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## Background

The San Fernando Municipal Code (SFMS), Chapter 106 - Zoning, was adopted in 1957. In 1985, Section 106-970, Walls and fences, was added.

December 2, 2002 - Amended San Fernando Municipal Code (SFMC) Section 106-970, Walls and fences.

December 2015 - Created application form for residential walls and fences (Form \# CDD-024.1) containing permitted and non-permitted fence design.

In 2022, multiple residents requested approval for front yard walls and fences to be higher than permitted three feet.

## Background

August 15, 2022 - the City Council adopted a Coyote Management and Co-Existence Plan that that includes best management practices and strategies for managing coyotes in the City, including a recommendation to consider revising the height limits for fences and walls on residential properties.

November 14, 2022 - the Planning and Preservation Commission discussed potential modifications to fence and wall height for residential property. The Commission was supportive of allowing the installation of coyote rollers above the permitted 6 -foot high wall or fence.

March 13, 2023 - the Commission discussed and provided feedback for proposed updated regulations for walls and fences. The Commission supported suggestions provided by staff, requested visual information for workshop discussion, and continued the discussion to the next meeting (April 10, 2023).

The Commission expressed support of Option 1, which was to update regulations for standard height, design, and materials for walls and fences, to be approved at staff level.

## Option 1 - Staff Suggestions, March 13, 2023

- Allow 4 foot high non-view obscuring front yard fences and street-facing side yard fences on corner lots.
- Allow 4 foot high front yard vegetative hedges and hedges along a street-facing side yard on a corner lot (excluding a sight clearance triangle).
- Define a non-view obscuring fence as a fence with solid components constituting not more than 50 percent of the total surface area of the face of the fence.
- Allow a combination of a fence and a wall in the front yard where a wall does not constitute more than $1 / 3$ of the total height.
- Allow the installation of coyote rollers above the permitted 6 -foot high wall or fence in a side or rear yard.
- Prohibit vinyl fences.


## Analysis

The majority of existing fences in residential neighborhoods in the City do not comply with the current design standards provided in the Zoning Code and with the application form showing permitted and non-permitted types of fences.

Recent applications requesting approval of fence design that deviates from the Code do not meet requirements provided by the Special Fence Review option. Therefore, the Special Fence Review process cannot be used to accommodate these applications.

The majority of front yard fence type in residential zones in San Fernando is a combination of a brick or plastered wall and a wrought iron or tubular iron fence about four or five feet high, usually with protruding pillars.

Fences demonstrate a wide range of decorative elements radial elements, spikes, cones, lights, lions, etc. These elaborate fences make up the neighborhood character of residential zones of the City.

## SANFERNAND

## Analysis

Additionally, staff researched wall and fence ordinances in other cities in the Greater Los Angeles, including Burbank, Eastvale, Glendale, San Gabriel, and South Pasadena.

The research shows that many cities have minimal design standards for fences that are objective and easily comprehendible for residents and staff. Additional standards for the maintenance of fences provide guidance for Code Enforcement.

| Standard | Burbank | Eastvale | Glendale | San Gabriel | South Pasadena |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height of front fence / side fence on a corner lot | 4 feet / 6 feet | 4 feet | No front fences | 4 feet | 3 feet |
| Height of the lower part of the wall | 2 feet | --- | --- | --- |  |
| Decorative elements above the fence | 18 inches | $120 \%$ of the height of the fence | --- | --- | 6 inches |
| Higher front fence with a special permit | no | no | no | no | no |
| Non-view obscuring front fence | yes 50\% open | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Non-view obscuring side fence | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vinyl fences prohibited? | no | no | no | no | no |

## FANCY FENCES WORXSHOP
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## SANFERNAND

Residential Walls and Fences Application Form


## 1. HEIGHT OF THE LOWER WALL



For a combination of a wall and a fence, shall there be a design standard for a height of the lower wall part?
Shall it be defined as 1 foot, 1 foot and 4 inches, or $1 / 3$ of the total height of the fence?

## 2. HEIGHT OF DECORATIVE ELEMENTS



Can pillars, gates, and decorative elements be higher than the main part of the fence ( 4 feet)?
Shall there be a limit for the height of decorative elements (i.e., shall not exceed 120 percent of the height of the fence)?

## 2. HEIGHT OF DECORATIVE ELEMENTS



Can pillars, gates, and decorative elements be higher than the main part of the fence ( 4 feet)?
Shall there be a limit for the height of decorative elements (i.e., shall not exceed 120 percent of the height of the fence)?

## 2. HEIGHT OF DECORATIVE ELEMENTS



Shall there be a minimum height for the gate arch (7 feet, if allowed?)

## 3. FIVE-FOOT FENCE (WITH A SPECIAL FENCE REVIEW)



Should the residents be able to apply for a five (5) feet fence in front yard or street-facing side yard setback under a Special Fence Review, when a certain percentage of existing fences on the block approved by the City is higher than four (4) feet? If so, what is that percent?

## 4. DEFINITION OF A VEIW-OBSCURING FENCE



Is it crucial for the front fence to be non-view obscuring?
Can a non-view obscuring fence be defined as a fence with view obscuring elements between posts or pillars not exceeding fifty percent of the total surface area of the fence?

## 4. DEFINITION OF A VEIW-OBSCURING FENCE



Is it crucial for the front fence to be non-view obscuring?
Can a non-view obscuring fence be defined as a fence with view obscuring elements between posts or pillars not exceeding fifty percent of the total surface area of the fence?

## 4. DEFINITION OF A VEIW-OBSCURING FENCE



Is it crucial for the front fence to be non-view obscuring?
Can a non-view obscuring fence be defined as a fence with view obscuring elements between posts or pillars not exceeding fifty percent of the total surface area of the fence?

## 5. VIEW-OBSCURING SIDE FENCES



The existing Code requires side fences within a required front yard setback to be non-view-obscuring.
On regular (non-corner) lots, can they be solid for privacy reasons?

## 6. VINYL FENCES - PROHIBITED?



Shall vinyl fences be included in the list of prohibited materials?

## 6. VINYL FENCES - PROHIBITED?

Shall vinyl fences be included in the list of prohibited materials?

| PROs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Cheaper than an iron fence | - |
| - Easily get broken |  |
| - Do not need repainting |  |
| as a wood fence | - |
| - Get yellowish under the sun |  |
| Easy to install | -Limited lifespan |
|  | -Burden on the landfills <br> (don't decompose) |

## 7. SIX-FEET FENCES FOR SCHOOLS AND INSTITUTIONAL FACILITIES



Should schools and institutional facilities in residential zones be able to have six (6) feet non-view obscuring fences for safety reasons? Or eight (8) feet, as permitted in commercial zones?

Most of schools in the City have existing 6 to 8 feet tubular iron or chain link fences installed prior to adoption of the current Code, or approved through a Variance process.

## 8. SPECIAL FENCE REVIEW - ELIMINATED?

If Commission does not support Option 5, shall the Special Fence Review process be eliminated?

A Special Fence Review process (SFMC Section 106-970(j)(3)) allows to build a fence or wall that deviates from the standard requirements under certain circumstances:

1. A retaining wall not to exceed 30 inches.
2. A non-view obscuring fence not to exceed a height of six feet and located in the street facing side yard on a corner lot only.
3. A non-view obscuring fence not to exceed a height of six feet and located in the secondary front yard on a through lot (lot with street frontages on front and back of house) only.
4. A higher fence or wall where applicable building codes or other regulations require a fence or wall exceeding the height limitations (such as a higher retaining wall on a hillside property).

## 8. SPECIAL FENCE REVIEW - ELIMINATED?

1. A retaining wall not to exceed 30 inches. The height of a retaining wall is determined by the actual grade present on the site and shall not be limited by Code. Therefore, this Special Fence Review option can be removed.
2. A non-view obscuring fence not to exceed a height of six feet and located in the street facing side yard on a corner lot only. This option could be relevant for multifamily apartment buildings. It could become a standard and be permitted by right.
3. A non-view obscuring fence not to exceed a height of six feet and located in the secondary front yard on a through lot (lot with street frontages on front and back of house) only. There are very few through lots in residential zones in the City, most of them are used for institutional facilities and will be covered by Option 7. For single-family properties, this option could become a standard and be permitted by right.
4. A higher fence or wall where applicable building codes or other regulations require a fence or wall exceeding the height limitations (such as a higher retaining wall on a hillside property). Where building code requires a higher fence, the building code prevails. Therefore, this Special Fence Review option can be removed.

## 8. SPECIAL FENCE REVIEW - ELIMINATED?



Now, a non-view obscuring fence not to exceed a height of six feet in the street facing side yard on a corner lot can be permitted with a Special Fence Permit.

This option could be relevant for multifamily apartment buildings. It could become a standard and be permitted by right.

## FANCY FENCES WORXSHOP



END

## RECOMMENDATION

- Staff recommends that the Commission discuss and provide feedback for proposed Zone Text Amendment (ZTA 2023-001)
to Section 106-970 of the San Fernando Municipal Code to update regulations for walls and fences.

Questions?

## mos. <br> SAN FERNAND



# SAN FERNANDO 

## 9. SHALL WOOD FENCES BE PAINTED?



## 10. SHALL PLANKS BE VERTICAL OR HORIZONTAL?



## Current Applications for Fences Deviating from the Code

- 4 to 5 foot high front yard fences to address an ongoing trespassing issue or to prevent young children, elderly relatives, or dogs from leaving the property.
- A side yard fence in a required front yard setback that is solid (view-obscuring), for privacy reasons.
- A solid (view-obscuring) side yard fence on a corner lot that is higher 3 feet, for security and privacy reasons.

Do not qualify for the Special Fence Permit process because they do not meet the special circumstances.

## Pending Fence Review Applications

| \# | Address | Date | Project |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 563 S Huntington St | $07 / 16 / 2022$ | Legalization of the $4^{\prime}$ front yard fence and wall <br> combination built without a planning approval and <br> building permit to address an ongoing trespassing issue. |
| 2. | 1227 Hollister St | $1 / 24 / 2023$ | Application for a 4' front yard vinyl fence, to address <br> ongoing trespassing issue and protect a resident with a <br> medically proven anxiety and insomnia. |
| 3. | 1926 Seventh St | $2 / 28 / 2023$ | Legalization of the 5' front yard non-view obscuring fence <br> built without a planning approval and building permit to <br> prevent a single elderly resident from leaving the property. |
| 4. | 401 N Hagar St | $3 / 8 / 2023$ | Application for a 5' front yard and side non-view obscuring <br> fence within a street-facing side yard setback for an <br> existing public-use building in a residential zone to protect <br> the parking area from trespassing. |

## Previously Approved Special Fence Review Applications

| \# | Address | Date | Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 509 Fermoore St | April 25, 2013 | Two retaining walls, $14^{\prime \prime}$ and $22^{\prime \prime}$ ( $36^{\prime \prime}$ total instead of $30^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 2. | 2021 Warren St | April 8, 2016 | View obscuring 36 " side yard fence within the front setback |
| 3. | 642 N Huntington Dr | August 16, 2016 | View obscuring 36 " side yard fence within the front setback |
| 4. | 223 N Alexander St | January 21, 2020 | 5' front and side fence |
| 5. | 225 Harding Ave | March 5, 2021 | 4' front fence |
| 6. | 425 Orange Grove | April 16, 2021 | $3{ }^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ front fence |
| 7. | 2012 Knox St | October 28, 2021 | $4{ }^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ front fence |

