

LAYNE PARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Application Form	2
2.	Project Selection Criteria	3
3.	Community FactFinder Report and Handbook Form	22
4.	Resolution	24
5.	Grant Scope / Cost Estimate Form	26
6.	Funding Sources Form	27
7.	Project Timeline Form	28
8.	Applicant Capacity	30
9.	California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Compliance Form	33
10	Project Site Ownership	35
11	.Concept Level Site Plan	40
12	Photos and Copyright License Agreement	41
13	Project Location Map	49
14	. Conservation Corps Consultation Review	50

State of California – The Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION Statewide Park Development and Community Revitalization Program of 2018

PROJECT APPLICATION FORM					
PROJECT NAME Layne Park Revitalization Project					
REQUESTED GRANT AMOUNT	\$ 1,114,245.00)			
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	\$ 20,000.00				
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 1,134,245.00)			
PROJECT SITE NAME and PHYSICAL ADDRESS where project is located (including zip code)	PROJECT SITE OWNERSH (☑ all that apply) ■ Owned in fee simple by Al				
Layne Park 120 N. Huntington St. San Fernando, CA 91340	 Proposed Acquisition of _ Available (or will be availa or easement TURN-KEY Project 	acres ble) under ayear lease			
NEAREST CROSS STREETS Hunti	ngton Street & 1st Street				
COUNTY OF PROJECT LOCATION LOS	Angeles County				
APPLICANT NAME (entity applying for the City of San Fernando, 117 Macneil Street		SS			
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE as sho	own in Resolution				
Nick Kimball, City Manager	nkimball@sfcity.org	(818) 898-1202			
Name (typed or printed) and Title	Email address	Phone			
APPLICATION CONTACT					
Julian Venegas, Director of Recreation &	Cor jvenegas@sfcity.org	(818) 898-7381			
Name (typed or printed) and Title	Email address	Phone			
GRANT CONTACT For administration of gra Julian Venegas, Director of Recreation &		AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE) (818) 898-7381			
Name <i>(typed or printed</i>) and Title	Email address	Phone			
GRANT SCOPE I represent and warrant that this APPLICATION describes the intended use of the requested GRANT to complete the items listed in the attached Grant Scope/Cost Estimate Form. I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the information contained in this APPLICATION, including required attachments, is accurate.					
Signature of AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIV	E as shown in Resolution	Date			
Print Name Nick Kimball	Title City Man	ager			

OUTLINE FOR PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA RESPONSE

Applicant Agency/Organization Name: City of San Fernando

Project Name: Layne Park Revitalization Project

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #1 - CRITICAL LACK OF PARK SPACE

Ratio of PARK acreage per 1,000 residents according to the FactFinder report	FactFinder Report ID Number (found on the top right corner of the report)
1.16	3068

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #2 - SIGNIFICANT POVERTY

Α.

Median Household Income according to the Factfinder report	FactFinder Report ID Number (found on the top right corner of the report)
\$53382	3068

В.

Number of People Living in Poverty according to the Factfinder report	FactFinder Report ID Number (found on the top right corner of the report)
1,993	3068

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #3 - TYPE OF PROJECT

TYPE OF PROJECT (check one of the following)	QUALIFIERS (respond based on the type of project)
□NEW PARK	 How the PROJECT SITE qualifies as a NEW PARK: A) What was the use of the PROJECT SITE prior to the SPP APPLICATION due date? Describe how the property to be developed into a NEW PARK was not used as a PARK and did not exist as a PARK before the current ROUND'S APPLICATION due date.
EXPAND an EXISTING PARK	 How the PROJECT SITE qualifies as an EXPANSION of an EXISTING PARK: A) What was the use of the EXPANSION property prior to the current ROUND'S APPLICATION due date? Why is the EXPANSION property currently not considered part of the existing ADJACENT PARK boundary? Click or tap here to enter text. B) Describe why the EXPANSION is needed to complement the ADJACENT EXISTING PARK. Click or tap here to enter text.
RENOVATE an EXISTING PARK (EXPANSION is not applicable)	 How the PROJECT qualifies as a RENOVATION of an EXISTING park: A) What RECREATION FEATURE(s) will be added or renovated in the existing PARK? Install basketball court. Install smart irrigation. Install artificial turf soccer field. Renovate tot lot for ADA and shading. Install restrooms (none right now) Install fencing along alleyway for child safety. Install picnic table shading over existing picnic area. B) Why is it not feasible or desired to create a NEW PARK in a CRITICALLY UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY, or add NEW PARK SPACE ADJACENT to the proposed PROJECT SITE?

of Los Angeles making it land locked. The City has about 25,000 residents in 2.37 square mile area. Layne Park is in an urban area surrounded by housing developments and business properties on all sides. There is no land available add a new park adjacent to the Project site. The City of San Fernando is in the heart of the San Fernando Valley, which is home to 1.77 million people. The C has dense residential areas, big business centers and large industrial parks a properties. Open space is limited making park expansion and new park space difficult.

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #4 - COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING

A. How many MEETINGS occurred in the CRITICALLY UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY? Describe why the MEETING locations and times were convenient for RESIDENTS with various employment and family schedules and lack private transportation. If meetings occurred before June 5, 2018 (passage of Prop 68 Bond Act), they may also be listed for historical reference. <u>Use the chart format below to list the</u> <u>details of each MEETING that occurred in the CRITICALLY UNDERSERVED</u> <u>COMMUNITY</u>.

MEETING Date/Year	MEETING Type, Venue, Address	Times (am/pm)	Day of Week	Convenience of MEETING location/time
2/26/2019	Las Palmas Park 505 Huntington St, San Fernando, 91340	6:00 PM	Tuesday	We selected the park site for attendees closest to this site, which will have an SPP application submitted. The time was selected to accommodate those that must work or have commitments during the day.
3/9/2019	Rudy Ortega Sr. Park 2025 Fourth St., San Fernando, 91340	10:00 AM	Saturday	We selected the park site for attendees closest to this site, which will have an SPP application submitted. The time was selected to accommodate those that must work during the week and can't attend evening functions during the week.
3/27/2019	RECREATION PARK 208 PARK AVE., SAN FERNANDO 91340	6:00 PM	Wednesday	We selected the park site for attendees closest to this site, which will have an SPP application submitted. The time was selected to accommodate those that must work or have commitments during the day. We selected a different weekday to allow those that could not attend on specific days during the week due to other commitments.

6/24/2019	RECREATION PARK 208 PARK AVE., SAN FERNANDO 91340	10:00 AM	Monday	We selected this day and time because the meeting was focused on youth attendance. Youth were part of a Day Camp activity design to get feedback from young park users. Schools are currently on summer break and Recreation Park site was selected due to the high concentration of youth utilizing the Park during the summer.
7/11/2019	Las Palmas Park 505 Huntington St, San Fernando, 91340	6:30 PM	Thursday	We selected the park site since most of the recreational activities by user groups use the Las Palmas Park site. The time was selected to accommodate those that must work or have commitments during the day.

B. For each MEETING listed in the response to 4(A), what method(s) did the APPLICANT or partnering community based organization(s) use to invite RESIDENTS? In the combined set of MEETINGS, was there a BROAD REPRESENTATION of RESIDENTS? Structure the response using the chart format below to describe the method of invitation. Include the number and general description of the RESIDENTS who participated in each MEETING. List MEETINGS in the order of the response to 4(A).

MEETING Date/Year	Description of the method(s) used to invite RESIDENTS to this MEETING.	Number of RESIDENTS who participated in this MEETING.	General description of the RESIDENTS (youth, seniors, families or other groups) who participated in this MEETING.
2/26/2019	City invited residents through flyers, posters, social media and written letters.	14	Participants were mainly seniors, age 65 and older
3/9/2019	City invited residents through flyers, posters,	6	Participants varied in age from youth to seniors.

	social media and written letters.		
3/27/2019	City invited residents through flyers, posters, social media and written letters.	18	A mix of residents were present for this meeting, including parents of special needs children, leisure class students and a resident that rents the facility for events.
6/25/2019	City invited residents through flyers, posters, social media and written letters.	69	Attendees were youth between the ages of 7 and 13 years.
7/11/2019	City invited residents through flyers, posters, social media and written letters.	23	A mix of residents that varied from youth to seniors.

C. During the MEETINGS that occurred in the CRITICALLY UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY, how were the RESIDENTS enabled to DESIGN the PARK using Goals 1-3.

GOAL 1

Selection of the RECREATION FEATURE(S)

Process: The City of San Fernando conducted five community outreach meetings and conducted a city-wide survey to gather input from residences and local user groups on the improvements they would like implemented in the City's Park System. The first three meetings were held after hours and on the weekend, intended to draw residents at large. The last two meetings were focus groups targeting the youth patrons and our user groups. The meetings reaffirm the findings of the City's Park Master Plan, completed in December 2017 and approved by the San Fernando City Council on February 5, 2018. Meeting 1: The goal of the meeting was to gather the resident's opinions, feedback and preferences on the recreational feature improvements being planned at each of the six parks targeted for grant funding. Staff detailed the recreational feature improvements identified in the Park Master Plan and posed the question: "Are these needs still relevant?" Staff also asked if there were additional recreational features not identified in the Park Master Plan that needed to be included in the grant application. Meeting 2: The goal of the meeting was to gather the resident's opinions, feedback and preferences on the recreational feature improvements being planned at each of the six parks targeted for grant funding. Staff detailed the recreational feature improvements identified in the Park Master Plan and posed the question: "Are these needs still relevant?" Staff also asked if there were additional recreational features not identified in the Park Master Plan that needed to be included in the grant application. **Meeting 3:** The goal of the

meeting was to gather the resident's opinions, feedback and preferences on the recreational feature improvements being planned at each of the six parks targeted for grant funding. Staff detailed the recreational feature improvements identified in the Park Master Plan and again posed the question: "Are these needs still relevant?" Staff also asked if there were additional recreational features not identified in the Park Master Plan that needed to be included in the grant application. **Meeting 4:** The goal of the focus group was to get the perspective of our youngest park users. As part our Day Camp Activity Called "Build Your Perfect Park", the children were divided into three groups, based on their age, to survey the recreational features they would like to see in each of the six San Fernando Parks applying for funding. **Meeting 5:** The goal of the focus group was to get the perspective of the community partners and user groups using our facilities regularly. The Focus Group was comprised of representatives from youth sports leagues; health and wellness groups; adult sports; social services programs; cultural arts instructors; and ongoing rental groups.

DESIGN of the RECREATION FEATURE(S)

Process: Meeting 1: The group was given time to discuss the proposed recreational features among themselves, and the group reconvened to present their ideas to the entire group. Meeting 2: Due to the small attendance, an open discussion was held regarding the proposed recreational features. Meeting 3: The group was given time to discuss the proposed recreational features among themselves, and the group reconvened to present their ideas to the entire group. **Meeting 4:** The three survey groups were split by age using the following structure: Blue Group – 7 to 8 years old (20 children); Orange Group – 9 to 10 years old (29 children); and Green Group – 11 to 13 years old (20 children). 69 children total were involved in the survey. Each group had two sets of maps for each park, one printed aerial view of the park and another of the same park but in plain Google map format. There were 2 to 3 children per set of park maps. They were instructed to look at the existing features of their park and have them label any renovations they would like to see. They were also instructed to draw on the maps adding any features they would like to see. Each group was encouraged to present their park with their ideas and were given a map of the parks for them to draw their ideal park and the location of desired recreational features. **Meeting 5:** The Focus Group meeting was broken up into subgroups into their respective user groups to discuss the recreational features and programs at each park site. After 30 minutes, the groups reconvened into a larger group to present to the Focus Group at large. The meeting was an open discussion roundtable meeting allowing the group to discuss design ideas they felt would best suit each user group.

List of design ideas: Meeting 1: Installation of outdoor restrooms. Meeting 4: The feedback mentioned the most was renovation of existing tot lots. Blue Group: Renovation of tot lot and installation of dog park and basketball court. Green Group: Installation of soccer fields. Meeting 5: Installation of basketball court, restrooms and artificial turf field; and a barrier between the alleyway and park for child safety.

GOAL 2

Location of the RECREATION FEATURE(S) within the PARK.

Process: The proposed site plans for each park site were presented at the meetings, detailing the proposed location of each recreational feature. Attendees were asked to provide input and any suggestions regarding the location of the features. Residents provided feedback during smaller breakout groups as well as in the larger group discussions.

List of Reasons: Meeting participants suggested placing the soccer field on the south end of the Layne Park to help prevent runaway soccer balls from rolling into the street and potentially putting youth in danger.

GOAL 3

Safety and PARK beautification

Process: During all meetings, staff presented meeting attendees with safety and beautification ideas for each park site. During small group breakout sessions as well as group presentations and roundtables, residents were encouraged to share their ideas on safety and beautification for the parks within the City.

List of safe public use ideas: Addition of security fencing to create a barrier between the alleyway and park.

List of PARK beautification ideas: Installation of new landscaping throughout the park.

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #5 - EMPLOYMENT OR VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES

Describe how the PROJECT will include employment or volunteer OUTDOOR LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES for RESIDENTS including youth and/or CORPSMEMBERS by answering A and B using the following chart format:

A. Brief Description <u>of what types</u> of Meaningful Employment or Volunteer OUTDOOR LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES for RESIDENTS and/or CORPSMEMBERS <u>between June 5, 2018</u> <u>until</u> PROJECT COMPLETION.	B. <u>How many</u> RESIDENTS and/or CORPSMEMBERS
Coaching for Youth Sports.	15
Maintenance of fields and courts during sports seasons.	5
Site preparation and park clean-up for renovation	15
Tree, shrub and plants planting.	10
Tree, shrub and plants maintenance.	10

C. Outcome of the Corps Consultation Process:

Using the following chart format: Cite the outcome of the Corps Consultation Review Process (Checklist Item #15).

Is it feasible for the Corps	If yes, list the binding/agreed upon services to be performed by the	If yes, list cost of work for	If Corps offered services, but applicant
to work on a project component?	Corps.	each service	declined, provide <u>the</u> <u>reason for not using</u> their services
Yes ⊠ No □	CCC Corpsmembers from the Los Angeles Satellite Center have proposed to assist with debris removal, prepping for planting vegetation, installation of bioswales; planting of vegetation per landscape designs; and cleaning and prepping the existing structures for renovations.	To Be Determined.	

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #6 – PARTNERSHIPS OR COMMITTED FUNDING

Describe partnership assistance given to the APPLICANT <u>beginning with the passage of</u> <u>the Bond Act (June 5, 2018) through PROJECT COMPLETION</u>, by competing the chart below.

If no partners are involved in the PROJECT, but the APPLICANT has COMMITTED FUNDS, write "See Funding Sources Form" in boxes A and B below.

A. Name and General Purpose of Organization (specify if it is a HEALTH ORGANIZATION)	B. Partnership Role specific to this PROJECT such as assisting with community based planning, contributing volunteer hours or materials, or funding support
Kids Love Soccer	Kids Love Soccer will provide instructional classes for youth soccer at Layne Park. The sessions are geared to engage parents in the instruction and participation of the activity.

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #7 - ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

Describe how the PROJECT will provide efficient use of water and other natural resources by answering both (A) <u>and</u> (B) combined, <u>or</u> (C) by itself, to obtain up to 7 points.

A. How will the PROJECT include the following sustainable techniques?

For the APPLICATION to be eligible, the PROJECT must include, at a minimum, A(1):

- Incorporate pervious surfaces or other technique(s) such as bio-swales or grading to capture storm water for infiltration <u>or</u> irrigation, <u>or</u> cleanse storm water before release.
- Use of water efficient irrigation system that includes a rain sensor, evapotranspiration (ET) controllers, flow sensors, or on-site water recycling that reduces potable water consumption, or the PROJECT will not require additional use of water.
- 3. At least 10% of the materials for PROJECT construction will consist of recycled materials, or construction waste will be minimized by the separation and recycling of recoverable materials generated during construction.
- 4. Landscaping that excludes the use of invasive plants and instead features drought tolerant or climate appropriate non-invasive native turf, trees, shrubs, plants, and ground cover. Also discuss how the landscaping minimizes the use of toxic pesticides and inorganic fertilizers.

Use the following chart format to list and describe each sustainable technique in response to (A) above.

A. Sustainable Technique	Description of the sustainable technique
1. Installation of bioswales	Bioswales along the existing buildings and sports fields will be installed to capture storm water for irrigation.
2. Water efficient irrigation system	The City will install Smart Irrigation Rain Bird Maxicom irrigation (or its equivalent) in the proposed park. The system will be integrated with existing irrigation systems at other sites and can be centrally and remotely controlled by City staff. They can override scheduled irrigation times, identify and isolate stations with high/low flow, and adjust irrigation based on weather data and Evapotranspiration values provided by a Rain Bird Weather Station, among other water saving functions.

3. Separation and Reclamation of Construction Materials	The City will require that the bid winner separate construction waste into recycling, recoverable materials, and non-recoverable or recyclable waste to minimize the mass of waste. City staff will periodically inspect the site during construction and confirm compliance of this bid stipulation.
4. Drought tolerant, native landscaping	Trees, shrubs, plants, and grasses used throughout the site will be native and/or drought tolerant. Every effort will be made to ensure no invasive species are selected. Low and ultra-low water use species from the WUCOLS database will be planted in landscaping areas throughout the park. The turf areas will be planted with sod/seed. The artificial turf soccer field will remove the requirement for irrigation to this specific field.

- **B.** How will the PROJECT include the following additional techniques that are not listed in question (A) above?
 - Carbon sequestration tree planting (identify approximately how many trees will be planted). See Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction and Carbon Sequestration technical assistance on page Error! Bookmark not defined.. If the PROJECT will not include tree planting, include one other energy, water, and natural resource conservation technique.
 - 2. Facilitation of safe and reliable drinking water to park visitors if not yet available. If safe and reliable drinking water is already available for park visitors, include one other energy, water, and natural resource conservation technique.
 - 3. One other energy, water, and/or natural resource conservation technique.

Use the following chart format to list and describe each sustainable technique for B.

B. Sustainable Technique	Description of the sustainable technique
1. Planting trees	The City will partner with a tree organization to lead a tree planting day where trees and plants will be planted along the edge of the main building. The trees selected will come from the plant palette with emphasis placed on native, low water use trees. These trees will be the most likely to thrive in the park making the biggest impact on GHG reduction and carbon sequestration.
2. Drinking fountains located in the park	Drinking fountains will be placed in the park that will allow park visitors to have access to clean, safe, and reliable drinking water year-round.
3. LED lighting	All lighting throughout the park will be converted to LED. Solar panels will be installed on the proposed restroom building to power the fixtures and lights. These measures will save energy, reducing both costs and negative environmental impacts from the park.
4. Drought Tolerant shrubs and plants	Only non-invasive and drought tolerant shrubs and plants will be used to landscape throughout the park. Selected species use little water so that they are drought tolerant. The park staff will mulch around the plants on a semi-annual basis, which will further reduce the water required to support these beautiful plant and shrub species. The mulch also minimizes the herbicides needed by reducing the

C. SITES or LEED Certification: If A and B above are selected, do not respond to this item. This is a 7 point alternative to A and B:

Will the PROJECT include SITES or LEED Certification <u>as an alternative</u> to A and B above? If so, <u>provide a plan</u> for achieving either SITES certified landscaping or LEED certified building construction by answering the following questions:

1. What level of SITES certification or LEED certification, or both, will be obtained?

N/A

2. What is the current status and next steps timeline for securing the certification?

N/A

3. Will the PROJECT incorporate pervious surfaces or other technique(s) such as bioswales or grading to capture storm water for infiltration or irrigation or cleanse storm water before release?

N/A

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #8 – PUBLIC USE FEES AND HOURS OF OPERATION

Describe how youth, seniors, and families affected by poverty will have DAILY ACCESS to the PROJECT SITE by answering the following:

A. Overall PARK Monday through Sunday hours of operation: What will be the Monday through Sunday hours of operation for the overall PARK to accommodate various needs of youth, seniors, and families?

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
7am- 8pm	7am- 8pm	7am-8pm	7am-8pm	7am-8pm	7am- 8pm	7am-8pm

B. Specific hours of operation of grant-funded facilities:

Will the Monday through Sunday hours of operation differ for any RECREATION FEATURES listed in the Grant Scope/Cost Estimate Form? If so, please identify the RECREATION FEATURE(S) and Monday through Sunday hours of operation in the chart below.

Recreation Feature	Sun.	Mon.	Tues.	Wed.	Thurs.	Fri.	Sat.
N/A							

- **C.** Entrance or membership fees:
 - 1. Overall park:

There will not be any fees associated with overall park entry or use. Park fees will only be required if a group wants to reserve park space/features in advance. For example, if a group is holding a family reunion at the park, and they want to guarantee a reservation for a picnic structure and use of a baseball field, fees will apply. Standard operation is all recreational features can be used at no charge and are available on a first come, first served basis.

2. Fees for a specific grant-funded RECREATION FEATURE:

No fees will be required for use of any major grant-funded recreation features listed in the grant scope unless an organization, group or individual wants to guarantee reservation of a specific feature on a specific date and time.

If so, list each fee, identify if the fee is daily, weekly, or monthly, and explain why the fee will not prevent DAILY ACCESS for youth, seniors, and families affected by poverty.

	Number of Participants	Facility Fee /Hour	Staff Rate /Hour	Conditionally Refundable Damage/ Cleaning Deposit + Application Fee	Duration Minimum
1	Resident / Non-Profit				
1	Event With 1 – 49 People	28	22	150 + 5	3 Hours
1	Event With 50 – 99 People	33	39	150 + 5	3 Hours
1	Event With 100 – 149 People	44	55	150 + 5	3 Hours
10	l Event With 150 – 199 People	54	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
10	Event With 200 – 249 People	65	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
1	f Event With 250 – 300 People	75	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
2	Non-Resident				
2	Event With 1 – 49 People	38	22	150 + 5	3 Hours
21	Event With 50 – 99 People	49	39	150 + 5	3 Hours
2	Event With 100 – 149 People	54	55	150 + 5	3 Hours
20	Event With 150 – 199 People	65	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
20	Event With 200 – 249 People	75	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
2	f Event With 250 – 300 People	85	71	150 + 5	3 Hours
	Location	Youth Fee /Hour	Adult Fee /Hour	Youth League Field Maintenance Impact Fee	Insurance Required
1	Resident / Non-Profit				
1:	a Ball Fields/Courts (Each)	N/C*	27	*25 Per Season Per Registered Player (Non- Profit Youth Teams)	Yes
1	Ball Fields/Court Lights	10	15		
2	Non-Resident				
2	Ball Fields/Courts (Each)	28	33		Yes

2b	Ball Fields/Court Lights	10	15		
	Description	Fee	Unit	Special Condition	Other
1	Special Events Application Processing				
1a	Application Processing Fee	50	Each		
1b	Change Fee	25	Each		
	Additional Expedition Cost Fee – 60 Days or Less Before Event Date	70	Each		
	Additional Expedition Cost Fee – 30 Days or Less Before Event Date	90	Each		
	Additional Expedition Cost Fee – 10 Working Days or Less Before Event Date	130	Each		

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERION #9 – COMMUNITY CHALLENGES, PROJECT BENEFITS, AND READINESS

A. What CHALLENGES are present within the community that contributes to the need for the PROJECT?

Challenge	Description of the Challenge
Adult and Childhood Obesity and related Diseases	The City-sponsored "Healthy San Fernando!" campaign is a collaborative effort to increase public awareness of obesity-related diseases and the prevention through diet and active living. The limited amount of park space available to residents makes promoting active living a challenge in the City.
Resident Financial Status	The City of San Fernando residents are diverse in economic status. Some do not have the financial resources to pay for memberships to gyms, sports organizations and leagues. This Project will allow low to no- cost recreational opportunities for all residents, regardless of economic situation. According to the CalEnviroScreen 3.0, in the Layne Park census tract 6037320201, 82% of people are living below twice the federal poverty level. Twice the poverty level is used due to the high cost of living in California.
Negative Environmental Impacts	Layne Park is in census tract 6037320201and surrounded by vehicle congestion. Interstate 5 is to the southwest, the 118 freeway is to the south, and there are major arterial streets within proximity of the Park. Layne Park is in the San Fernando Valley, which is deemed an urban heat island. Urban heat islands are an urban or metropolitan area that is significantly warmer than its surrounding rural areas due to human activities. The CalEnviroScreen 3.0 report for census tract

	6037320201 is burdened by pollutants, including ozone at 82%, Fine Particulate Matter (PM 2.5) at 66% and drinking water contaminants at 45%. Residents exposed to these environmental conditions are more likely to develop serious and chronic health problems directly related to the exposure to pollutants in the air, water and soil.
Asthma	CalEnviroScreen 3.0 results reveal that the area around the park has a high concentration of asthma sufferers, roughly 78% of the population. This is very high and puts the tract at the high 80 th percentile in California.
Low Birth Weight	Within the ½ mile radius of the park are two other census tracks with low birth weight problems. Each of them is ranked above the 88 th percentile in California. Low birth weight risk factors include low-income, low-education, substance use during pregnancy and exposure to air pollution or contaminated water.
Housing Burden	The CalEnvironScreen 3.0 indicates residents living in the census tract 6037320201 has a housing burden in the 84 th percentile. This indicator is the percent of households in a census tract that are both low income (making less than 80% of their county's median family income) and severely burdened by housing costs (paying greater than 50% of their income for housing costs).
Low Educational Attainment	Low educational attainment is a problem for the area, which is plagued with poverty and linguistic isolation. A high percentage of the residents are of Hispanic origin, and their culture often relies on youth to help the family financially. In many cases, this need results in increased high school dropout rates or in the decision not to pursue post- secondary education either in college or vocational schools.

B. How will the PROJECT benefit the HEALTH and quality of life for youth, seniors, and families by improving the community's recreational, social, cultural, environmental, educational, and economic conditions?

HEALTH and quality of life conditions	How the PROJECT will benefit the HEALTH and quality of life for youth, seniors, and families.
Recreational	Access to recreational features will provided for those with disabilities by adding ADA accessibility to the play lot. Recreational activities will be available to all age groups in the form of renovation of the existing tot lot and installation of a basketball court, soccer field and picnic tables with shading.

Quaial	
Social	Picnic tables and grills will be included in the park to encourage social gatherings. The sports courts, athletic field and playground will also encourage people to form new connections and friendships while utilizing the amenities.
Cultural	The open space, picnic tables, grills and shade structures invite people to practice their culture as groups or families in the park. The City will not charge for use of the facilities, unless reserved, allowing small to moderately sized cultural events to be held at the park.
Environmental	The new landscaping, including trees, will sequester carbon and reduce GHG while filtering the air of some particulates. The roots of the vegetation will also capture and filter storm water using it to recharge the ground water. This will improve both air and water quality. The park surfaces will primarily be permeable to further reduce storm runoff and improve water quality.
Educational	The increased enrollment of youth in group athletics will give more students a support group of their peers and additional adult role models. These new connections will become a part of the young person's and their family's support system. With these additional role models and support system, students may be able to better balance staying in high school while helping to support their family. They may even become encouraged to pursue additional education through two-year community college programs, vocational training or four-year college degree programs while they work. Students that are socially isolated are less likely to maintain a balance that allows for completion of their education while working full-time. They are also less likely to understand the connection between higher education and breaking the poverty cycle or have hope that they could complete post- secondary education.
Economic (and advancing solutions to prevent displacement, if applicable)	Increased park use will bring economic stimulation to the retailers and restaurants in the immediate park area. The park will also provide networking opportunities to users that come to enjoy the park and make new social connections at sporting events or through simultaneous use of recreation features like the outdoor basketball court or the soccer field. Displacement is not a concern for this park because the City already has multiple plans and policies in place that prevent displacement. These include high density development plans and affordable housing policies.
	The park will create one part-time seasonal jobs to maintain the park. The park will also have a positive impact on

housing values in the surrounding neighborhood. The park will provide a place for social and community connection
which translates into networking for job opportunities and other possibilities for business connections.

California State Parks Community FactFinder Report

Project ID: 3068 Coordinates: 34.2892, -118.4446 Date: 01/02/2019

This is your project report for the site you have defined. Please refer to your **Project ID** above in any future communications about the project.

PROJECT AREA STATISTICS

County:	Los Angeles
City:	San Fernando
Total Population:	10,177
Youth Population:	2,907
Senior Population:	771
Households Without Access to a Car:	231
Number of People in Poverty:	1,993
Median Household Income:	\$54,382
Per Capita Income:	\$17,959
Park Acres:	11.79
Park Acres per 1,000 Residents:	1.16



REPORT BACKGROUND

The project statistics have been calculated based on half mile radius around the point location selected. Only park acres within the project area's half mile radius are reported.

Population and people in poverty are calculated by determining the percent of any census block-groups that intersect with the project area. The project area is then assigned the sum of all the census blockgroup portions. An equal distribution in census blockgroups is assumed. Rural areas are calculated at a census block level to improve results.

Median household and per capita income are calculated as a weighted average of the census block- group values that fall within the project area.



SCORP Community FactFinder is a service of the California Department of Parks and Recreation www.parks.ca.gov More information on the calculations is available on the methods page $\stackrel{}{\ast}$

Demographics—American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates 2012-2016; Decennial 2010 Census; the margin of error (MOE) was not analyzed.

Parks—California Protected Areas Database 2017a CFF adjusted (5/2018) - more information at <u>http://www.CALands.org</u>. Parks and park acres area based on best available source information but may not always contain exact boundaries or all parks in specific locations. Parks are defined further in the 2015 SCORP (pg. 4).

Users can send updated information on parks to <u>SCORP@parks.ca.gov</u>

SCORP Community FactFinder created by <u>GreenInfo Network</u> www.greeninfo.org in consultation with CA Dept. of Parks and Rec





State of California – The Natural Resources Agency

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

COMMUNITY FACTFINDER HANDBOOK FORM

APPLICANTS will sign this Form after completing Steps 1-8 following the FactFinder Handbook available at parks.ca.gov/spp.

I certify that the California State Parks Community Fact Finder Report that was submitted at the time of APPLICATION met the following two requirements:

- ✓ Acreage that should or should not be counted within the PROJECT SITE'S radius has been reported to SCORP@parks.ca.gov. (Step 6)
- ✓ The FactFinder Report was generated with the pin located in the boundary of the PROJECT SITE. (Step 7)

I understand if either of the above requirements were not met, OGALS will generate a new report with the pin located in the middle of the PROJECT SITE to create the new half-mile radius and will use the data for purposes of Project Selection Criteria 1 and 2.

Date

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE Signature

RESOLUTION NO. 7932

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF CITY OF SAN FERNANDO, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE APPLICATION FOR STATEWIDE PARK DEVELOPMENT AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM GRANT FUNDS

WHEREAS, the State Department of Parks and Recreation has been delegated the responsibility by the Legislature of the State of California for the administration of the Statewide Park Development and Community Revitalization Grant Program, setting up necessary procedures governing the application; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Department of Parks and Recreation require the Applicant to certify by resolution the approval of the application before submission of said application to the State; and

WHEREAS, successful Applicants will enter into a contract with the State of California to complete the Grant Scope project;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN FERNANDO DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, FIND, DETERMINE, AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: Approves the filing of an application for the Layne Park Revitalization Project; and

Section 2: Certifies that said Applicant has or will have available, prior to commencement of any work on the project included in this application, the sufficient funds to complete the project; and

Section 3: Certifies that if the project is awarded, the Applicant has or will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the project, and

Section 4: Certifies that the Applicant has reviewed, understands, and agrees to the General Provisions contained in the contract shown in the Grant Administration Guide; and

<u>Section 5:</u> Delegates the authority to the City Manager to conduct all negotiations, sign and submit all documents, including, but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, and payment requests, which may be necessary for the completion of the Grant Scope; and

Section 6: Agrees to comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and guidelines; and

Section 7: Will consider promoting inclusion per Public Resources Code §80001(b)(8 A-G).

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this 1st day of July, 2019

Joel Fajardo, Mayor

ATTEST:

Ulena of Clavey Elena G. Chávez, City Clerk

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss
CITY OF SAN FERNANDO)

I, ELENA G. CHÁVEZ, City Clerk of the City of San Fernando, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted a regular meeting of the City Council held on the 1st day of July, 2019 and was carried by the following roll call vote:

- AYES: Fajardo, Ballin, Gonzales, Pacheco - 4
- NOES: None
- Lopez 1 **ABSENT:**
- **ABSTAIN:** None

dena y chaven

Elena G. Chávez, City Clerk

GRANT SCOPE/COST ESTIMATE FORM

Follow the directions starting on page 37

GRANT SCOPE ITEMS	
ACQUISITIONS: List each parcel number, acreage, estimated date of purchase, and cost	
DEVELOPMENT: List each RECREATION FEATURE and MAJOR	
SUPPORT AMENITY	ESTIMATED COST
Construct Basketball Court	\$ 125,291.00
Install SMART Irrigation	\$ 80,262.00
Construct Artificial Turf Soccer Field	\$ 143,324.00
Renovate Tot Lot w ADA Access and Shading	\$ 266,988.00
Build Restroom Facility	\$ 173,326.00
Install Fencing Along Alleyway	\$ 102,585.00
Picnic Table Shading	\$ 17,469.00
Total Estimated Cost for the RECREATION FEATURES and MAJOR SUPPORT AMENITIES (A)	\$ 909,245.00
Total Estimated PRE-CONSTRUCTION COST (B)	\$ 225,000.00
TOTAL PROJECT COST (A+B)	\$ 1,134,245.00
Requested GRANT Amount	\$ 1,114,245.00
Estimated amount of the GRANT to be charged to PRE- CONSTRUCTION COSTS (cannot exceed 25% of the GRANT)	\$ 215,000.00

The APPLICANT understands that this form will be used to establish the expected GRANT deliverables, and that all of the RECREATION FEATURES and MAJOR SUPPORT AMENITIES listed on this form must be completed and open to the public before the final GRANT payment will be made. The APPLICANT also understands that no more than 25% of the GRANT amount may be spent on PRE-CONSTRUCTION costs. See the ELIGIBLE COSTS charts starting on page 52 before creating a cost estimate.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE Signature

Date

FUNDING SOURCES FORM

nding Source	Date COMMITTED	Amount
City of San Fernando	7/1/19	\$ 20,000.00
Statewide Parks Program Grant		\$ 1,114,245.00
Grand Total All Funding Sources (Estimated TOTAL PROJECT COST)		\$ 1,134,245.00

The APPLICANT understands that the PROJECT cannot be funded unless the requested GRANT equals the estimated cost needed to complete the PROJECT, or, the requested GRANT plus the total amount of additional COMMITTED FUNDS equals the estimated cost of the PROJECT. If the GRANT is awarded, there will be no need for additional fundraising. The PROJECT must be completed and open to the public before final GRANT payment is processed. If funding sources change from the time of APPLICATION until PROJECT COMPLETION, the APPLICANT understands this form must be updated within 30 days.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE Signature

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION PROJECT TIMELINE FORM

Th ad ad	SKS e below list can be justed/reorganized with tasks ded/removed unique to each OJECT.	START DATE (MM/YY)	END DATE (MM/YY)	LEAD AGENCY responsible for task and contact information	NOTES ABOUT ANY POTENTIAL DELAYS/ISSUES
1.	Appraisal and Purchase Agreement (for ACQUISITION)				N/A
2.	Close of escrow (for ACQUISITION)				N/A
3.	Schematic/concept level design with community-based planning and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) considerations	02/19	07/19	City of San Fernando	Completed
4.	Site risk assessment for possible contaminants and other complications	11/19	01/20	City of San Fernando	City needs to contract with agency to perform task
5.	CEQA for the construction scope	05/19	06/19	City of San Fernando	Completed
6.	Engineer cost estimate	08/19	10/19	City of San Ferna	City out sources service
7.	Consultation with CONSERVATION CORPS to consider feasibility of CORPS' service	06/19	07/19	City of San Fernando	Completed
8.	Construction Documents (final design includes the community based planning results)	01/20	03/20	City of San Fernando	
9.	Construction Permits	03/20	03/20	City of San Ferna	~ A
10	Other permits (Note if Department of Toxic Substances Control, Division of the State Architect, US Army Corps of Engineers, or other regulatory permits as	03/20	04/20	City of San Fernando	

PROJECT TIMELINE FORM (CHECKLIST #7)

TASKS The below list can be adjusted/reorganized with tasks added/removed unique to each PROJECT.	START DATE (MM/YY)	END DATE (MM/YY)	LEAD AGENCY responsible for task and contact information	NOTES ABOUT ANY POTENTIAL DELAYS/ISSUES
applicable to site, are required)				
11.Environmental cleanup/remediation				N/A
12.Construction Bid Package Preparation/start Bid Process	01/20	03/20	City of San Fernando ∎	
13. Bid Approval for Construction	02/20	03/20	City of San Ferna ♯	
14.Environmental cleanup/remediation				N/A
15. Construction Period	03/20	03/21	City of San Ferna	
16. Grand Opening/completed for public use with grant completion package (three months before the end of the GRANT PERFORMANCE PERIOD).	03/21	04/21	City of San Fernando 🛛 🖬	
17. Thirty years of operation and maintenance for public use.	12/19	12/69	City of San Fernando	Thirty years beginning with APPROPRIATION DATE

I certify that the above timeline has been created with input from each agency contact listed in the right column above. The agency contacts for each milestone above have reviewed the project concept, including its location and scope, and represent that the time period estimated for the step "is reasonable absent any unforeseen circumstances".

AUTHORIZED RÉPRESENTATIVE Signature

<u>7/28/1</u> Date



Applicant Capacity Layne Park

1. Describe up to three park or other construction projects completed by the APPLICANT.

Park/Construction Project A

San Fernando Regional Pool Facility

Project Address: 208 Park Ave, San Fernando, CA 91340

Scope of Work: Construction of a regional aquatic center to include three (3) bodies of water with support facility. This will include demolition of existing pool and support facility with associated equipment and deck. Construction consists of: a new competition pool, instructional pool with recreational slide, and a "splash area" with surrounding deck, landscaping and shade structures, as well as construction of a two-story support facility consisting of lobby, offices, dressing room, classroom, locker rooms, multipurpose room, utility and mechanical rooms, and storage.

Total Project Cost:	\$9,542,538
Funding Sources:	

-	State of California Grant	\$2,700,000.00
	CDBG Section 108 Loan	\$3,000,000.00
	RDA Tax Allocation Bond	\$3,342,538.00
	Prop A Funding	\$5,000.00
Start Date:	June 2004	
Date of Completion:	August 2008	

Park/Construction Project B

Layne Park Picnic Shelter Project

Project Address: 120 N Huntington Street, San Fernando, CA 91340 **Scope of Work:** The project involved the installation of several new picnic tables with benches and BBQ grills. Wooden structures were constructed for the new picnic table units to provide shade and protection from weather. **Total Project Cost:** \$124,175

Funding Sources:

	Housing Related Park Program Quimby	
Start Date: Date of Completion:	Recreation Fund 017 April 2018 June 2019	\$3,525 \$7,000



Park/Construction Project C

Project Address: 505 Huntington Street, San Fernando, CA 91340 **Scope of Work:** Construction of a 12,000 square foot youth activities center for at-risk youth serving the communities of Sylmar, Lake View Terrace, San Fernando, Pacoima and Arleta, and installation of graffiti-resistant tile and coatings in the entry, courtyard, restrooms and exterior walls.

Total Project Cost:	\$1,106,422	
Funding Sources:		
-	Prop A Funding	\$878,442
	State of California Grant	\$59,000
	CDBG	\$170,000
Start Date:	June 2002	
Date of Completion:	September 2004	

2. Provide an operation and maintenance budget breakdown (chart) showing the monthly and annual total expected cost to operate and maintain this proposed PROJECT (include utilities, routine repairs/upkeep, and staffing costs in the chart).

O & M Expense Description	Monthly Cost	Annual Cost
Utilities	\$731.59	\$8,779.09
Staff	\$8,382.43	\$100,589.18
Routine Repairs/Upkeep	\$3,367	\$40,398
Extended replacements	\$5,662	\$67,942
(to calculate divide total cost by		
recurrence interval – e.g. cost		
of roof/30 years or 360 months,		
cost of restroom fixtures/10		
years or 120 months, etc.)		

3. What are the planned funding sources to operate and maintain the proposed PROJECT?

The planned funding sources to operate and maintain the proposed Project is the Facility Maintenance Fund and the General Fund. 66% of the maintenance and operations costs will come from the Facility Maintenance Fund and 34% will come from the General Fund. The Facility Maintenance Fund is an internal service fund that is used to account for the costs associated with maintaining the City Parks. Costs for the Fund are charged to each City Division through a facilities maintenance charge, which is calculated based on each division's proportionate share of payroll. The Facilities Maintenance Division, which is funded through the Facility Maintenance Fund, provides maintenance of all City facilities,



including: City Hall, City Yard, Police Station, Park buildings and related grounds. The Department maintains approximately 45 acres of parks, park grounds and facilities. The operational costs consist of line items such as Contractual Services, Department Supplies and Equipment Maintenance. Personnel training, meetings and membership in professional associations are also include cost that keep staff abreast to trends in the operation of programs and services this project will promote.

4. Provide the weblink for a list of PARKS and facilities the APPLICANT currently oversees. If a website is not available, please provide the list in this response. OGALS may visit some of the APPLICANT'S PARKS to review the level of ongoing operation and maintenance.

http://ci.san-fernando.ca.us/recreation-community-services/park-facilities/



State of California – The Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

CEQA Compliance Certification

Grantee: City of San Fernando

Project Name: Layne Park Revitalization Project

Project Address: 120 N. Huntington St., San Fernando, CA 91340

Is the CEQA analysis complete? Ves No

What document was filed, or is expected to be filed for this project's CEQA analysis:

(check one)

Date complete/expected to be completed

✓ Notice of Exemption (attach recorded copy if filed)

6/28/19

Notice of Determination (attach recorded copy if filed)

If CEQA is complete, and a Notice of Exemption or Notice of Determination was not filed, attach a letter from the Lead Agency explaining why, certifying the project has complied with CEQA and noting the date that the project was approved by the Lead Agency.

Lead Agency Contact Information

Agency Name:	City of San Fernando
Contact Person:	Timothy Hou, AICP, Director of Community Development
Mailing Address:	117 Macneil Street, San Fernando, CA 91340
Phone: (818) 8	

Certification

I hereby certify that the above referenced Lead Agency has complied or will comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and that the project is described in adequate and sufficient detail to allow the project's construction or acquisition.

I further certify that the CEQA analysis for this project encompasses all aspects of the work to be completed with grant funds.

Date

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (Signature)

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (Printed Name and Title)

Notice of Exemption

DATE: June 20, 2019

TO: _____ Office of Planning and Research 1400 Tenth Street, Room 121 Sacramento, CA 95814 FROM: City of San Fernando 117 Macneil Street San Fernando, CA 91340 Community Development Department

X County Clerk, County of Los Angeles 12400 East Imperial Highway, Room 2001 Norwalk, CA 90650 ORIGINAL FILED

JUN 28 2019 LOS ANGELES, COUNTY CLERK

SUBJECT: City of San Fernando Public Works Improvements **PROJECT TITLE:** LAYNE PARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT

	LATINE FARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT
PROJECT LOCATION:	120 N. Huntington St., San Fernando, CA 91340
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	A proposal to refurbish, replace and renovate several park amenities: the tot- lot, irrigation and security lighting. New park amenities will include outdoor restrooms, basketball court, and youth soccer field. Making a determination of categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Class 1 (Repair and Maintenance of Existing Structures or Facilities) and Class 2 (Replacement or Reconstruction of Existing Structures or Facilities).

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER

(IF SUBMITTED TO CLEARINGHOUSE):

(
CONTACT PERSON:	Timothy Hou, AICP, Director of Community Development
PHONE NUMBER:	(818) 898-7316

This is to advise that the City of San Fernando has approved the above described project:

and has made the following determination regarding the above described project:

- 1. X The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 - An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
- 3. Mitigation measures were made a condition of the approval of the project.
- 4. _____ A Statement of Overriding Consideration was not adopted for this project.
- 5. X Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. (Categorically Exempt: Class 1 Existing Facilities and Class 2 replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities)

This is to certify that the final Negative Declaration with comments and responses and record of the project approval is available to the General Public at: San Fernando City Hall, 117 Macneil Street, San Fernando, California 91340.

Timothy Hou, AICP, Director of Community Development

June 20, 2019

BODK38582 PAGE187

PLACE INTERNAL REVENUE STAMPS IN THIS SPACE

ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

Grant Deed

Affix I. R. S. \$ Mone

hereby

, do

Los Angeles

THIS FORM FURNISHED BY TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,

SPACE

398 9-50

GRANT to F. B. LAYNE AND NELLIE D. LAYNE The City of San Fernando, a Municipal Corporation

the real property in the City of San Fernando county of state of California, described as:

A portion of Block 229 of the Maclay Rancho Ex-Mission of San Fernando, as per map recorded in Book 37, pages 5-16 of Miscellaneous Records, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Southwesterly line of Lot 39, Tract 13291, as recorded in Map Book 268, page 39 in the office of the County Recorder of said County; distant Northwesterly 25 feet from the most Southerly corner of said Lot 39; thence Southeasterly 25 feet to the most Southerly corner of said Lot 39; thence Southwesterly along the Northwesterly line of Lot 12, Tract 8112, as recorded in Map Book 102, pages 34 and 35 in the office of the County Recorder of said County, to the most Westerly corner of said Lot 12; thence Northwesterly along the Northeasterly line of First Street (60 feet wide) 15 feet; thence Northeasterly parallel to said Northwesterly line of said Lot 12, Tract 8112 to a point distant Southwesterly 10 feet from the Southwesterly line of said Lot 39, Tract 13291; thence Northwesterly a distance of 14.14 feet to the point of beginning.

This parcel is deeded to the City of San Fernando for use as an alley only.

Dated: February 25, 1952

C.B.	Jayme
hellie	Dacune
	* 0

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SS. angeles 25th of Feb. 195: before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared F. B. LAYNE AND NELLIE D. LAYNE known to me to be the person S. whose name S. subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that Theyexecuted the same. WITNESS my hand and official seal.

1013

(Seal)

SPACE BELOW FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

35

State.

My Commission

Expires Dec. 5, 195



ROOK38582 PAGE 188
Book 28858 Page 35

Form 398 Rev. 10-47

THIS FORM FURNISHED BY TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY

Affix I. R. S. \$.....

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, FLOYD E. LAYNE AND NELLIE D. LAYNE, husband and wife,

, do hereby

GRANT to

CITY OF SAN FERNANDO, a Municipal Corporation

200508 7000 34

the real property in the City of San Fernando County of Los Angeles State of California, described as:

Lot 32, excepting the Northeasterly 20 feet thereof, Lot 41 excepting the Northeasterly 20 feet thereof. All of Lots 33 and 40, Lot 34 excepting the Southwesterly 20 feet thereof, Lot 39 excepting the Southwesterly 20 feet thereof, all of Tract 13291 as per Map recorded in Book 269, Page 39 of Maps, Records of Los Angeles County.

To have and to hold the said premises with all and singular the appurtenances unto the grantee and its successors for and only for use as a public park or place of recreation and if there is a breach of such condition during the effective term hereof as hereinafter provided, such breach shall work a forfeiture of the said property herein described and title to the same shall re ert to Grantors, their heirs and assigns.

The condition and restriction herein contained as to the use of said property shall expire November 1st, 1973. Thereafter the condition and restriction as to use herein contained shall have no further legal effect.

		-1-1	
Dated: NOV	EIBER	13 0	1948.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SPACE BELOW FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY SS. LOS ANGEL'S On NOVENBER 1948 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared FLOYD B. LAYNE AND 2809 OCCUMENT No RECORDED AT REQUEST OF NELLIE D. LAYNE 1 San Fernando City Clerk NOV 30 1948 known to me to be the person. whose name **S BTO** subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that 38 MIN. 2 P. M. Book 28858 Page ... executed the same. WITNESS my hand and official seal IN OFFICIAL RECORDS County of Los Angeles, California Fee \$ tree _ Folios__ MAME B. BEATTY, County Recorder Ellaney Deputy 37

PLACE INTERNAL REVENUE STAMPS IN THIS SPACE

1

)

My Commission Expires October 14, 195a

12:50

Affix I. R. S. \$..... THIS FORM FURNISHED BY TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY 398 9-50 FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, F. B. LAYNE AND NELLIE D. LAYNE, HUSBAND AND WIFE , do hereby **GRANT** to THE CITY OF SAN FERNANDO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION the real property in the City of San Fernando county of Los Angeles state of California, described as: The Southwesterly 20 feet of Lots 34 and 39, Tract 13291, as per Map recorded in Book 268, page 39 of Maps, Records of Los Angeles County. Dated: STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SPACE BELOW FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY SS. 290/2 1470 before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared BOOK 42016 PAGE 317 B. Loynen e///e D 119 DOOLMENT NO. RECORDED AT REQUEST OF ee Te executed the same. 19 1953 JUN WITNESS my hand and official seal. 55 MIN 9 A.M. BUDH 12016 PAGE 314 OFFICIAL RECORDS County of Los Angeles, California (Seal) Free FEE S. Notary I

38

MAME B. BEATTY, County Recorder

un

Desucy

Park AB DOCUMENT COVERS CITY-2-I CERTIFY TH BK D3236PF 201 SAN FE' NANDO BUSINESS WITHIN THE MEAN OF SECTION 6103 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE CARD OLFEK RECERDED SAN FORNANDO RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIF. 35 Min. Past 9 A.M. MAR 14 1966 LEILA EDWARDS CITY CLERK RAY E. LEE, County Recorder AN. FERNANDO, CAL. SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE -FREE I. R. STAMPS IN THIS SPACE TO 402 C 19.1 uitclaim Deed THIS FORM FURNISHED B E INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANY FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, F. B. Layne, also known as Floyd B. Layne, and Nellie D. Layne, husband and wife, hereby REMISE, RELEASE AND FOREVER QUITCLAIM to City of San Fernando, a municipal corporation, the following described real property in the City of San Fernando County of Los Angeles, State of California: The northwest 15 feet of the southeast 45 feet of Lots 39, 40 and 41 in Tract No. 13291 in said City, County and State as per map recorded in Book 268 Page 39 of Maps, Records of Los Angeles County. 4-3104 Excepting therefrom the southwest 20 feet of Lot 39 and the northeast 20 feet of Lot 41 conveyed to the City of San Fernando by deed recorded June 19, 1953 in Book 42016 Page 317 of Official Records in office of County Recorder of said County. This deed is given to Remise, Release and Forever Quitclaim unto the grantee, its successor or assigns, any and all right title and interest the grantors may have in said land under and by reason of the condition and restriction contained in that certain deed recorded November 30, 1948 as Instrument No. 2809 in Book 28858 Page 33 of Official Records in office of County Recorder of said County. The interest hereby conveyed is fee simple title, to be used for public street purposes. November 5, 1965 Dated_ FLOYD STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SS. COUNTY OF Los Angeles On November 5, 1965 _before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared NELLIE D. Floyd B. Layne and Nellie D. Layne LAYNE 2392 known to me to be the person & whose name S _____subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that they executed the same. WITNESS my hand and official seal. (Scal) un 1a Signature A Bergman Stuart E. Title Order No .__ Name (Typed or Printed) Notary Public in and for said State 39 If executed by a Corporation the Corporation Form of Acknowledgment must be used. Escrow or Loan No .. STUART E. BERGMAN

Layne Park Site Plan 120 n. Huntington Street, San Fernando, CA 91340



Legend:

- A Construct a Basketball Court
- B Install Smart irrigation
- C Construct Artificial Turf Soccer Field
- D Renovate Tot-Lot w/ADA access and Shading
- E Build Restroom Facility
- F Install Fencing Along Alleway

G – Picnic Table Shading



LAYNE PARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT



Panoramic view from the northern side of the park with a view the playground.



Panoramic view from the western side of the park with a view of the water fountain and playground.



Panoramic view from the southeastern side of the park with a view of the playground.



LAYNE PARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT



Playground equipment and dilapidated surfacing.



View of playground and temporary restroom.



LAYNE PARK REVITALIZATION PROJECT



Playground equipment and park benches without shade.



View of park from the ally on the northeastern side.

Picnic table without shade.



COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING MEETINGS



February 26, 2019 at Las Palmas Park Group discussion with residents



February 26, 2019 at Las Palmas Park Residents share ideas



March 27, 2019 at Recreation Park Residents share ideas



March 27, 2019 at Recreation Park Residents write down ideas for park designs



March 27, 2019 at Recreation Park Residents discuss design ideas to the entire group.



March 27, 2019 at Recreation Park City staff explains proposed design concepts.



COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING MEETINGS



June 24, 2019 at Recreation Park – Youth Focus Group Youth share their drawn park ideas with group.



June 24, 2019 at Recreation Park – Youth Focus Group 7 and 8-year old youth draw their ideal park plans.



June 24, 2019 at Recreation Park – Youth Focus Group 11 to 13-year old youth draw their ideal park plans.



June 24, 2019 at Recreation Park – Youth Focus Group Youth share drawings and discuss plans for ideal park.



COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING MEETINGS



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and users Focus Group Meeting



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and Users Focus Group Meeting Partners and Users break out into respective groups to discuss ideas



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and Users Focus Group Meeting Partners and Users break out into respective groups to discuss ideas



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and Users Focus Group Meeting Partners and Users break out into respective groups to discuss ideas



COMMUNITY BASED PLANNING MEETINGS



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and Users Focus Group Meeting Partners and Users break out into respective groups to discuss ideas



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and users Focus Group Meeting Partners reconvene into larger group and discuss ideas with everyone.



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and users Focus Group Meeting Partners reconvene into larger group and discuss ideas with everyone.



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park Partners and users Focus Group Meeting Partners reconvene into larger group and discuss ideas with everyone.



July 11, 2019 – Las Palmas Park - Partners and users Focus Group Meeting Partners and Users discuss park design ideas and new programming opportunities

State of California - The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

COPYRIGHT LICENSE AGREEMENT

COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION NO.

ITEM DESCRIPTION

Project Site Photos

hereafter called the "Material," a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

I, <u>Nick Kimball</u>, and hereby warrant that I have the authority to issue this license and to authorize and release the Material for use by the California Department of Parks and Recreation (the "Department") and its designated agents. I understand that the Department wants to use and reuse the Material, as the Department deems appropriate.

I hereby grant to the Department an unrestricted, fully paid up, world wide, irrevocable, perpetual license to use, reproduce, distribute, create derivative works, publicly display and perform the Material, in whole or in part, in any manner, for any purpose and in any medium now known or hereinafter invented. This right includes, but is not limited to, the right to copy, publish, distribute, alter and publicly display the Material for education, interpretation, advertising and other purposes consistent with the mission of the Department.

I understand that I will not receive any money for this license agreement, or for any use described above. I understand that I will retain the copyrights to the Material, but hereby grant an unrestricted license to the Department.

I release and discharge Department from any and all claims and demands arising out of, or in connection with any use of the Material, including but not limited to, any and all claims of libel, moral rights and invasion of privacy, and/or any claims under the Visual Artists Rights Act. I realize that I cannot withdraw my consent after I sign this form and I realize this form is binding on me and my heirs, legal representatives and assigns.

I am at least 18 years of age and have the right, ability and authority to enter this binding license agreement.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED								
BY I O D		DATE	BY		DATE			
> Me hi	0	7/36/18						
PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING			PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING					
Nick Kimball								
ADDRESS		TITLE	DISTRICT/SECTION					
117 Macneil Street								
CITY/STATE/ZIP CODE		State of California						
San Fernando, CA 91340		Department of Parks and Recreation						
PHONE NO.	EMAIL		PHONE NO.	EMAIL				
818-898-1202	nkimball@sfcity.	org						

DPR 992A (New 3/2003)(Excel 3/28/2003)



Layne Park Project Location Map

San Fernando, CA

Project outlined in green



California Conservation Corps and Certified Community Conservation Corps



Proposition 68 – Parks, Environment and Water Bond

Corps Consultation Review Document

Except for an exempted project, this Corps Consultation Review Document shall be completed by California Conservation Corps and Certified Community Conservation Corps (hereafter collectively referred to as Corps) staff and must accompany applications for funding through Proposition 68, Chapter 1, Division 45: California Drought, Water, Parks, Climate, Coastal Protection, and Outdoor Access for All Act of 2018. Please see the <u>Corps</u> <u>Consultation Process</u>, starting with Step 1 on Page 1 of this attachment. Applications that do not include this document demonstrating that both Corps have been consulted will not be given preference for receipt of a grant.

All Implementation projects require Corps consultation. Applicants for all Proposition 68 Planning or Scientific Studies projects <u>must consult with both Corps if the project includes any field work</u> (including but not limited to baseline studies, invasive plant removal, native plant propagation, mapping, and site maintenance). Only projects without field work are exempt from the consultation process and do not need to submit the Corps Consultation Form to Funding Entities.

1. Name of Applicant: City of San Fernando

Project Title: Layne Park Revitalization Project

Department/Conservancy to which you are applying for funding: California Department of Parks and

Recreation – Statewide Park Development and Community Revitalization Program

This Consultation Review Document is being prepared by:

- ☑ The California Conservation Corps (CCC)
- □ California Association of Local Conservation Corps (CALCC)
- 2. Applicant has submitted the required information by email to the California Conservation Corps (CCC) and California Association of Local Conservation Corps (CALCC):
 - Yes (applicant has submitted all necessary information to CCC)
 - □ No (applicant has not submitted all information or did not submit information to both Corps application is deemed non-compliant)
- 3. After consulting with the project applicant, the CCC and CALCC has determined the following:
 - □ It is NOT feasible for CCC and/or certified community conservation corps services to be used on the project (deemed compliant)
 - ☑ It is feasible for the CCC services to be used on the project and the following aspects of the project can be accomplished with Corps services (deemed compliant):

CCC Corpsmembers from the Los Angeles Satellite Center can assist with debris removal, prepping for planting vegetation and installation of bioswales, planting of vegetation per landscape designs. Cleaning and prepping the existing structures for renovations.

CCC and CALCC Representatives will return this form as documentation of consultation to applicant via email within five (5) business days of receipt as verification of consultation. Applicant will include a copy of this document as part of the project application.

If the Corps determine it is feasible to use their services on the project, applicant will coordinate with the Corps to develop estimated costs for those services for inclusion in the budget.

The Corps must be consulted each grant cycle prior to application. If past consultations are submitted, the requirement is not met.