



CHAIR FRANCISCO SOLORIO
VICE CHAIR MARIO LUA
COMMISSIONER CECILIA MARTINEZ
COMMISSIONER FERNANDO DIAZ
COMMISSIONER FLOR SANCHEZ

CITY OF SAN FERNANDO

PLANNING & PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA SUMMARY MONDAY, JUNE 9, 2025 – 5:30 PM

CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
117 MACNEIL STREET
SAN FERNANDO, CALIFORNIA 91340

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION OPTIONS

Please visit the City's YouTube channel to live stream and watch previously recorded Planning and Preservation Commission meetings, which is also available with Spanish subtitles at: <https://www.youtube.com/c/CityOfSanFernando>

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability-related modification/accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting, including in-person translation services, or other services please call the Community Development Department at (818) 898-1227 or email at communitydevelopment@sfcity.org at least 2 business days prior to the meeting.

SUBMIT PUBLIC COMMENT IN PERSON:

Members of the public may provide comments in person in the City Council Chambers during the Public Comments section of the Agenda by submitting a comment card to the Board Secretary.

SUBMIT PUBLIC COMMENT VIA EMAIL:

Members of the public may submit comments by email to communitydevelopment@sfcity.org no later than **12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting**, to ensure distribution to the Planning and Preservation Commission prior to consideration of the agenda. Comments received via email will be distributed to the Planning and Preservation Commission and made part of the official public record of the meeting.

CALL-IN TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT LIVE AT THE MEETING:

Members of the Public may **call-in between 5:30 p.m. and 5:45 p.m.** Comments will be heard in the order received, and limited to three minutes. If necessary, the call-in period may be extended by the Chair. Note: This is audio only and no video.

Call-in Telephone Number: (669) 900-6833
Meeting ID: 896 2370 9376
Passcode: 194996

When connecting to the Zoom meeting to speak, you will be placed in a virtual "waiting area," with your audio disabled, until it is your turn to speak and limited to three minutes.

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Meeting Notice and Agenda –June 9, 2025

Page 2 of 3

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

TELECONFERENCE REQUESTS/DISCLOSURE

Recommend consideration of requests received for remote teleconference meeting participation made by members of the City's legislative bodies, as permitted under the provisions of Assembly Bill (AB) 2449, Government Code Section 54953, and the City of San Fernando adopted Resolution No. 8215, effective March 1, 2023.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Led by Commissioner Sanchez

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Recommend that the Planning and Preservation Commission approve the agenda as presented.

DECORUM AND ORDER

City Commissioners are appointed by City Council and must be free to discuss issues confronting the city in an orderly environment. Member of the public attending City Commission meetings shall observe the same rules of order and decorum applicable to the City Council ([SF Procedural Manual](#)). Any person making impertinent derogatory or slanderous remarks or who becomes boisterous while addressing a City Commission or while attending a City Commission meeting, may be removed from the room if the Presiding Officer so directs the Sergeant-At-Arms and such person may be barred from further audience before the City Commission.

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

Members of the public may **provide comments in person in the City Council Chambers** during the Public Comments section of the Agenda by submitting a comment card to the Board Secretary.

Members of the public may submit comments by email to communitydevelopment@sfcity.org no later than **12:00 p.m. the day of the meeting**, to ensure distribution to the Planning and Preservation Commission and made part of the official public record of the meeting.

Members of the public may provide a **live public comment by calling in between 5:30 p.m. and 5:45 p.m. CALL- IN INFORMATION: Telephone Number: (669) 900-6833; Meeting ID: 896 2370 9376; Passcode: 194996**

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Meeting Notice and Agenda –June 9, 2025

Page 3 of 3

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES FOR:

May 12, 2025 - Regular Meeting

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

2. PRESENTATION ON CALIFORNIA HOUSING LEGISLATION UPDATE.

Staff recommends that the Planning and Preservation Commission

- a. Receive and File a presentation providing an update on State Housing Legislation.

STAFF COMMUNICATION

COMMISSIONER UPDATES/REQUESTS TO AGENDIZE ITEM FOR DISCUSSION AT A FUTURE MEETING

Commissioner(s) may request to agendize an item for discussion at a future meeting, subject to approval by the Commission. Requests should align with the commission's scope of responsibility, adhere to City Council policies, and consider the availability of staff resources and budget constraints.

ADJOURNMENT The meeting will adjourn to its next regular meeting.

AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing agenda was posted on the City Hall bulletin board not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Dated: _____ at: _____

Signed By: _____

Agendas and complete Agenda Packets (including staff reports and exhibits related to each item) are posted on the City's Internet Web site (www.sfcity.org). These are also available for public reviewing prior to a meeting at the Community Development Department Public Counter. Any public writings distributed by the Planning and Preservation Commission to at least a majority of the Commissioners regarding any item on this regular meeting agenda will also be made available at the Community Development Department Public Counter located at 117 Macneil Street, San Fernando, CA, 91340 during normal business hours. In addition, the City may also post such documents on the City's Web Site at www.sfcity.org. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, if you require a disability-related modification/ accommodation to attend or participate in this meeting, including auxiliary aids or services please call the Community Development Department Office at (818) 898-1227 or communitydevelopment@sfcity.org at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

*This Page
Intentionally
Left Blank*



**CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES**

MAY 12, 2025 – 5:30 P.M.

**CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
117 MACNEIL STREET
SAN FERNANDO, CALIFORNIA 91340**

THE FOLLOWING MINUTES ARE A SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. VIDEO AND AUDIO OF THE ACTUAL MEETING ARE AVAILABLE AT: <http://ci.san-fernando.ca.us/commissions-boards/#planning-preservation>

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Chairperson Solorio called to order at 5:37 p.m.

PRESENT:

Commission: Chairperson Francisco Solorio, Vice Chair Lua, Commissioners Cecilia Martinez, Flor Sanchez and Fernando Diaz

Staff: Planning Manager Ron Garcia, Director of Community Development Erika Ramirez, Assistant City Attorney Lloyd Pilchen (Virtual), Associate Planner Marina Khrustaleva, and Administrative Assistant Yesenia Becerra

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Led by Vice Chair Lua

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion by Vice Chair Lua, seconded by Commissioner Martinez to approve the agenda. The motion carried unanimously.

DECORUM AND ORDER

Assistant City Attorney Lloyd Pilchen virtually read the Decorum and Order.

PUBLIC STATEMENTS

None.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Motion by Vice Chair Lua, seconded by Commissioner Martinez to approve the Consent Calendar items:

- 1. CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES FOR:**

PLANNING AND PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Minutes – May 12, 2025

Page 2 of 2

- a. April 14, 2025 – Regular Meeting

The motion carried, unanimously.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

2. PRESENTATION ON THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA)

Planning Manager Ron Garcia reviewed and presented staff presentation

Commissioners provided their questions and feedback

Item received and filed.

Note: Commissioner Sanchez arrived at 5:53pm

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

COMMISSION COMMENTS

No comments or further questions

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS

Director of Community Development Erika Ramirez highlighted several upcoming dates. The date for the next Planning and Preservation Commission meeting. Announced that the Zoning Code Repeal and Replace was approved by Council And also, announced budget process is currently on going and discussed dates of the department's budget presentation.

ADJOURNMENT (6:02 p.m.)

Chairperson Solorio adjourned the meeting to the next regular meeting.

Planning Commission Secretary

*This Page
Intentionally
Left Blank*



AGENDA REPORT

To: Planning and Preservation Commission Chair Solorio and Commissioners

From: Erika Ramirez, Director of Community Development
Ron Garcia, Planning Manager

Date: June 9, 2025

Subject: Presentation on California Housing Legislation Update

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Planning and Preservation Commission receive and file this presentation providing an update on State Housing Legislation.

DISCUSSION:

The purpose of this presentation is to provide an overview of Housing Legislation that took effect in January of this year. In 2024, the Governor signed over 60 housing bills related to housing legislation. The presentation aims to highlight the bills impacts to the City and how they relate to the Planning process. The Turner Center for Housing Innovation at U.C. Berkeley produced a summary of these bills. The Turner Center report is attached to this staff report for the Planning Commission's reference.

Attachments

- A. Turner Center's 2024 California Housing Legislation Round Up



California Housing Laws That Go into Effect in 2025

By Muhammad Alameldin

**Published On
October 29, 2024**

Earlier this fall, California Governor Gavin Newsom signed over 60 housing-related bills into law, most of which will become effective January 1, 2025. These new laws will shape housing outcomes in many ways, including accelerating housing production, strengthening housing law enforcement, addressing homelessness, and building more Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs). In addition, the November 5 election will have further implications on housing, since voters will decide the fate of local councils, the state legislature, and several housing propositions. This commentary provides an overview of key bills signed into law and briefly describes two important state propositions on the November ballot.

Laws to Facilitate More Housing Production

One of the themes of bills in this past legislative session was to improve the feasibility of existing housing laws, otherwise known as “legislative clean up.” For example, [Senate Bill \(SB\) 450](#) (Atkins) amends [Senate Bill 9](#) (2021), a law that allows duplexes and lot splits on single-family residential lots. In response to threats from a [county court case](#) and [low housing production](#) numbers, SB 450 modifies SB 9’s legal language to more clearly define “affordable housing” and require local governments to approve or deny SB 9 project applications within 60 days. SB 450 also prohibits local governments from applying non-uniform or more restrictive standards to SB 9 projects than to other types of housing. It further limits the denial of SB 9 projects to only public health and safety grounds, rather than more vague standards. The Turner Center has written on SB 9 [implementation](#) and its possible impacts on [statewide housing production](#).

[SB 1123](#) (Caballero) extends [SB 684](#) (2023), a law that facilitates [the subdivision of multifamily zoned parcels](#) of five acres or less into up to ten for-sale lots. The law extends subdivision streamlining to single family residential lots within single family zones that are vacant and less than 1.5 acres. The maximum unit count of 10 units is amended to not include ADUs if local governments would like them built, meaning that local discretion could allow up to 20 housing units to be built on these sites. [Increasing the production of “missing middle”](#) housing types has been historically tied to more entry-level homeownership opportunities.

[Assembly Bill \(AB\) 2553](#) (Friedman) extends [AB 2097](#) (2023), a law that eliminates [minimum parking requirements](#) for new residential, commercial, and other development projects located within half a mile of a “major transit stop” with 15-minute headways. AB 2553 modifies the definition of a major transit stop to include sites with bus routes running every 20 minutes or less (instead of the previous 15-minute interval). This expands the applicability of AB 2097 to more places. The law also reduces vehicular traffic impact fees for housing developments built in these areas.

[SB 937](#) (Wiener) allows developers to pay certain housing development impact fees later in the process, at Certificate of Occupancy or final building inspection, instead of collecting fees at the start of construction (which leads to higher interest costs on debt during construction). The deferral of impact fees applies to developments that are under 10 units or multifamily buildings that include deed-restricted affordable housing units. Utilizing the Turner Center’s [“making it pencil” pro formas](#), we found that deferral of impact fees could save as much as 1 percent of total development costs. While a small share of overall costs, this is an amount that would likely tip projects into feasibility, allowing some housing construction to begin that would not otherwise happen.

[AB 2729](#) (Patterson), responding to builder concerns about softening market conditions, extends the expiration date for locally conferred building entitlements by 18 months if the development was approved before January 1, 2024, and the building entitlements were set to expire before December 31, 2025. [AB 1053](#) (Gabriel) reduces the cost of affordable housing construction by allowing developers to receive California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) subsidy funds at the start of construction rather than waiting until the property is leased-up (with vacant rental units filled) and operating.

Enhancing Enforcement of California Housing Laws

Several bills were signed to strengthen the enforcement of state housing laws, ensuring compliance with California’s ambitious housing goals. For example, The Builder’s Remedy is a provision within California’s Housing Accountability Act that goes into effect when cities or counties fail to adopt a compliant housing element as required by state law. Under the Builder’s Remedy, developers can bypass local zoning laws to build residential projects with unlimited density in noncompliant jurisdictions, as long as the

project reserves 20 percent of housing units for low-income households. In these cases, local governments lose their ability to deny or impose significant restrictions on development, except in cases where it affects public health or safety.

To avoid Builder's Remedy projects, some cities tried to "self-certify" their housing elements to claim compliance with state housing law, despite failing to meet the requirements set by HCD. This tactic led to legal disputes and delays in Builder's Remedy projects, highlighting the need for clearer guidelines regarding what constitutes a "compliant" housing element. This legal loophole inspired Assemblymember Alvarez to introduce [AB 1886](#), clarifying that a housing element is only considered "substantially compliant" when HCD or a state court determines it so. AB 1886 also ensures that a Builder's Remedy project can still be built even if its application was submitted before a city's housing element was certified. This law prevents jurisdictions from retroactively denying projects based on zoning noncompliance once they certify their housing elements.

[AB 1893](#) (Wicks) modifies the design of the Builder's Remedy to increase project feasibility by altering the inclusionary zoning requirement for Builder's Remedy projects from 20 percent low-income housing to a staggered system: 7 percent for extremely low-income households, 10 percent for very low-income households, or 13 percent for low-income households. AB 1893 also decreases the unlimited density standard to state-imposed density standards of up to 35 dwelling units per acre. The law also clarifies that a local jurisdiction cannot impose requirements for rezoning, general plan amendments, or other legislative approvals on Builder's Remedy projects.

[SB 1037](#) (Wiener) aims to strengthen enforcement of state housing laws by giving the Attorney General power to impose civil penalties on local governments that violate certain state housing laws, such as failing to adopt a compliant housing element or ignoring state laws that require streamlined ministerial approval of certain housing projects. [AB 2023](#) (Quirk-Silva) shifts the legal burden of proof onto local governments to defend their housing plans if they seek judicial relief from HCD's designation of their housing element to be noncompliant.

Labor Standards, Affordable Housing, and Tenant Protections

During this legislative session, California's affordable and market-rate housing sector navigated a complex tension between enhancing worker wages and protections and controlling affordable housing development costs.

California supplements the federal Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) program by offering its own state-level LIHTC to further support the development of affordable housing. These state-level credits are used to bridge financing gaps that cannot be fully covered by federal tax credits or other sources. [AB 3190](#) (Haney) would have expanded the application of public works law to include certain affordable housing projects funded

in part by state LIHTC. This would mean that affordable housing projects that receive these tax credits would be required to adhere to public works requirements, including prevailing wage standards and health benefits for construction workers. Although Governor Newsom signed AB 3190, the provisions will not go into effect because the Legislature had tied its passage to [AB 3160](#) (Gabriel), which would have allocated \$500 million in state LIHTC funding and was vetoed due to budgetary concerns. Despite this, the Governor [emphasized his support](#) for the bill's broader goals to both address California's housing shortage and ensure fair wages for the workers contributing to these projects. The Turner Center found that [prevailing wage requirements for Low-Income Housing Tax Credits](#) projects are associated with higher [development and construction](#) costs, though the amount varies depending on housing and labor market conditions, project characteristics, and the funding and regulatory environment.

The Governor did sign legislation to empower regional governments to finance and help build more affordable housing. [SB 440](#) (Skinner) enables local governments in California to [form Regional Housing Finance Authorities](#) without needing separate state legislative approval. These authorities build on previous models, such as the Bay Area Housing Finance Authority and the Los Angeles County Affordable Housing Solutions Agency. SB 440 specifically allows regions to impose taxes or issue bonds (with voter approval) to generate funds for affordable housing. It empowers authorities to preserve existing affordable housing and to provide technical assistance and planning support for regional housing needs.

Another law, [AB 2353](#) (Ward), improves the process of accessing the welfare property tax exemption for nonprofit affordable housing developers that want to build affordable housing. Previously, affordable housing developers had to pay property taxes, then apply for the property welfare exemption afterward—adding time, cost, and uncertainty to affordable housing development. AB 2353 allows affordable housing developers to defer these property tax payments without accruing penalties or interest while their welfare tax exemption application is pending.

[AB 2926](#) (Kalra) strengthens California's Preservation Notice Law to prevent the loss of affordable housing. Existing law requires owners of certain assisted housing developments whose affordability restrictions are set to expire to notify relevant government agencies and potential affordable housing preservationists. AB 2926 further clarifies that if they receive a bona fide purchase offer within the designated time frame, they must either accept the offer or commit to re-restricting the property to remain affordable housing.

In terms of tenant protections, [AB 846](#) (Bonta) expands tenant protections under [California rent cap law](#) to include affordable housing developments financed through LIHTC. AB 846 directs the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) to adopt regulations limiting annual rent increases on existing LIHTC properties. [AB](#)

[2347](#) (Kalra) expands the time that a tenant of residential property has to file an answer to an unlawful detainer (eviction) from five days to ten days.

Laws on Homelessness

The Legislature continues to increase its efforts to decrease homelessness, with the following bills signed by the Governor.

[AB 2835](#) (Gabriel) eases the placement of individuals experiencing homelessness into private hotels and motels for stays beyond 30 days, enabling greater stability for program participants on their paths to permanent housing.

[SB 1395](#) (Becker), also called the “Interim Housing Act,” extends California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exemptions for Low-Barrier Navigation Centers and homeless services until 2036, promoting quicker development in areas where residents oppose shelters in their neighborhoods. The law further extends adherence to Housing First principles to state programs supporting interim housing, and it includes non-congregate and relocatable types of interim housing, such as tiny houses, in the definition of Low-Barrier Navigation Centers.

In a similar spirit, [SB 1361](#) (Blakespear) exempts local agency contracts for homelessness services from CEQA to accelerate service deployment.

Building More ADUs

Several new laws aim to enhance the flexibility and accessibility of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), further strengthening one of California’s most successful housing reforms. [SB 1211](#) (Skinner) increases flexibility for ADUs on multifamily properties. It allows new ADUs to be added, up to an amount equivalent to 25 percent of existing units, as well as up to eight detached ADUs, with the total detached ADUs dependent on how many units are on the existing multifamily property. This law provides more options for housing on existing multifamily properties.

[SB 1077](#) (Blakespear) focuses on coastal regions. It requires the California Coastal Commission, in collaboration with HCD, to develop guidance for local jurisdictions in drafting ADU elements for their Local Coastal Plans, likely making it easier to incorporate ADUs in coastal areas. The Turner Center [found that permitting timelines](#) within the Coastal Zone have mostly lagged behind neighborhoods outside of that area.

AB 2533 (Juan Carrillo) improves upon the statewide ADU Amnesty Program, establishing safety standards that local agencies must use for assessing informal ADUs, cutting fees for lower-income homeowners, and mandating that cities provide a checklist of standards up front, ensuring greater transparency and affordability in the ADU legalization process. In particular, this could assist **low- and moderate-income** Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) homeowners, many of whom have already created informal ADUs.

Conclusion

The housing legislation going into effect in January 2025 largely builds on previous efforts, aiming to expand and strengthen enforcement of existing housing laws. In addition, Californians will have the opportunity to shape the future of housing policy through upcoming elections, both by choosing which candidates represent them and by voting on propositions, including two significant state-level propositions. Proposition 5 reduces the voter approval threshold for local bonds funding affordable housing and public infrastructure from two-thirds to 55 percent, making it easier for communities to secure financing for essential projects. Meanwhile, Proposition 33 would repeal the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, granting local governments greater authority to implement rent stabilization on more types of housing, including newer units and single-family homes. The outcomes of these propositions will also greatly influence California's housing landscape in 2025.

Available at: <https://turnercenter.berkeley.edu/blog/california-housing-laws-that-go-into-effect-in-2025/>