draft sustainable communities strategy and an alternative planning strategy, if one is prepared, not less than 55 days before adoption of a final regional transportation plan.

(v) At least three public hearings on the draft sustainable communities strategy in the regional transportation plan and alternative planning strategy, if one is prepared. If the metropolitan transportation organization consists of a single county, at least two public hearings shall be held. To the maximum extent feasible, the hearings shall be in different parts of the region to maximize the opportunity for participation by members of the public throughout the region.

(vi) A process for enabling members of the public to provide a single request to receive notices, information, and updates.

(G) In preparing a sustainable communities strategy, the metropolitan planning organization shall consider spheres of influence that have been adopted by the local agency formation commissions within its region.

(H) Before adopting a sustainable communities strategy, the metropolitan planning organization shall quantify the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions projected to be achieved by the sustainable communities strategy and set forth the difference, if any, between the amount of that reduction and the target for the region established by the state board.

(I) If the sustainable communities strategy, prepared in compliance with subparagraph (B) or (D), is unable to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets established by the state board, the metropolitan planning organization shall prepare an alternative planning strategy to the sustainable communities strategy showing how those greenhouse gas emission targets would be achieved through alternative development patterns, infrastructure, or additional transportation measures or policies. The alternative planning strategy shall be a separate document from the regional transportation plan, but it may be adopted concurrently with the regional transportation plan. In preparing the alternative planning strategy, the metropolitan planning organization:

(i) Shall identify the principal impediments to achieving the targets within the sustainable communities strategy.

(ii) May include an alternative development pattern for the region pursuant to subparagraphs (B) to (G), inclusive.

(iii) Shall describe how the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets would be achieved by the alternative planning strategy, and why the development pattern, measures, and policies in the alternative planning strategy are the most practicable choices for achievement of the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets.

(iv) An alternative development pattern set forth in the alternative planning strategy shall comply with Part 450 of Title 23 of, and Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of Federal Regulations, except to the extent that compliance will prevent achievement of the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board.

(v) For purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code), an alternative planning strategy shall not constitute a land use plan, policy, or regulation, and the inconsistency of a project with an alternative planning strategy shall not be a consideration in determining whether a project may have an environmental effect.

(J) (i) Before starting the public participation process adopted pursuant to subparagraph (F), the metropolitan planning organization shall submit a description to the state board of the technical methodology it intends to use to estimate the greenhouse gas emissions from its sustainable communities strategy and, if appropriate, its alternative planning strategy. The state board shall respond to the metropolitan planning organization in a timely manner with written comments about the technical methodology, including specifically describing any aspects of that methodology it concludes will not yield accurate estimates of greenhouse gas emissions, and suggested remedies. The metropolitan planning organization is encouraged to work with the state board until the state board concludes that the technical methodology operates accurately.

(ii) After adoption, a metropolitan planning organization shall submit a sustainable communities strategy or an alternative planning strategy, if one has been adopted, to the state board for review, including the quantification of the greenhouse gas emission reductions the strategy would achieve and a description of the technical methodology used to obtain that result. Review by the state board shall be limited to acceptance or rejection of the metropolitan planning organization's determination that the strategy submitted would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets established by the state board. The state board shall complete its review within 60 days.

(iii) If the state board determines that the strategy submitted would not, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, the metropolitan planning organization shall revise its strategy or adopt an alternative planning strategy, if not previously adopted, and submit the strategy for review pursuant to clause (ii). At a minimum, the metropolitan planning organization must obtain state board acceptance that an alternative planning strategy would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets established for that region by the state board.

(iv) On or before September 1, 2018, and every four years thereafter to align with target setting, notwithstanding Section 10231.5, the state board shall prepare a report that assesses progress made by each metropolitan planning organization in meeting the regional greenhouse gas emission reduction targets set by the state board. The report shall include changes to greenhouse gas emissions in each region and data-supported metrics for the strategies used to meet the targets. The report shall also include a discussion of best practices and the challenges faced by the metropolitan planning organizations in meeting the targets, including the effect of state policies and funding. The report shall be developed in consultation with the metropolitan planning organizations and affected stakeholders. The report shall be submitted to the Assembly Committee on Transportation and the Assembly Committee on Natural

Resources, and to the Senate Committee on Transportation, the Senate Committee on Housing, and the Senate Committee on Environmental Quality.

(K) Neither a sustainable communities strategy nor an alternative planning strategy regulates the use of land, nor, except as provided by subparagraph (J), shall either one be subject to any state approval. Nothing in a sustainable communities strategy shall be interpreted as superseding the exercise of the land use authority of cities and counties within the region. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to limit the state board's authority under any other law. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to authorize the abrogation of any vested right whether created by statute or by common law. Nothing in this section shall require a city's or county's land use policies and regulations, including its general plan, to be consistent with the regional transportation plan or an alternative planning strategy. Nothing in this section requires a metropolitan planning organization to approve a sustainable communities strategy that would be inconsistent with Part 450 of Title 23 of, or Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of Federal Regulations and any administrative guidance under those regulations. Nothing in this section relieves a public or private entity or any person from compliance with any other local, state, or federal law.

(L) Nothing in this section requires projects programmed for funding on or before December 31, 2011, to be subject to the provisions of this paragraph if they (i) are contained in the 2007 or 2009 Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, (ii) are funded pursuant to the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Chapter 12.49 (commencing with Section 8879.20) of Division 1 of Title 2), or (iii) were specifically listed in a ballot measure before December 31, 2008, approving a sales tax increase for transportation projects. Nothing in this section shall require a transportation sales tax authority to change the funding allocations approved by the voters for categories of transportation projects in a sales tax measure adopted before December 31, 2010. For purposes of this subparagraph, a transportation sales tax authority is a district, as defined in Section 7252 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that is authorized to impose a sales tax for transportation purposes.

(M) A metropolitan planning organization, or a regional transportation planning agency not within a metropolitan planning organization, that is required to adopt a regional transportation plan not less than every five years, may elect to adopt the plan not less than every four years. This election shall be made by the board of directors of the metropolitan planning organization or regional transportation planning agency no later than June 1, 2009, or thereafter 54 months before the statutory deadline for the adoption of housing elements for the local jurisdictions within the region, after a public hearing at which comments are accepted from members of the public and representatives of cities and counties within the region covered by the metropolitan planning organization or regional transportation planning agency. Notice of the public hearing shall be given to the general public and by mail to cities and counties within the region no later than 30 days before the date of the public hearing. Notice of election shall be promptly given to the Department of Housing and Community Development. The metropolitan planning organization or the regional transportation planning agency

shall complete its next regional transportation plan within three years of the notice of election.

(N) Two or more of the metropolitan planning organizations for Fresno County, Kern County, Kings County, Madera County, Merced County, San Joaquin County, Stanislaus County, and Tulare County may work together to develop and adopt multiregional goals and policies that may address interregional land use, transportation, economic, air quality, and climate relationships. The participating metropolitan planning organizations may also develop a multiregional sustainable communities strategy, to the extent consistent with federal law, or an alternative planning strategy for adoption by the metropolitan planning organizations. Each participating metropolitan planning organization shall consider any adopted multiregional goals and policies in the development of a sustainable communities strategy and, if applicable, an alternative planning strategy for its region.

(3) An action element that describes the programs and actions necessary to implement the plan and assigns implementation responsibilities. The action element may describe all transportation projects proposed for development during the 20-year or greater life of the plan. The action element shall consider congestion management programming activities carried out within the region.

(4) (A) A financial element that summarizes the cost of plan implementation constrained by a realistic projection of available revenues. The financial element shall also contain recommendations for allocation of funds. A county transportation commission created pursuant to the County Transportation Commissions Act (Division 12 (commencing with Section 130000) of the Public Utilities Code) shall be responsible for recommending projects to be funded with regional improvement funds, if the project is consistent with the regional transportation plan. The first five years of the financial element shall be based on the five-year estimate of funds developed pursuant to Section 14524. The financial element may recommend the development of specified new sources of revenue, consistent with the policy element and action element.

(B) The financial element of transportation planning agencies with populations that exceed 200,000 persons may include a project cost breakdown for all projects proposed for development during the 20-year life of the plan that includes total expenditures and related percentages of total expenditures for all of the following:

- (i) State highway expansion.
- (ii) State highway rehabilitation, maintenance, and operations.
- (iii) Local road and street expansion.
- (iv) Local road and street rehabilitation, maintenance, and operation.
- (v) Mass transit, commuter rail, and intercity rail expansion.
- (vi) Mass transit, commuter rail, and intercity rail rehabilitation, maintenance, and operations.
- (vii) Pedestrian and bicycle facilities.
- (viii) Environmental enhancements and mitigation.
- (ix) Research and planning.
- (x) Other categories.

(C) The metropolitan planning organization or county transportation agency, whichever entity is appropriate, shall consider financial incentives for cities and counties that have resource areas or farmland, as defined in Section 65080.01, for the purposes of, for example, transportation investments for the preservation and safety of the city street or county road system and farm-to-market and interconnectivity transportation needs. The metropolitan planning organization or county transportation agency, whichever entity is appropriate, shall also consider financial assistance for counties to address countywide service responsibilities in counties that contribute toward the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets by implementing policies for growth to occur within their cities.

(c) Each transportation planning agency may also include other factors of local significance as an element of the regional transportation plan, including, but not limited to, issues of mobility for specific sectors of the community, including, but not limited to, senior citizens.

(d) (1) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, each transportation planning agency shall adopt and submit, every four years, an updated regional transportation plan to the California Transportation Commission and the Department of Transportation. A transportation planning agency located in a federally designated air quality attainment area or that does not contain an urbanized area may at its option adopt and submit a regional transportation plan every five years. When applicable, the plan shall be consistent with federal planning and programming requirements and shall conform to the regional transportation plan guidelines adopted by the California Transportation Commission. Before adoption of the regional transportation plan, a public hearing shall be held after the giving of notice of the hearing by publication in the affected county or counties pursuant to Section 6061.

(2) (A) Notwithstanding subdivisions (b) and (c), and paragraph (1), inclusive, the regional transportation plan, sustainable communities strategy, and environmental impact report adopted by the San Diego Association of Governments on October 9, 2015, shall remain in effect for all purposes, including for purposes of consistency determinations and funding eligibility for the San Diego Association of Governments and all other agencies relying on those documents, until the San Diego Association of Governments adopts its next update to its regional transportation plan.

(B) The San Diego Association of Governments shall adopt and submit its update to the 2015 regional transportation plan on or before December 31, 2021.

(C) After the update described in subparagraph (B), the time period for San Diego Association of Governments' updates to its regional transportation plan shall be reset and shall be adopted and submitted every four years.

(D) Notwithstanding clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), the State Air Resources Board shall not update the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for the region within the jurisdiction of the San Diego Association of Governments before the adoption of the update to the regional transportation plan pursuant to subparagraph (B).

(E) The update to the regional transportation plan adopted by the San Diego Association of Governments on October 9, 2015, which will be prepared and submitted

to federal agencies for purposes of compliance with federal laws applicable to regional transportation plans and air quality conformity and which is due in October 2019, shall not be considered a regional transportation plan pursuant to this section and shall not constitute a project for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code).

(F) In addition to meeting the other requirements to nominate a project for funding through the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (Chapter 8.5 (commencing with Section 2390) of Division 3 of the Streets and Highways Code), the San Diego Association of Governments, until December 31, 2021, shall only nominate projects for funding through the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program that are consistent with the eligibility requirements for projects under any of the following programs:

(i) The Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (Part 2 (commencing with Section 75220) of Division 44 of the Public Resources Code).

(ii) The Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (Part 3 (commencing with Section 75230) of Division 44 of the Public Resources Code).

(iii) The Active Transportation Program (Chapter 8 (commencing with Section 2380) of Division 3 of the Streets and Highways Code).

(G) Commencing January 1, 2020, and every two years thereafter, the San Diego Association of Governments shall begin developing an implementation report that tracks the implementation of its most recently adopted sustainable communities strategy. The report shall discuss the status of the implementation of the strategy at the regional and local level, and any successes and barriers that have occurred since the last report. The San Diego Association of Governments shall submit the implementation report to the state board by including it in its sustainable communities strategy implementation review pursuant to clause (ii) of subparagraph (J) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).

(Amended by Stats. 2019, Ch. 634, Sec. 2. (AB 1730) Effective January 1, 2020.)

State of California

GOVERNMENT CODE

Section 65584

65584. (a) (1) For the fourth and subsequent revisions of the housing element pursuant to Section 65588, the department shall determine the existing and projected need for housing for each region pursuant to this article. For purposes of subdivision (a) of Section 65583, the share of a city or county of the regional housing need shall include that share of the housing need of persons at all income levels within the area significantly affected by the general plan of the city or county.

(2) It is the intent of the Legislature that cities, counties, and cities and counties should undertake all necessary actions to encourage, promote, and facilitate the development of housing to accommodate the entire regional housing need, and reasonable actions should be taken by local and regional governments to ensure that future housing production meets, at a minimum, the regional housing need established for planning purposes. These actions shall include applicable reforms and incentives in Section 65582.1.

(3) The Legislature finds and declares that insufficient housing in job centers hinders the state's environmental quality and runs counter to the state's environmental goals. In particular, when Californians seeking affordable housing are forced to drive longer distances to work, an increased amount of greenhouse gases and other pollutants is released and puts in jeopardy the achievement of the state's climate goals, as established pursuant to Section 38566 of the Health and Safety Code, and clean air goals.

(b) The department, in consultation with each council of governments, shall determine each region's existing and projected housing need pursuant to Section 65584.01 at least two years prior to the scheduled revision required pursuant to Section 65588. The appropriate council of governments, or for cities and counties without a council of governments, the department, shall adopt a final regional housing need plan that allocates a share of the regional housing need to each city, county, or city and county at least one year prior to the scheduled revision for the region required by Section 65588. The allocation plan prepared by a council of governments shall be prepared pursuant to Sections 65584.04 and 65584.05.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the due dates for the determinations of the department or for the council of governments, respectively, regarding the regional housing need may be extended by the department by not more than 60 days if the extension will enable access to more recent critical population or housing data from a pending or recent release of the United States Census Bureau or the Department of Finance. If the due date for the determination of the department or the council of governments is extended for this reason, the department shall extend the corresponding

housing element revision deadline pursuant to Section 65588 by not more than 60 days.

(d) The regional housing needs allocation plan shall further all of the following objectives:

(1) Increasing the housing supply and the mix of housing types, tenure, and affordability in all cities and counties within the region in an equitable manner, which shall result in each jurisdiction receiving an allocation of units for low- and very low income households.

(2) Promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region's greenhouse gas reductions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.

(3) Promoting an improved intraregional relationship between jobs and housing, including an improved balance between the number of low-wage jobs and the number of housing units affordable to low-wage workers in each jurisdiction.

(4) Allocating a lower proportion of housing need to an income category when a jurisdiction already has a disproportionately high share of households in that income category, as compared to the countywide distribution of households in that category from the most recent American Community Survey.

(5) Affirmatively furthering fair housing.

(e) For purposes of this section, "affirmatively furthering fair housing" means taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws.

(f) For purposes of this section, "household income levels" are as determined by the department as of the most recent American Community Survey pursuant to the following code sections:

(1) Very low incomes as defined by Section 50105 of the Health and Safety Code.

(2) Lower incomes, as defined by Section 50079.5 of the Health and Safety Code.

(3) Moderate incomes, as defined by Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code.

(4) Above moderate incomes are those exceeding the moderate-income level of Section 50093 of the Health and Safety Code.

(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, determinations made by the department, a council of governments, or a city or county pursuant to this section or Section 65584.01, 65584.02, 65584.03, 65584.04, 65584.05, 65584.06, 65584.07, or

65584.08 are exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code).

(Amended by Stats. 2018, Ch. 989, Sec. 1.5. (AB 1771) Effective January 1, 2019.)

State of California

GOVERNMENT CODE

Section 65584.04

65584.04. (a) At least two years before a scheduled revision required by Section 65588, each council of governments, or delegate subregion as applicable, shall develop, in consultation with the department, a proposed methodology for distributing the existing and projected regional housing need to cities, counties, and cities and counties within the region or within the subregion, where applicable pursuant to this section. The methodology shall further the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584.

(b) (1) No more than six months before the development of a proposed methodology for distributing the existing and projected housing need, each council of governments shall survey each of its member jurisdictions to request, at a minimum, information regarding the factors listed in subdivision (e) that will allow the development of a methodology based upon the factors established in subdivision (e).

(2) With respect to the objective in paragraph (5) of subdivision (d) of Section 65584, the survey shall review and compile information that will allow the development of a methodology based upon the issues, strategies, and actions that are included, as available, in an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice or an Assessment of Fair Housing completed by any city or county or the department that covers communities within the area served by the council of governments, and in housing elements adopted pursuant to this article by cities and counties within the area served by the council of governments.

(3) The council of governments shall seek to obtain the information in a manner and format that is comparable throughout the region and utilize readily available data to the extent possible.

(4) The information provided by a local government pursuant to this section shall be used, to the extent possible, by the council of governments, or delegate subregion as applicable, as source information for the methodology developed pursuant to this section. The survey shall state that none of the information received may be used as a basis for reducing the total housing need established for the region pursuant to Section 65584.01.

(5) If the council of governments fails to conduct a survey pursuant to this subdivision, a city, county, or city and county may submit information related to the items listed in subdivision (e) before the public comment period provided for in subdivision (d).

(c) The council of governments shall electronically report the results of the survey of fair housing issues, strategies, and actions compiled pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b). The report shall describe common themes and effective strategies

employed by cities and counties within the area served by the council of governments, including common themes and effective strategies around avoiding the displacement of lower income households. The council of governments shall also identify significant barriers to affirmatively furthering fair housing at the regional level and may recommend strategies or actions to overcome those barriers. A council of governments or metropolitan planning organization, as appropriate, may use this information for any other purpose, including publication within a regional transportation plan adopted pursuant to Section 65080 or to inform the land use assumptions that are applied in the development of a regional transportation plan.

(d) Public participation and access shall be required in the development of the methodology and in the process of drafting and adoption of the allocation of the regional housing needs. Participation by organizations other than local jurisdictions and councils of governments shall be solicited in a diligent effort to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community as well as members of protected classes under Section 12955. The proposed methodology, along with any relevant underlying data and assumptions, an explanation of how information about local government conditions gathered pursuant to subdivision (b) has been used to develop the proposed methodology, how each of the factors listed in subdivision (e) is incorporated into the methodology, and how the proposed methodology furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (e) of Section 65584, shall be distributed to all cities, counties, any subregions, and members of the public who have made a written or electronic request for the proposed methodology and published on the council of governments', or delegate subregion's, internet website. The council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall conduct at least one public hearing to receive oral and written comments on the proposed methodology.

(e) To the extent that sufficient data is available from local governments pursuant to subdivision (b) or other sources, each council of governments, or delegate subregion as applicable, shall include the following factors to develop the methodology that allocates regional housing needs:

(1) Each member jurisdiction's existing and projected jobs and housing relationship. This shall include an estimate based on readily available data on the number of low-wage jobs within the jurisdiction and how many housing units within the jurisdiction are affordable to low-wage workers as well as an estimate based on readily available data, of projected job growth and projected household growth by income level within each member jurisdiction during the planning period.

(2) The opportunities and constraints to development of additional housing in each member jurisdiction, including all of the following:

(A) Lack of capacity for sewer or water service due to federal or state laws, regulations or regulatory actions, or supply and distribution decisions made by a sewer or water service provider other than the local jurisdiction that preclude the jurisdiction from providing necessary infrastructure for additional development during the planning period.

(B) The availability of land suitable for urban development or for conversion to residential use, the availability of underutilized land, and opportunities for infill

development and increased residential densities. The council of governments may not limit its consideration of suitable housing sites or land suitable for urban development to existing zoning ordinances and land use restrictions of a locality, but shall consider the potential for increased residential development under alternative zoning ordinances and land use restrictions. The determination of available land suitable for urban development may exclude lands where the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or the Department of Water Resources has determined that the flood management infrastructure designed to protect that land is not adequate to avoid the risk of flooding.

(C) Lands preserved or protected from urban development under existing federal or state programs, or both, designed to protect open space, farmland, environmental habitats, and natural resources on a long-term basis, including land zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to nonagricultural uses.

(D) County policies to preserve prime agricultural land, as defined pursuant to Section 56064, within an unincorporated area and land within an unincorporated area zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of that jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts its conversion to nonagricultural uses.

(3) The distribution of household growth assumed for purposes of a comparable period of regional transportation plans and opportunities to maximize the use of public transportation and existing transportation infrastructure.

(4) Agreements between a county and cities in a county to direct growth toward incorporated areas of the county and land within an unincorporated area zoned or designated for agricultural protection or preservation that is subject to a local ballot measure that was approved by the voters of the jurisdiction that prohibits or restricts conversion to nonagricultural uses.

(5) The loss of units contained in assisted housing developments, as defined in paragraph (9) of subdivision (a) of Section 65583, that changed to non-low-income use through mortgage prepayment, subsidy contract expirations, or termination of use restrictions.

(6) The percentage of existing households at each of the income levels listed in subdivision (e) of Section 65584 that are paying more than 30 percent and more than 50 percent of their income in rent.

(7) The rate of overcrowding.

(8) The housing needs of farmworkers.

(9) The housing needs generated by the presence of a private university or a campus of the California State University or the University of California within any member jurisdiction.

(10) The housing needs of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. If a council of governments has surveyed each of its member jurisdictions pursuant to subdivision (b) on or before January 1, 2020, this paragraph shall apply only to the

development of methodologies for the seventh and subsequent revisions of the housing element.

(11) The loss of units during a state of emergency that was declared by the Governor pursuant to the California Emergency Services Act (Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 8550) of Division 1 of Title 2), during the planning period immediately preceding the relevant revision pursuant to Section 65588 that have yet to be rebuilt or replaced at the time of the analysis.

(12) The region's greenhouse gas emissions targets provided by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Section 65080.

(13) Any other factors adopted by the council of governments, that further the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584, provided that the council of governments specifies which of the objectives each additional factor is necessary to further. The council of governments may include additional factors unrelated to furthering the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 so long as the additional factors do not undermine the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 and are applied equally across all household income levels as described in subdivision (f) of Section 65584 and the council of governments makes a finding that the factor is necessary to address significant health and safety conditions.

(f) The council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall explain in writing how each of the factors described in subdivision (e) was incorporated into the methodology and how the methodology furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584. The methodology may include numerical weighting. This information, and any other supporting materials used in determining the methodology, shall be posted on the council of governments', or delegate subregion's, internet website.

(g) The following criteria shall not be a justification for a determination or a reduction in a jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need:

(1) Any ordinance, policy, voter-approved measure, or standard of a city or county that directly or indirectly limits the number of residential building permits issued by a city or county.

(2) Prior underproduction of housing in a city or county from the previous regional housing need allocation, as determined by each jurisdiction's annual production report submitted pursuant to subparagraph (H) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 65400.

(3) Stable population numbers in a city or county from the previous regional housing needs cycle.

(h) Following the conclusion of the public comment period described in subdivision (d) on the proposed allocation methodology, and after making any revisions deemed appropriate by the council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable, as a result of comments received during the public comment period, and as a result of consultation with the department, each council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall publish a draft allocation methodology on its internet website and submit the draft allocation methodology, along with the information required pursuant to subdivision (e), to the department.

(i) Within 60 days, the department shall review the draft allocation methodology and report its written findings to the council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable. In its written findings the department shall determine whether the methodology furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584. If the department determines that the methodology is not consistent with subdivision (d) of Section 65584, the council of governments, or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall take one of the following actions:

(1) Revise the methodology to further the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 and adopt a final regional, or subregional, housing need allocation methodology.

(2) Adopt the regional, or subregional, housing need allocation methodology without revisions and include within its resolution of adoption findings, supported by substantial evidence, as to why the council of governments, or delegate subregion, believes that the methodology furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584 despite the findings of the department.

(j) If the department's findings are not available within the time limits set by subdivision (i), the council of governments, or delegate subregion, may act without them.

(k) Upon either action pursuant to subdivision (i), the council of governments, or delegate subregion, shall provide notice of the adoption of the methodology to the jurisdictions within the region, or delegate subregion, as applicable, and to the department, and shall publish the adopted allocation methodology, along with its resolution and any adopted written findings, on its internet website.

(l) The department may, within 90 days, review the adopted methodology and report its findings to the council of governments, or delegate subregion.

(m) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature that housing planning be coordinated and integrated with the regional transportation plan. To achieve this goal, the allocation plan shall allocate housing units within the region consistent with the development pattern included in the sustainable communities strategy.

(2) The final allocation plan shall ensure that the total regional housing need, by income category, as determined under Section 65584, is maintained, and that each jurisdiction in the region receive an allocation of units for low- and very low income households.

(3) The resolution approving the final housing need allocation plan shall demonstrate that the plan is consistent with the sustainable communities strategy in the regional transportation plan and furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584.

(Amended (as amended by Stats. 2018, Ch. 990, Sec. 3.7) by Stats. 2019, Ch. 335, Sec. 4. (AB 139) Effective January 1, 2020.)

State of California

GOVERNMENT CODE

Section 65584.05

65584.05. (a) At least one and one-half years before the scheduled revision required by Section 65588, each council of governments and delegate subregion, as applicable, shall distribute a draft allocation of regional housing needs to each local government in the region or subregion, where applicable, and the department, based on the methodology adopted pursuant to Section 65584.04 and shall publish the draft allocation on its internet website. The draft allocation shall include the underlying data and methodology on which the allocation is based, and a statement as to how it furthers the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584. It is the intent of the Legislature that the draft allocation should be distributed before the completion of the update of the applicable regional transportation plan. The draft allocation shall distribute to localities and subregions, if any, within the region the entire regional housing need determined pursuant to Section 65584.01 or within subregions, as applicable, the subregion's entire share of the regional housing need determined pursuant to Section 65584.03.

(b) Within 45 days following receipt of the draft allocation, a local government within the region or the delegate subregion, as applicable, or the department may appeal to the council of governments or the delegate subregion for a revision of the share of the regional housing need proposed to be allocated to one or more local governments. Appeals shall be based upon comparable data available for all affected jurisdictions and accepted planning methodology, and supported by adequate documentation, and shall include a statement as to why the revision is necessary to further the intent of the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584. An appeal pursuant to this subdivision shall be consistent with, and not to the detriment of, the development pattern in an applicable sustainable communities strategy developed pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 65080. Appeals shall be limited to any of the following circumstances:

(1) The council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, failed to adequately consider the information submitted pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 65584.04.

(2) The council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, failed to determine the share of the regional housing need in accordance with the information described in, and the methodology established pursuant to, Section 65584.04, and in a manner that furthers, and does not undermine, the intent of the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584.

(3) A significant and unforeseen change in circumstances has occurred in the local jurisdiction or jurisdictions that merits a revision of the information submitted pursuant

to subdivision (b) of Section 65584.04. Appeals on this basis shall only be made by the jurisdiction or jurisdictions where the change in circumstances has occurred.

(c) At the close of the period for filing appeals pursuant to subdivision (b), the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall notify all other local governments within the region or delegate subregion and the department of all appeals and shall make all materials submitted in support of each appeal available on a publicly available internet website. Local governments and the department may, within 45 days, comment on one or more appeals. If no appeals are filed, the draft allocation shall be issued as the proposed final allocation plan pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (e).

(d) No later than 30 days after the close of the comment period, and after providing all local governments within the region or delegate subregion, as applicable, at least 21 days prior notice, the council of governments or delegate subregion shall conduct one public hearing to consider all appeals filed pursuant to subdivision (b) and all comments received pursuant to subdivision (c).

(e) No later than 45 days after the public hearing pursuant to subdivision (d), the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall do both of the following:

(1) Make a final determination that either accepts, rejects, or modifies each appeal for a revised share filed pursuant to subdivision (b). Final determinations shall be based upon the information and methodology described in Section 65584.04 and whether the revision is necessary to further the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584. The final determination shall be in writing and shall include written findings as to how the determination is consistent with this article. The final determination on an appeal may require the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, to adjust the share of the regional housing need allocated to one or more local governments that are not the subject of an appeal.

(2) Issue a proposed final allocation plan.

(f) In the proposed final allocation plan, the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall adjust allocations to local governments based upon the results of the appeals process. If the adjustments total 7 percent or less of the regional housing need determined pursuant to Section 65584.01, or, as applicable, total 7 percent or less of the subregion's share of the regional housing need as determined pursuant to Section 65584.03, then the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall distribute the adjustments proportionally to all local governments. If the adjustments total more than 7 percent of the regional housing need, then the council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, shall develop a methodology to distribute the amount greater than the 7 percent to local governments. The total distribution of housing need shall not equal less than the regional housing need, as determined pursuant to Section 65584.01, nor shall the subregional distribution of housing need equal less than its share of the regional housing need as determined pursuant to Section 65584.03.

(g) Within 45 days after the issuance of the proposed final allocation plan by the council of governments and each delegate subregion, as applicable, the council of

governments shall hold a public hearing to adopt a final allocation plan. To the extent that the final allocation plan fully allocates the regional share of statewide housing need, as determined pursuant to Section 65584.01 and has taken into account all appeals, the council of governments shall have final authority to determine the distribution of the region's existing and projected housing need as determined pursuant to Section 65584.01. The council of governments shall submit its final allocation plan to the department within three days of adoption. Within 30 days after the department's receipt of the final allocation plan adopted by the council of governments, the department shall determine if the final allocation plan is consistent with the existing and projected housing need for the region, as determined pursuant to Section 65584.01. The department may revise the determination of the council of governments if necessary to obtain this consistency.

(h) Any authority of the council of governments to review and revise the share of a city or county of the regional housing need under this section shall not constitute authority to revise, approve, or disapprove the manner in which the share of the city or county of the regional housing need is implemented through its housing program.

(i) Any time period in subdivision (d) or (e) may be extended by a council of governments or delegate subregion, as applicable, for up to 30 days.

(j) The San Diego Association of Governments may follow the process in this section for the draft and final allocation plan for the sixth revision of the housing element notwithstanding such actions being carried out before the adoption of an updated regional transportation plan and sustainable communities strategy.

(Amended by Stats. 2019, Ch. 634, Sec. 4. (AB 1730) Effective January 1, 2020.)

RHNA SUBCOMMITTEE CHARTER – 6th Cycle

Page 1 of 2

Purpose of the Subcommittee

The purpose of the RHNA Subcommittee is to review in-depth the various policy considerations necessary to the development of SCAG's Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), and to make critical decisions throughout the RHNA process, including but not limited to the following: the RHNA methodology, the draft and final RHNA allocations, and appeals related to draft RHNA allocations. The decisions of the RHNA Subcommittee will serve as recommendations to SCAG's Community, Economic and Human Development (CEHD) Committee and the Regional Council, except that the RHNA Subcommittee will make the final decisions regarding all appeals of draft RHNA allocations.

Authority

Authorized by the Regional Council, the RHNA Subcommittee serves as a subcommittee of the CEHD Committee, and will be reporting to the CEHD Committee. All actions by the RHNA Subcommittee, except for actions pertaining to appeals of draft RHNA allocations, are subject to the review and approval of the CEHD Committee and the Regional Council. Recognizing the significant amount of work undertaken by the RHNA Subcommittee, the CEHD Committee and the Regional Council will rely on the policy judgments of the RHNA Subcommittee. The RHNA Subcommittee shall be dissolved as of the date in which the final RHNA allocation is adopted by the Regional Council.

Composition

The RHNA Subcommittee will consist of twelve (12) members of the Regional Council or the CEHD Committee to represent the six (6) counties of the SCAG region. Each county shall have a primary member and an alternate member to serve on the RHNA Subcommittee. The SCAG President will appoint the members of the RHNA Subcommittee and will select one of the members to serve as the Chair of the RHNA Subcommittee. Membership of the RHNA Subcommittee may also include as non-voting members serving as stakeholder representatives appointed by the SCAG President.

Meetings and Voting

The meetings of the RHNA Subcommittee will occur during the applicable period when SCAG is developing the RHNA. The RHNA Subcommittee shall have the authority to convene meetings as circumstances require. A meeting quorum shall be established when there is attendance by at least one representative (either a primary member or an alternate member) from each of the six (6) counties. Stakeholder representatives serving as non-voting members of the RHNA Subcommittee are not counted for purposes of establishing a meeting quorum.

All RHNA Subcommittee members are expected to attend each meeting, to the extent feasible. RHNA Subcommittee members may attend meetings by teleconference or video-conference. All meetings of the RHNA Subcommittee are subject to the Brown Act. The Chair of the RHNA Subcommittee shall preside over all meetings and the Subcommittee may select another

RHNA SUBCOMMITTEE CHARTER – 6th Cycle

Page 2 of 2

Subcommittee member to serve as the Vice-Chair in the Chair's absence. The RHNA Subcommittee will invite SCAG staff or others to attend meetings and provide pertinent information, as necessary. Meeting agendas will be prepared and provided in advance to RHNA Subcommittee members, along with appropriate briefing materials and reports, in accordance with the Brown Act. Minutes of each meeting will be prepared.

For purposes of voting, each county shall be entitled to one (1) vote to be cast by either the primary member or alternate member representing the respective county. In the event of a tie vote, the Chair of the Subcommittee may vote to break the tie except if the Chair of the Subcommittee has casted a vote as a Subcommittee member. In that exception, the Vice Chair of the Subcommittee may break the tie vote. In the case of an appeal submitted on behalf of a Subcommittee member's individual local jurisdiction, the Subcommittee member may elect not to participate in the discussion and vote by the RHNA Subcommittee regarding such appeal.

Responsibilities

The RHNA Subcommittee will carry out the following responsibilities:

- Review information useful to the development of the RHNA Plan;
- Review and make policy decisions related to the RHNA process including policies for the RHNA methodology, the RHNA methodology, and the draft and final RHNA allocations, and forward such decisions to the CEHD Committee for review and approval. In making its policy decisions, the RHNA Subcommittee should consider the integration of the RHNA with the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy;
- Review and make decisions regarding guidelines for the RHNA process including guidelines related to subregional delegation, and forward such decisions to the CEHD Committee for review and approval; and
- Review and make the final decisions regarding appeals related to the jurisdiction's draft RHNA allocation. In this capacity, the RHNA Subcommittee shall be known as the "RHNA Appeals Board." These final decisions by the RHNA Appeals Board shall not be reviewable by the CEHD Committee or by the Regional Council.

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY & FINAL CONNECT SOCIAL

9/3/20

ALLOCATION BY COUNTY

	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above moderate income
Imperial	15,956	4,659	2,352	2,194	6,751
Los Angeles	813,082	217,565	123,171	131,532	340,814
Orange	183,430	46,295	29,176	32,482	75,477
Riverside	167,177	41,943	26,450	29,146	69,638
San Bernardino	137,786	35,575	21,855	24,087	56,269
Ventura	24,396	5,759	3,803	4,516	10,318
TOTAL	1,341,827	351,796	206,807	223,957	559,267

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

	County	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
Adelanto city	San Bernardino	3756	394	565	650	2147
Agoura Hills city	Los Angeles	318	127	72	55	64
Alhambra city	Los Angeles	6808	1769	1033	1077	2929
Aliso Viejo city	Orange	1193	389	214	205	385
Anaheim city	Orange	17411	3757	2391	2939	8324
Apple Valley town	San Bernardino	4280	1083	599	745	1853
Arcadia city	Los Angeles	3206	1099	569	604	934
Artesia city	Los Angeles	1067	311	168	128	460
Avalon city	Los Angeles	27	8	5	3	11
Azusa city	Los Angeles	2646	759	367	382	1138
Baldwin Park city	Los Angeles	1996	574	275	262	885
Banning city	Riverside	1668	316	192	279	881

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

	County	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
Barstow city	San Bernardino	1516	172	227	299	818
Beaumont city	Riverside	4202	1226	720	722	1534
Bell city	Los Angeles	228	43	23	29	133
Bell Gardens city	Los Angeles	501	99	29	72	301
Bellflower city	Los Angeles	3726	1012	487	552	1675
Beverly Hills city	Los Angeles	3096	1005	678	601	812
Big Bear Lake city	San Bernardino	212	50	33	37	92
Blythe city	Riverside	493	82	71	96	244
Bradbury city	Los Angeles	41	16	9	9	7
Brawley city	Imperial	1423	398	210	202	613
Brea city	Orange	2360	667	393	402	898
Buena Park city	Orange	8899	2114	1340	1570	3875
Burbank city	Los Angeles	8751	2546	1415	1406	3384
Calabasas city	Los Angeles	353	131	71	70	81
Calexico city	Imperial	4856	1276	653	612	2315
Calimesa city	Riverside	2013	494	275	378	866
Calipatria city	Imperial	151	36	21	16	78
Camarillo city	Ventura	1373	352	244	270	507
Canyon Lake city	Riverside	128	43	24	24	37
Carson city	Los Angeles	5605	1766	911	873	2055
Cathedral City city	Riverside	2542	538	352	456	1196
Cerritos city	Los Angeles	1903	678	344	331	550
Chino city	San Bernardino	6961	2107	1281	1201	2372
Chino Hills city	San Bernardino	3720	1384	819	787	730
Claremont city	Los Angeles	1707	554	309	297	547
Coachella city	Riverside	7867	1030	997	1364	4476
Colton city	San Bernardino	5420	1314	666	904	2536
Commerce city	Los Angeles	246	55	22	38	131
Compton city	Los Angeles	1001	235	121	130	515
Corona city	Riverside	6075	1748	1038	1094	2195
Costa Mesa city	Orange	11733	2912	1790	2084	4947
Covina city	Los Angeles	1905	612	267	281	745
Cudahy city	Los Angeles	392	80	36	53	223
Culver City city	Los Angeles	3333	1105	603	559	1066
Cypress city	Orange	3927	1147	656	622	1502
Dana Point city	Orange	530	147	84	101	198
Desert Hot Springs city	Riverside	3865	568	534	687	2076
Diamond Bar city	Los Angeles	2516	842	433	436	805
Downey city	Los Angeles	6510	2074	944	913	2579
Duarte city	Los Angeles	886	268	144	137	337
Eastvale City	Riverside	3022	1142	671	634	575
El Centro city	Imperial	3433	998	489	461	1485
El Monte city	Los Angeles	8481	1792	851	1230	4608
El Segundo city	Los Angeles	491	189	88	83	131
Fillmore city	Ventura	413	72	61	72	208
Fontana city	San Bernardino	17477	5096	2943	3029	6409
Fountain Valley city	Orange	4827	1304	784	832	1907

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

	County	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
Fullerton city	Orange	13180	3190	1985	2267	5738
Garden Grove city	Orange	19122	4155	2795	3204	8968
Gardena city	Los Angeles	5721	1481	759	892	2589
Glendale city	Los Angeles	13393	3430	2158	2244	5561
Glendora city	Los Angeles	2270	733	385	387	765
Grand Terrace city	San Bernardino	628	188	92	106	242
Hawaiian Gardens city	Los Angeles	331	61	44	46	180
Hawthorne city	Los Angeles	1731	444	204	249	834
Hemet city	Riverside	6450	810	730	1171	3739
Hermosa Beach city	Los Angeles	556	231	127	105	93
Hesperia city	San Bernardino	8135	1916	1228	1406	3585
Hidden Hills city	Los Angeles	40	17	8	9	6
Highland city	San Bernardino	2508	618	408	470	1012
Holtville city	Imperial	171	41	33	26	71
Huntington Beach city	Orange	13337	3652	2179	2303	5203
Huntington Park city	Los Angeles	1601	263	196	242	900
Imperial city	Imperial	1597	702	345	294	256
Indian Wells city	Riverside	382	117	81	91	93
Indio city	Riverside	7793	1788	1167	1312	3526
Industry city	Los Angeles	17	6	4	2	5
Inglewood city	Los Angeles	7422	1808	953	1110	3551
Irvine city	Orange	23554	6379	4225	4299	8651
Irwindale city	Los Angeles	118	36	11	16	55
Jurupa Valley City	Riverside	4485	1204	747	729	1805
La Cañada Flintridge city	Los Angeles	610	251	135	139	85
La Habra city	Orange	803	192	116	130	365
La Habra Heights city	Los Angeles	172	78	35	31	28
La Mirada city	Los Angeles	1957	633	341	319	664
La Palma city	Orange	800	223	140	137	300
La Puente city	Los Angeles	1924	542	275	274	833
La Quinta city	Riverside	1526	419	268	296	543
La Verne city	Los Angeles	1343	413	238	223	469
Laguna Beach city	Orange	393	117	80	79	117
Laguna Hills city	Orange	1980	566	353	353	708
Laguna Niguel city	Orange	1204	347	201	223	433
Laguna Woods city	Orange	993	126	135	191	541
Lake Elsinore city	Riverside	6666	1874	1097	1131	2564
Lake Forest city	Orange	3228	954	541	558	1175
Lakewood city	Los Angeles	3914	1293	636	652	1333
Lancaster city	Los Angeles	9002	2218	1192	1325	4267
Lawndale city	Los Angeles	2491	730	310	370	1081
Loma Linda city	San Bernardino	2048	522	311	352	863
Lomita city	Los Angeles	827	238	124	127	338
Long Beach city	Los Angeles	26440	7123	4038	4149	11130
Los Alamitos city	Orange	767	193	118	145	311
Los Angeles city	Los Angeles	455577	115680	68593	74936	196368
Lynwood city	Los Angeles	1555	376	139	235	805

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

	County	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
Malibu city	Los Angeles	78	27	19	17	15
Manhattan Beach city	Los Angeles	773	322	164	155	132
Maywood city	Los Angeles	363	54	47	55	207
Menifee city	Riverside	6594	1756	1049	1104	2685
Mission Viejo city	Orange	2211	672	400	396	743
Monrovia city	Los Angeles	1665	518	261	253	633
Montclair city	San Bernardino	2586	696	382	398	1110
Montebello city	Los Angeles	5174	1311	705	775	2383
Monterey Park city	Los Angeles	5245	1321	820	846	2258
Moorpark city	Ventura	1288	377	233	245	433
Moreno Valley city	Riverside	13596	3769	2047	2161	5619
Murrieta city	Riverside	3034	1006	581	543	904
Needles city	San Bernardino	87	10	11	16	50
Newport Beach city	Orange	4834	1453	928	1048	1405
Norco city	Riverside	454	145	85	82	142
Norwalk city	Los Angeles	5022	1542	757	657	2066
Ojai city	Ventura	53	13	9	10	21
Ontario city	San Bernardino	20805	5625	3279	3322	8579
Orange city	Orange	3927	1064	603	676	1584
Oxnard city	Ventura	8528	1835	1068	1535	4090
Palm Desert city	Riverside	2783	673	459	460	1191
Palm Springs city	Riverside	2552	544	407	461	1140
Palmdale city	Los Angeles	6625	1773	933	1002	2917
Palos Verdes Estates city	Los Angeles	198	82	44	47	25
Paramount city	Los Angeles	362	91	43	48	180
Pasadena city	Los Angeles	9408	2740	1659	1562	3447
Perris city	Riverside	7786	2025	1124	1271	3366
Pico Rivera city	Los Angeles	3939	1149	562	572	1656
Placentia city	Orange	4365	1228	679	769	1689
Pomona city	Los Angeles	10534	2792	1336	1507	4899
Port Hueneme city	Ventura	125	26	16	18	65
Rancho Cucamonga city	San Bernardino	10501	3237	1916	2033	3315
Rancho Mirage city	Riverside	1741	429	317	327	668
Rancho Palos Verdes city	Los Angeles	638	253	139	125	121
Rancho Santa Margarita city	Orange	680	209	120	125	226
Redlands city	San Bernardino	3507	964	614	650	1279
Redondo Beach city	Los Angeles	2483	933	507	489	554
Rialto city	San Bernardino	8252	2212	1203	1368	3469
Riverside city	Riverside	18415	4849	3057	3133	7376
Rolling Hills city	Los Angeles	45	20	9	11	5
Rolling Hills Estates city	Los Angeles	191	82	42	38	29
Rosemead city	Los Angeles	4601	1151	636	685	2129
San Bernardino city	San Bernardino	8104	1411	1095	1445	4153
San Buenaventura (Ventura) city	Ventura	5300	1184	863	948	2305
San Clemente city	Orange	978	281	163	187	347
San Dimas city	Los Angeles	1245	383	219	206	437
San Fernando city	Los Angeles	1791	460	273	283	775

SCAG 6TH CYCLE DRAFT RHNA ALLOCATION BASED ON RC-APPROVED FINAL RHNA METHODOLOGY

ALLOCATION BY LOCAL JURISDICTION

	County	Total	Very-low income	Low income	Moderate income	Above- moderate income
San Gabriel city	Los Angeles	3017	844	415	465	1293
San Jacinto city	Riverside	3385	798	464	559	1564
San Juan Capistrano city	Orange	1051	269	172	183	427
San Marino city	Los Angeles	397	149	91	91	66
Santa Ana city	Orange	3087	584	361	522	1620
Santa Clarita city	Los Angeles	10008	3389	1730	1668	3221
Santa Fe Springs city	Los Angeles	950	252	159	152	387
Santa Monica city	Los Angeles	8873	2787	1668	1698	2720
Santa Paula city	Ventura	656	102	99	121	334
Seal Beach city	Orange	1239	257	201	238	543
Sierra Madre city	Los Angeles	204	79	39	35	51
Signal Hill city	Los Angeles	516	160	78	90	188
Simi Valley city	Ventura	2786	747	492	517	1030
South El Monte city	Los Angeles	576	131	64	70	311
South Gate city	Los Angeles	8263	2131	991	1171	3970
South Pasadena city	Los Angeles	2062	755	397	333	577
Stanton city	Orange	1227	164	144	231	688
Temecula city	Riverside	4183	1355	799	777	1252
Temple City city	Los Angeles	2182	628	350	369	835
Thousand Oaks city	Ventura	2615	733	493	531	858
Torrance city	Los Angeles	4928	1617	845	851	1615
Tustin city	Orange	6765	1720	1043	1129	2873
Twentynine Palms city	San Bernardino	1044	230	127	184	503
Unincorporated Imperial Co.	Imperial	4292	1200	595	579	1918
Unincorporated Los Angeles Co.	Los Angeles	89842	25582	13661	14151	36448
Unincorporated Orange Co.	Orange	10381	3131	1862	2035	3353
Unincorporated Riverside Co.	Riverside	40768	10399	6648	7371	16350
Unincorporated San Bernardino Co.	San Bernardino	8813	2174	1357	1520	3762
Unincorporated Ventura Co.	Ventura	1259	318	225	249	467
Upland city	San Bernardino	5673	1580	957	1011	2125
Vernon city	Los Angeles	9	5	4	0	0
Victorville city	San Bernardino	8146	1731	1134	1500	3781
Villa Park city	Orange	296	93	60	61	82
Walnut city	Los Angeles	1292	426	225	231	410
West Covina city	Los Angeles	5334	1649	848	863	1974
West Hollywood city	Los Angeles	3924	1063	687	681	1493
Westlake Village city	Los Angeles	142	58	29	32	23
Westminster city	Orange	9737	1876	1470	1781	4610
Westmorland city	Imperial	33	8	6	4	15
Whittier city	Los Angeles	3431	1022	536	555	1318
Wildomar city	Riverside	2709	796	449	433	1031
Yorba Linda city	Orange	2411	763	450	457	741
Yucaipa city	San Bernardino	2859	706	492	509	1152
Yucca Valley town	San Bernardino	748	155	116	145	332